



Report

Ndablama and Weaju Gold Projects, Bea Mountain Mining Licence Northern Block, Liberia, West Africa

Aureus Mining Inc.

Liberia, West Africa

In accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects" of the Canadian Securities Administrators

Qualified Persons:

Christopher G Arnold, MAusIMM (CP)Geo
Glenn Bezuidenhout, NDT Ex. Met, FASIMM

AMC Project 414013
Effective date 1 December 2014

Date and signature page

This report has been prepared and signed for by the following “Qualified Persons” (within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101). The effective date of this report is 1 December 2014.

Signed the 13 January 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C Garstang Arnold', written diagonally.

Christopher Garstang Arnold, MAusIMM CP(Geo)
Principal Geologist
AMC Consultants (UK) Limited

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glenn Bezuidenhout', written horizontally.

Glenn Bezuidenhout, NDT Ex. Met, FASIMM
Process Director
DRA Mineral Projects

1 Summary

This technical report (Technical Report or Report) on the Bea Mountain Mining Licence, Northern Block, in the north-western region of the Republic of Liberia, has been prepared by AMC Consultants (UK) Limited (AMC) of Maidenhead, UK, for Aureus Mining Inc. (Aureus) of Toronto, Canada. The report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects" (NI 43-101), of the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) for lodgement on the CSA's "System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval" (SEDAR). This report is required to support updated estimation of Mineral Resources for the Ndablama gold project, as announced by Aureus in a press release issued on 1 December 2014.

The Ndablama and Weaju gold projects (the Projects) are located within the Bea Mountain Mineral Development Agreement (Bea-MDA) property, in Grand Cape Mount County in the north-western portion of the Republic of Liberia, approximately 90 km north-west of the capital, Monrovia. From the capital there is approximately 90 km of paved road to the town of Tubmanburg and then 40 km of laterite road to the Projects. Road access is all year round. The property occupies a lowland area of tropical forest with thick undergrowth, cut by two prominent east-west ridges of resistant rock units (the Bea Mountain and Tokani ranges). Elevations in the area of the Projects range between 150 m and 350 m above mean sea level (AMSL).

The Republic of Liberia is situated on the coast along the south-west corner of West Africa, bordered by Sierra Leone, Guinea and Cote d'Ivoire. Since the end of the civil war in 2003, the Republic of Liberia has experienced a period of reform and reconstruction under President Ellen Johnson Sirleaf.

Aureus, through its ownership of the Bea Mountain Mining Corporation (Bea) has a 100% interest in the Bea-MDA. The Bea-MDA property covers an area of 457 km² and the agreement has an initial and renewable term of 25 years. In July 2009 Bea was granted a Class A Mining Licence for the whole area, subject to an annual licence fee of US\$ 90,146. Under the terms of the Bea-MDA the Republic of Liberia is entitled to receive, free of charge, an equity interest on Bea's operations equal to 10% of its authorized and outstanding share capital without dilution (i.e. a 10% "carried interest"). There is also a 3% royalty, calculated on a production basis, payable to the Republic of Liberia in the Bea-MDA licence area.

Bea has a 100% interest in the Bea-MDA, which was originally signed with the Liberian Government in November 2001. In September 2013, an amended and restated MDA was signed with the Government with a remaining term of 13 years and the right to extend for an additional 25-year term. The Ndablama and Weaju projects are located within the Northern Block of the Bea-MDA licence area, separated from the Southern Block by a line that traces the EW-trending Silver Hills range.

To the best of AMC's knowledge, the area has only had limited surface artisanal workings, small-scale historical mining at Weaju, and has no historical environmental issues.

The Republic of Liberia is geologically traversed by the Man Shield, which in turn lies within the West African Craton, and hosts rocks dating from 3.0-2.5 Ga. The Projects are located within an area characterized by Archean-age greenstone belts (metamorphosed mafic and ultramafic rocks, bounded by granitic gneiss).

The general geology of Ndablama consists of mafics, in the form of amphibolite schists and gneisses, and ultramafic rocks, represented by magnetite-rich and magnetite-poor zones. Ndablama lies on the western edge of a shallow westerly-dipping shear, in a pressure shadow area of the Ndablama batholith to the east. Gold mineralization is related to shear deformation which follows the granite-metavolcanic contact zone.

The lithological sequence at Weaju is similar to that of Ndablama; however the sequence starts in the ultramafic rocks which contain thin beds of more amphibolitic material, intercalated with the ultramafics. Weaju is hosted in a synformal fold, which plunges shallowly to the south-west. Gold mineralization is found on both limbs of the fold, and is hosted in the sheared ultramafic, and occasionally within the sheared contacts with amphibolite.

The primary targets of Aureus's mineral exploration programme in the Republic of Liberia are shear zone-hosted gold. In addition to the Ndablama and Weaju deposits, there are three other identified localities on the Bea-MDA licence Northern Block which are currently undergoing exploration. These are Gondoja, Gbalidee

and Koinja, which, along with Ndablama and Weaju have similar geological characteristics to the New Liberty project in the adjacent southern block of the Bea-MDA property.

Ndablama, Gondoja, Gbalidee and Koinja are located within a regional structural corridor. Drilling to date has been carried out on Ndablama, Weaju, Gondoja and Gbalidee.

Exploration activity at the Projects dates from mapping in 1999 at Weaju, accompanied by soil geochemistry programmes, trenching and two drillholes. Activities were prolonged due to stoppages associated with instability during the Liberian civil conflict. Inspired by pockets of artisanal mining activity, exploration work at Ndablama was initiated with channel sampling in 2007, followed by a soil sampling programme and two trenching campaigns between 2009 and 2011. Other surveys included satellite imagery, aerial photography and airborne and ground geophysics.

Since 2010 drilling at Ndablama has been conducted in four campaigns, during which a total of 154 diamond and RC drillholes have been completed for 27,160 m. At Weaju, six campaigns have been completed, with the first 14 holes drilled in 2000, a further 34 in 2005, and the remaining 81 being completed in 2012–2013, and only six drillholes in 2014.

Drilling at the Projects has been carried out using contracted diamond coring and reverse circulation drill rigs, with holes at Ndablama mostly drilled to the east and typically inclined at between 55° and 60°. Drilling at Weaju has been carried out exclusively with contracted diamond coring drill rigs. Drillholes have been drilled at a variety of orientations, with the aim of intersecting the plane of the mineralization on each limb of the fold structure.

Core recovery is typically over 90%, with higher losses in oxide material. Downhole surveys are carried out on the majority the holes, though intermittently in the early campaigns. Full re-surveys of drillhole collar coordinates were carried out in 2010 and 2011.

Quality assurance (QA) protocols have passed through several cycles, with various consultants contributing to the present status. QA/quality control (QC) protocols were not very rigorous in the Weaju drilling from Campaign 1 through 3 (1999–2000) and simply involved the sparing use of core duplicates. Certified reference materials (CRMs) were first utilized at Weaju from drilling Campaign 4 and onward (2005). Improved QA/QC procedures were implemented at Weaju during drilling Campaign 5 and Campaign 6 (2012–2014) and at Ndablama from drilling Campaigns 1 to 4 (2010–2014).

Sample preparation, analytical techniques and QA/QC procedures for the Ndablama Campaign 1 were not available for AMC to review. Discussions apply to the drilling programme completed by Aureus, (Campaign 3, 2013). Similarly, sample preparation, analytical techniques, and QA/QC procedures for the Weaju project for drilling Campaigns 1 through 4 (1999 to 2005) are not available for review, and comments apply to the drilling programme completed by Aureus (Campaign 6, 2012–2014).

The primary laboratory for the projects is the SGS Monrovia laboratory, located in Monrovia, Republic of Liberia. The laboratory performs sample preparation and gold assaying of drill core and trench samples. While the laboratory is not certified for ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for gold assaying, the laboratory is working towards this certification. The laboratory participates in internal SGS Liberia Inc. (SGS) audits aimed at ensuring that all SGS laboratories operate to the same standard.

Control samples submitted by Aureus for the Ndablama and Weaju projects comprise checks on assay accuracy only, and in the case umpire samples may not be representative of the project assay data because of limited data or limited representation of drillholes for the projects. QC samples to monitor the reproducibility of assay from the SGS Monrovia laboratory are not submitted in a consistent frequency in the sample streams for the Projects.

AMC validated the assay databases for the Projects by comparing randomly selected assay values and compared them with laboratory assay certificates in PDF format. AMC completed a site visit to the SGS Monrovia assay laboratory.

Sampling and sample preparation and analytical procedures used by Aureus for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects follow generally accepted practices for the mining industry.

Based on the above observations of data collection and preparation activities in the field, and a site visit to the primary assay laboratory for the Projects, AMC considers the drillhole database for the Ndablama and Weaju projects to be sufficiently reliable for the purpose of Mineral Resource estimation at the current level of study.

At the request of Aureus, DRA Mineral Projects (DRA) scoped and managed scouting testwork on the Ndablama and Weaju orebodies, with the objective of assessing the metallurgical responses of both oxide and sulphide material to a gravity/leach treatment route.

Testwork for Ndablama was conducted at ALS Laboratories in Perth (ALS), and limited to assessing the amenability of the ore to cyanidation.

Representative samples of oxide and sulphide material were selected by Aureus geologists and dispatched to ALS. Bulk composites of oxide and sulphide material were constructed giving average head grades of 0.91 g/t and 2.21 g/t for the oxide and sulphide material respectively.

Two leach tests incorporating gravity recovery stages were conducted on each bulk composite. Both the oxide and sulphide material are deemed to be amenable to cyanidation, with average residue grades of 0.08 g/t and 0.14 g/t obtained for oxide and sulphide material respectively. This returned overall gold recoveries ranging between 92% and 94% for the oxide and sulphide material, from composite head assay grades of 0.91 g/t and 2.21 g/t respectively. Recoveries may vary according to head grades and it is recommended that further variability leaches be conducted to assess gold recovery variation across the orebody.

Additionally, the sulphide material in particular returned a gravity recovery of 70% which could be exploited to positive effect in the process plant design.

As the Weaju testwork, also conducted at ALS, was preliminary, only aspects of the gravity/leach circuit regarded as key to the success of the process were investigated. These included the grindability of the sulphide material and the leachability of both the sulphide and oxide material.

The Weaju sulphide ore gave a Bond BWi of 16.7 kwh/t, and no problems are foreseen with achieving a primary grind of p80 75 microns, as well as a regrind of p80 45 microns, should this be required.

Both the Weaju oxide and sulphide composites, as supplied and tested, are deemed to be amenable to gravity recovery and cyanidation. Gravity recoveries ranging from 39% to 48% were obtained for the oxide composite. For the sulphide composite, gravity recoveries between 40% and 48% were obtained.

For the oxide composite, residue gold grades ranging between 0.05 g/t to 0.21 g/t, giving recoveries between 92% and 98%, depending on the head grade, were obtained across all cyanidation tests conducted. There is some potential for OpEx savings by employing a primary grind only, of p80 75 microns, for the oxide material.

For the sulphide composite, residue gold grades ranging between 0.55 g/t to 0.31 g/t, giving recoveries between 89% and 93%, depending on the head grade, were obtained across all cyanidation tests conducted. An acidic pre-oxidation stage, in addition to a lime pre-oxidation stage, was required to obtain a 0.31 g/t residue on the sulphide composite.

The mineral resource estimation work for the Ndablama and Weaju deposits has been based on interpretations from integrated geological and grade information recorded from diamond core logging and assaying. For the Ndablama project a wireframe mineralization shell was developed on the basis of a nominal 0.1 g/t threshold based on a structural interpretation of the mineralized shear zone. Diamond drilling and reverse circulation gold assays were used in this procedure. Two wireframe shells were developed for the Ndablama project and were sub-domained into weathered and fresh rock sub zones.

Mineralization at Weaju is associated with a synformal structure with an axial trace trending south-west with a shallow plunge. Mineralization is related but not confined to ultramafic units. However, significant amounts of gneissic rock are intercalated with the mineralized zones. Therefore mineralization is most appropriately modelled using gold grade shells and interpreted structural trends. Based on a nominal 0.3 g/t gold threshold, 10 mineralized zones were developed for Weaju. Only diamond drill gold assays were used in this

procedure. These domains were further sub-domained into weathered and fresh rock for a total of 18 domains.

Assay intervals within the mineralized zones were coded according to sub domains and composited to provide common support for statistical analysis and estimation. For the Ndablama project, samples were composited to 1 m intervals, while for Weaju, samples were composited to 2 m. Ndablama composite grades were capped to 90 g/t Au, whereas for Weaju, composite gold grades at 6 out of 18 domains were capped.

Statistical observations for the Ndablama project, and visualization of mineralization characteristics were used to guide the selection of grade estimation technique. Multiple indicator kriging (MIK) was selected for this deposit as it is well suited for mineralization that is diffuse and has legitimate extremely high-grade values within the mineralization domains. MIK is non-linear estimation technique that provides targeted mining selectivity that is appropriate for deposits like Ndablama. For the main (MS01) domain, 13 indicator thresholds were used to discretize all sample metal values. For the South-West zone 9 indicator thresholds were used to discretize all sample metal values.

The primary Ndablama block model consisted of 20 m by 20 m by 10 m blocks (easting, northing and elevation directions) is used for the MIK estimate. A separate selective mining unit (SMU) model for localization of the MIK estimate was developed on 5 m by 5 m by 5 m blocks.

Ndablama indicator variograms for the MS01 domain were modelled using traditional variograms. Indicator variograms for the secondary MS02 zone were not readable, and therefore MS01 indicator variograms were assumed for this domain. Gold variograms were used to determine change of support correction factors, as required for the MIK estimation.

Gold grades for the Ndablama project were estimated into MS01 and MS02 domains using an MIK estimator, followed by change of support correction using an indirect lognormal/affine approach. Hard boundaries were used for estimating the two domains. The MIK estimate was post-processed to produce a localized set of SMU-size block grade estimates. Gold grades from weathered or fresh rock domains were not differentiated in this process. Block density values were estimated using an inverse distance squared estimator, with hard boundaries between individual mineralization zones and weathered zones.

The Weaju estimate is based on a 10 m by 10 m by 10 m parent cell model, with sub-cells along boundary surfaces. For Weaju, ordinary kriging was considered an appropriate estimator, as the mineralization is confined to within shears with relatively well-defined grade boundaries.

Weaju variograms were modelled using Gaussian transforms of gold composite data. Since only three variogram models were readable, these variogram models were assumed for the other domains.

Gold grades were estimated by ordinary kriging into the 18 Weaju domains, using hard boundaries. Average sample bulk density values for each domain were assigned model blocks according to the corresponding domain.

Procedures for classifying the estimated mineral resources were undertaken within the context of CIM (Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy, and Petroleum) Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, November 2010. Estimated tonnages and grades have been classified with consideration of the following criteria:

- Quality and reliability of raw data (sampling, assaying, surveying).
- Confidence in the geological interpretation.
- Number, spacing and orientation of intercepts through mineralized zones.
- Knowledge of grade continuities gained from observations and geostatistical analyses.
- The likelihood of defined material meeting economic mining constraints over a range of reasonable future scenarios, and expectations of relatively low selectivity of mining.

In the case of Ndablama, the relatively simple geometry of the broad interpreted 0.1 g/t gold mineralized shells masks relatively poor short-range intersection correlations at likely economic cut-off grades. The current overall drill spacing is considered to be sufficient for the Ndablama tonnage and grade estimates to be classified at the Indicated and Inferred category.

Similarly, the Weaju tonnes and grades estimates have been assigned a classification level of inferred. In this case the initial pattern of drilling intersections is diminished by the exclusion of 14 low confidence early holes and the need to partition the intersections into multiple mineralized domains and the two weathered zones. The level of confidence is further constrained by the interpretive geology and variable statistical characteristics of the mineralization.

The combined Ndablama and Weaju resource estimates were prepared by AMC in accordance with the requirements of NI-43-101. The Mineral Resource for the Weaju deposit has an effective date of 20 November 2013. The Ndablama Mineral Resources have an effective date of 1 December 2014. Ndablama resources are reported at a gold cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t and Weaju resources are reported at a gold cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t

Combined Mineral Resources for the Bea Mountain Licence are Inferred Mineral Resources of 12.256 million tonnes at a gold grade of 2.1 g/t and Indicated Mineral Resources of 7.589 million tonnes and a gold grade 1.58 g/t. The combined Mineral Resource Statement is tabulated in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Statement for the Bea Mountain Licence, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014

Deposit	Classification	Quantity [Kt]	Au [g/t]	Au [koz]
Ndablama†	Inferred	9,576	1.7	515
Weaju*	Inferred	2,680	2.1	178
	Total Inferred	12,256	1.8	693
Ndablama†	Indicated	7,589	1.58	386

†Effective date 1 December 2014

*Effective date 20 November 2013

- (1) Mineral Resources for the Ndablama deposit are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au and for the Weaju deposit at 1.0 g/t Au.
- (2) Mineral Resources for the Ndablama project were reported to a conceptual pit shell based on \$1700 per ounce of gold price assumption
- (3) Mineral resources, which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- (4) The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as indicated and measured mineral resources.
- (5) Totals and average grades are subject to rounding to the appropriate precision. The Ndablama and Weaju orogenic lode gold deposits have been defined as a result of a systematic sequence of exploration activities, from regional stream sediment sampling, soil geochemistry surveys, geological mapping, trench sampling and airborne magnetic gradient and gamma-ray spectrometer geophysical surveys, and structural interpretation and diamond core drilling. Exploration work for the project has been professionally managed using procedures that meet generally-accepted industry best practice. Preliminary metallurgical testwork has been completed on both deposits.

Mineral resources for the Ndablama deposit are based on gold mineralization related to a shear zone developed in the pressure shadow of the Ndablama batholith. The shear trends approximately north–south with a moderate dip of 35° to the south. Mineralization within this shear zone contains discontinuous higher grade gold trends and is best estimated using a multiple indicator approach to grade estimation within a mineralization envelope.

Mineral resources for the Weaju deposit are based on gold mineralization related to a synformal fold structure with an axial trace trending shallowly to the south-west. Three major zones for the deposit have been identified as the northern limb of the fold, the nose of the fold, and the southern limb. Resources for this constrained style of mineralization were estimated using ordinary kriging.

The exploration procedures and protocols used by Aureus are appropriate to the current level of study for the project. Mineral resources for both projects can be potentially increased with drilling campaigns by exploring extensions to the identified mineralization and infill drilling of defined mineral resources.

AMC recommends that protocols for recording core recovery should be reviewed. The Aureus QA/QC procedures can be improved by targeting a regular submission frequency of 10% for each type quality control sample. It is also recommended that quality control samples to monitor the reproducibility of assays

are submitted comprising duplicate pulp and coarse reject samples. Pulp duplicates need to be submitted as part of the umpire sample quality control protocol.

Opportunities exist at both Ndablama and Weaju deposits to systematically extend known areas of mineralization and potentially increase mineral resources, as well as to raise the levels of confidence in key areas through infill drilling. For these purposes, AMC has recommended drilling programmes estimated at 1.9 million US dollars in the immediate vicinity of the two deposits. These programmes do not account for the exploration potential for additional gold occurrences on the property, in particular within the identified pressure shadow targets.

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Introduction	15
3	Reliance on other experts	16
4	Property description and location	17
4.1	Location	17
4.2	Property description	17
4.3	Ownership	19
4.4	Title	19
4.5	Environmental	20
5	Accessibility, climate, local resources, infrastructure and physiography	21
5.1	Accessibility	21
5.2	Physiography	21
5.3	Climate	22
5.4	Infrastructure	22
5.5	Local resources	22
6	History	23
7	Geological setting and mineralization	24
7.1	Geology of the Bea-MDA property	25
7.2	Geology of Ndablama	26
7.2.1	Stratigraphy	26
7.2.2	Structure	28
7.2.3	Alteration	30
7.3	Geology of Weaju	34
7.3.1	Stratigraphy	34
7.3.2	Structure	35
7.3.3	Alteration	36
7.3.4	Mineralization	36
7.4	Geology of other main targets	38
7.4.1	Ndablama North and Far North	38
7.4.2	Ndablama South	38
7.4.3	Gondoja, Koinja, Gbalidee, and Welinkua	38
7.5	Metallogeny and paragenesis	41
8	Deposit types	42
9	Exploration	43
9.1	Introduction	43
9.2	Methodologies	43
9.2.1	Coordinates, datum, grid control and topographic surveys	43
9.2.2	Geological mapping	43
9.2.3	Regional stream and outcrop sampling	43
9.2.4	Soil geochemistry	43
9.2.5	Trenching	45
9.2.6	Geophysics	48
9.3	Exploration of other main targets	50
10	Drilling	51
10.1	Drilling procedures	51
10.2	Ndablama drilling programme	52
10.3	Weaju drilling programme	61
10.4	Drilling at other targets	66
10.4.1	Gondoja and Gbalidee	66
10.4.2	Leopard Rock	67
11	Sample preparation, analyses and security	69
11.1	Introduction	69
11.2	Soils and trenches	69

11.3	Diamond drillhole samples	69
11.3.1	Bulk density measurements	70
11.3.2	Preparation and analysis	71
11.4	Assay QA/QC	72
11.4.1	Blanks	72
11.4.2	Standards	72
11.4.3	Umpire samples	76
11.4.4	Other samples	78
11.4.5	Comments	78
12	Data verification	80
12.1	Assay database verification	80
12.2	Assay laboratory audit	80
12.3	Conclusions	80
13	Mineral processing and metallurgical testing	81
13.1	Introduction	81
13.2	Ndablama	81
13.2.1	Ndablama testwork results	81
13.2.1.1	Composite samples	81
13.2.1.2	Gravity/cyanidation testwork	83
13.2.2	Ndablama further testwork	83
13.3	Weaju	83
13.3.1	Weaju testwork procedures	83
13.3.2	Weaju testwork results	84
13.3.2.1	Composite samples	84
13.3.2.2	Sulphide comminution testwork	85
13.3.2.3	Gravity separation/cyanidation testwork	85
13.3.2.4	Oxide composite leach tests	86
13.3.2.5	Sulphide composite leach tests	87
14	Mineral Resource estimates	90
14.1	Overview and approach	90
14.2	Ndablama deposit	90
14.2.1	Data storage and preparation	90
14.2.2	Interpretation	91
14.2.2.1	Geology	91
14.2.2.2	Structure	91
14.2.2.3	Oxidation	92
14.2.3	Topography	92
14.2.4	Cell model construction and coding	92
14.2.5	Bulk density evaluation	96
14.2.6	Sample compositing and statistics	97
14.2.6.1	Capping	97
14.2.6.2	Compositing	97
14.2.6.3	Indicator statistics	99
14.2.7	Variograms	100
14.2.7.1	Indicator variograms	100
14.2.7.2	Gold variograms	101
14.2.8	Gold grade estimation	104
14.2.9	Model validation	107
14.2.10	Resource classification	112
14.2.11	Tonnage and grade reporting	113
14.2.12	Sensitivity analysis	114
14.3	Weaju	115
14.3.1	Introduction	115
14.3.2	Data storage and preparation	115
14.3.3	Interpretation	116
14.3.3.1	Geology and mineralization	116
14.3.3.2	Oxidation	117
14.3.4	Topography	118

14.3.5	Cell model construction and coding.....	118
14.3.6	Sample coding	118
14.3.7	Bulk density evaluation	120
14.3.8	Sample compositing and statistics	121
14.3.8.1	Composites	121
14.3.8.2	Grade capping strategy	121
14.3.9	Variograms	123
14.3.10	Model validation	125
14.3.11	Resource classification	129
14.3.12	Tonnage and grade reporting	129
14.3.13	Sensitivity analysis.....	130
14.4	Combined Mineral Resources Bea Mountain Licence	131
15	Adjacent properties.....	133
15.1	Overview	133
16	Other relevant data and information.....	135
17	Interpretation and conclusions	136
18	Recommendations.....	138
19	References	140

Tables

Table 1.1	Statement for the Bea Mountain Licence, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014.....	7
Table 3.1	Qualified Persons responsible for this report.....	16
Table 4.1	WGS84 UTM Zone 29 N vertices of the Class A mining licence.....	18
Table 4.2	Ownership history	19
Table 9.1	Soil sampling at Ndablama and Weaju.....	44
Table 9.2	Trench sampling at Ndablama and Weaju.....	45
Table 9.3	Ndablama trenches significant intercepts	46
Table 9.4	Weaju trenches significant intercepts	48
Table 9.5	Comparisons of 2006 and 2012 airborne geophysical surveys	48
Table 10.1	Ndablama drilling campaign details	52
Table 10.2	Ndablama drilling intersections	54
Table 10.3	Weaju drilling campaign details	61
Table 10.4	Significant intersections at Weaju	63
Table 10.5	Gondoja significant intersections	67
Table 10.6	Significant Leopard Rock drill results.....	68
Table 11.1	Certified reference material (CRM) for Ndablama and Weaju projects	73
Table 13.1	Screen fire assay results: Ndablama	81
Table 13.2	Gravity/cyanidation summary: Ndablama	83
Table 13.3	Screen fire assay results: Weaju	84
Table 13.4	Sulphide comminution results: Weaju.....	85
Table 13.5	Gravity/cyanidation summary: Weaju	86
Table 13.6	Oxide preg-robbing evaluation: Weaju	87
Table 13.7	Oxide effect of grind: Weaju.....	87
Table 13.8	Oxide shear pre-oxygenation: Weaju.....	87
Table 13.9	Sulphide preg-robbing evaluation: Weaju	88
Table 13.10	Sulphide effect of grind: Weaju	88
Table 13.11	Sulphide shear pre-oxygenation: Weaju	88
Table 14.1	Ndablama exploration drilling used for resource estimation	91

Table 14.2	Ndablama sample database data tables	91
Table 14.3	Ndablama intervals removed from the diamond drillhole database.....	91
Table 14.4	Ndablama model dimensions.....	93
Table 14.5	Ndablama block model parameters	93
Table 14.6	Mineralized shell codes (MINZONE field)	93
Table 14.7	Oxidation Zone codes (WEAZONE field).....	94
Table 14.8	Coded model field descriptions.....	94
Table 14.9	Coded model field descriptions.....	95
Table 14.10	Twin hole pairs reviewed by AMC.....	95
Table 14.11	Declustering cell dimensions	97
Table 14.12	Summary statistics within Ndablama mineralized zones.....	97
Table 14.13	Summary indicator statistics MS01 domain*	100
Table 14.14	Summary indicator statistics MS02 domain*	100
Table 14.15	Summary of Ndablama MS01 and MS02 gold and indicator variogram models.....	102
Table 14.16	Estimation parameters Ndablama.....	106
Table 14.17	Change of support coefficients – Ndablama.....	107
Table 14.18	Mean gold values: model and declustered composites Ndablama	108
Table 14.19	Resource Statement for the Ndablama Gold Deposit, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014.....	113
Table 14.20	Ndablama deposit tonnage-grade estimates at a range of cut-off grades.....	114
Table 14.21	Tonnage and grade for Ndablama zones by weathering zone.....	115
Table 14.22	Exploration drilling used for Weaju resource estimation.....	115
Table 14.23	Weaju sample database data tables.....	115
Table 14.24	Mineralization domain codes used for Weaju project	117
Table 14.25	Weaju block model parameters	118
Table 14.26	Weaju codes	118
Table 14.27	Twin hole pairs reviewed by AMC.....	119
Table 14.28	Average density values assigned to Weaju block model domains	120
Table 14.29	Capping values for Weaju.....	123
Table 14.30	Summary of Weaju variogram models.....	123
Table 14.31	Weaju summary of estimation parameters	125
Table 14.32	Resource Statement for the Weaju Gold Deposit, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 20 November 2013.	129
Table 14.33	Weaju deposit tonnage-grade estimates at a range of cut-off grade.....	130
Table 14.34	Tonnage and grade for Weaju domains	131
Table 14.35	Combined Resource Statement for the Bea Mountain Licence, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014	132
Table 18.1	Recommended infill and extension drilling for Ndablama and Weaju	138

Figures

Figure 4.1	Location of the Bea-MDA property in Liberia.....	17
Figure 4.2	Bea Class A mining licence limits	18
Figure 5.1	Road access to the project	21
Figure 7.1	Regional geological setting	24
Figure 7.2	Age province map of Liberia	25
Figure 7.3	General geology of the Bea-MDA property.....	26
Figure 7.4	Amphibolite gneisses (upper package).....	27
Figure 7.5	Sheared ultramafic schists (mineralized zone)	27

Figure 7.6	Granite gneiss with microcline granite injection (lower package)	28
Figure 7.7	Geological map of Ndablama pressure shadow zone	29
Figure 7.8	Geology of Ndablama	31
Figure 7.9	Ndablama example cross-sections	32
Figure 7.10	Visible gold in drill core	33
Figure 7.11	Longitudinal plan of gold grade	34
Figure 7.12	Hangingwall ultramafics	35
Figure 7.13	Footwall amphibolite gneisses	35
Figure 7.14	Sheared ultramafics (mineralized zone)	35
Figure 7.15	Geological map of Weaju	36
Figure 7.16	Weaju North Zone cross-section	37
Figure 7.17	Weaju Central Zone cross-section	37
Figure 7.18	Yambesei shear zone corridor targets	39
Figure 7.19	Gondoja geology with trench and drillhole locations	40
Figure 7.20	Gondoja section	40
Figure 7.21	Mineralization in cores (Ndablama and Weaju)	41
Figure 8.1	Schematic of orogenic gold systems	42
Figure 9.1	13 km soil anomaly	44
Figure 9.2	Weaju soil and trench locations	47
Figure 9.3	Bea Mountain geophysics interpretation	49
Figure 9.4	Ndablama radiometrics interpretation	49
Figure 9.5	Leopard Rock – Ndablama gap ground IP survey	50
Figure 10.1	Ndablama drilling, Phases 1–4	53
Figure 10.2	Weaju drilling	62
Figure 10.3	Leopard Rock geology and drilling location	68
Figure 11.1	Structural core measurements	70
Figure 11.2	Measurement of bulk densities	71
Figure 11.3	Sampling, sample preparation, and assay flowchart	74
Figure 11.4	Summary of standards and blank quality control samples for Ndablama project	75
Figure 11.5	Summary of standards and blank quality control samples for Weaju project	76
Figure 11.6	Summary of coarse reject duplicates Ndablama project, diamond drillholes only	77
Figure 13.1	Composite test sample drillholes: Ndablama	82
Figure 13.2	Composite test sample drillholes: Weaju	85
Figure 14.1	Ndablama deposit, Main Zone (MS01) and South-East Zone (MS02)	92
Figure 14.2	Key mineralization units for the Ndablama deposit, section 413027 north	94
Figure 14.3	Ndablama twinned drillholes, NDD038 and NDRC001	96
Figure 14.4	Ndablama dry bulk density summary statistics	97
Figure 14.5	Summary statistics for Ndablama MS01 and MS02 Zones	98
Figure 14.6	Declustered histogram and log probability plots for Ndablama MS01 and MS02 Zones	99
Figure 14.7	MS01 gold variogram model	103
Figure 14.8	MS02 gold variogram model	104
Figure 14.9	Swath plots for central zone block model and declustered composites Ndablama deposit	108
Figure 14.10	Grade plot for Central Zone Ndablama LMIK estimate, MS01	109
Figure 14.11	Grade plot for South-East Zone Ndablama LMIK estimate, MS02	109
Figure 14.12	Oblique 3D view of Ndablama project gold grade block model	110
Figure 14.13	Cross-section 790590 north for Ndablama project gold grade block model	111
Figure 14.14	Classified Ndablama gold grade block model and pit shell	113
Figure 14.15	Tonnage and grade curve for Ndablama Inferred Resources	114

Figure 14.16	Weaju wireframe zones and drillholes	117
Figure 14.17	Summary statistics for Weaju gold domains*	119
Figure 14.18	Summary statistics for Weaju density data by domain*	121
Figure 14.19	Summary statistics for Weaju composite domains	122
Figure 14.20	Summary statistics for capped composite domains.....	123
Figure 14.21	Gold variogram for combined Weaju domains N101 and N102	124
Figure 14.22	3D view of Weaju gold grade block model.....	126
Figure 14.23	Cross-section 287595 east for Weaju project block model, North Zone	127
Figure 14.24	Oblique cross-section of block model Weaju project, Ridge Zone	128
Figure 14.25	Tonnage and grade curve for Weaju inferred resources	130
Figure 15.1	Properties adjacent to the Bea-MDA Mountain mining licence	133
Figure 15.2	Geological interpretation of Aureus mining licence package	134

2 Introduction

This technical report (Technical Report or Report) on the Ndablama and Weaju gold projects (the Projects) within the Bea Mountain Mineral Development Agreement (Bea-MDA) property in Liberia, West Africa, has been compiled by AMC Consultants (UK) Limited (AMC) of Maidenhead, UK, for Aureus Mining Inc. (Aureus). Aureus, through its ownership of Bea Mountain Mining Corporation (Bea) has a 100% interest in the Bea-MDA, in which the Projects are located.

The work covered in this report relates to exploration, drilling, metallurgical testwork, and Mineral Resource estimation undertaken within Bea Mountain Mining Licence, Northern Block in the period 1999 through to 26 September 2014.

This Report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 43-101, "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects" (NI 43-101), of the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) for lodgement on the CSA's "System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval" (SEDAR). This report is required to support estimation of Mineral Resources for the Ndablama and Weaju deposits, and a Mineral Resource statement as announced by Aureus in a press release issued on 1 December 2014.

The content of this Report has been prepared by Chris Arnold, MAusIMM (CP)Geo, and Glenn Bezuidenhout, FSAIMM, both of whom meet the requirements of a Qualified Person, and are independent as defined in NI 43-101.

In 2009 and 2011, Chris Arnold visited the Aureus exploration and development site at the New Liberty Gold Mine, located on the adjacent, southern, portion of the Bea-MDA property, and on the second visit also inspected the sample preparation facility, located in Monrovia. Between 17 September 2013 and 21 September 2013, David Boakye, Senior Geologist, of AMC, visited the camp from which exploration activities relating to the Ndablama and Weaju projects are conducted. Subsequently, between 9 June 2014 and 13 June 2014, Chris Arnold visited the project site. During their respective visits, David and Chris each carried out ground inspections of exploration activities, including drilling, sampling, core logging, core cutting and site data management.

On 29 November 2012, Glenn Bezuidenhout visited Aureus operations on the adjacent Bea Mountain Mining Licence Southern Block.

3 Reliance on other experts

With respect to the Bea-MDA between the Republic of Liberia and Bea (Section 4 of this Report), AMC has relied on copies of documents provided by Aureus that confirm the terms of the Bea-MDA.

With respect to the granting of a Class A Mining licence to Bea (the Licence) (Section 4 of this Report), AMC has relied on copies of a document provided by Aureus that confirm the terms of the Licence.

Most of the factual text for this Technical Report covering Items 4–11 was prepared by Aureus and provided to AMC for review. Aureus also supplied supporting technical documents which AMC has used to verify this data where practical.

AMC has compiled this Report from contributions by the individuals listed in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Qualified Persons responsible for this report

Qualified Person	Position	Employer	Independent of Issuer?	Professional Designation	Sections of Report
Christopher G Arnold	Principal Geologist	AMC Consultants (UK) Limited	Yes	MAusIMM (CP)Geo	All sections apart from Section 13, parts of Sections 1, 17 and 18.
Glenn Bezuidenhout	Process Director	DRA Mineral Projects	Yes	FSAIMM	Section 13, parts of Sections 1, 17 and 18.

4 Property description and location

The Bea-MDA property is located within the Republic of Liberia, which is situated on the coast of the south-west corner of West Africa and bordered by Sierra Leone, Guinea and Cote d'Ivoire. The country lies between longitude 7°30' and 11°30' west, latitude 4°18' and 8°30' north, and covers a surface area of 111,369 km². Liberia's capital is Monrovia and, as of the 2008 Census, had a population of 3,476,600.

4.1 Location

The Bea-MDA property is situated 90 km north-west of the capital in Grand Cape Mount County, in the north-western portion of the Republic of Liberia, approximately longitude 11° west, 7° north, as shown in Figure 4.1. The projects are situated within the Bea-MDA property, the UTM coordinates of which are shown in Table 4.1.

Figure 4.1 Location of the Bea-MDA property in Liberia



Source: Aureus, 2014


4.2 Property description

The Bea-MDA property covers an area of 457 km² with boundaries described by cadastral and cartographic survey in maps at the Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy of Republic of Liberia. The Projects are shown in Figure 4.2, along with the New Liberty Gold Mine development site and other targets, which are currently the subject of exploration. The Bea-MDA property, which is covered by the Licence, has been reduced from a prior exploration lease which covered a total of 1,000 km².

This Report relates to the Northern Block of the Bea-MDA property, the boundaries of which are illustrated in Figure 4.2. The Northern Block boundary with the adjacent Southern Block coincides with Silver Hills Mountains, trend EW and form a natural barrier between the blocks.

The UTM coordinates of the boundary between the blocks of the Bea-MDA property are as follows: 265019E, 781588N; 267350E, 782143N; 286312E, 783220N and 290035E, 783220N.

Figure 4.2 Bea Class A mining licence limits



Source: Aureus, 2014

Table 4.1 WGS84 UTM Zone 29 N vertices of the Class A mining licence

Boundary	UTM E	UTM N
0	250035	773000
1	250035	778000
2	265035	778000
3	265003	780000
4	284981	785013
5	284981	785013
6	300035	793033
7	300035	800000
8	307035	800000
9	307035	790000
10	300035	790000
11	300035	786000
12	290035	786000
13	290035	780000
14	280035	780000
15	280035	777000
16	273035	777000
17	273035	773000

4.3 Ownership

Bea has a 100% interest in the current Bea-MDA, which was signed with the Liberian Government in November 2001. Bea is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aureus. The Bea-MDA was amended and restated by the Government in September 2013, with a remaining term of 13 years and the right to extend for an additional 25-year term. Bea was previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of African Aura Mining Inc. (African Aura), formerly called Mano River Resources Inc. (Mano River). On 13 April 2011, African Aura completed a Plan of Arrangement ("Arrangement") under the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) pursuant to which it transferred its gold assets, 30,792,770 shares in Stellar Diamonds plc and US\$10.6 million cash (the "Transferred Assets") to Aureus and African Aura was renamed Afferro Mining Inc. (Afferro).

Under the Arrangement, among other things, the Transferred Assets were acquired by Aureus and each participating shareholder received new common shares in Afferro and Aureus in exchange for the African Aura common shares held by such shareholder, on the basis of one new Afferro common share and one Aureus common share for each African Aura common share held by such shareholder.

Table 4.2 summarizes the ownership history.

Table 4.2 Ownership history

Date	Company	Comments
August 1995	KAFCO	Assigned rights in area to Golden Limbo
18 November 1996	Golden Limbo	Assigned rights to Bea
22 November 1996	Bea	Approval received
22 April 1998	Bea	Bea-MDA defined as 1000 km ²
28 November 2001	Bea	Bea-MDA reduction to 457 km ² came into effect
29 July 2009	Bea	Granted a Class A Mining Licence

4.4 Title

The mineral exploration and exploitation rights defined by the Bea-MDA originally became effective on 22 April 1998. Previously the ground was held by a Liberian entity known as KAFCO. In August 1995 KAFCO received government approval to assign its rights to the licence to Golden Limbo Rock Liberia Ltd (Golden Limbo). On 18 November 1996, Golden Limbo assigned its rights to the licence to Bea which was subsequently approved by the government on 22 November 1996. In April 1998, in anticipation of a new Mining Code, Bea replaced the existing licence and assignment, and entered into a specially-negotiated Exploration Agreement. Upon ratification of the new Mining Code in 2000, Bea, in keeping with the new law, reduced the size (acreage) of the licence and entered into the present governing Bea-MDA. The Bea-MDA came into effect on 28 November 2001 and has an initial term of 25 years, which may be extended for successive 25-year terms.

Under the terms of the Bea-MDA, there is a 3% royalty to the Republic of Liberia calculated on a production basis. In addition, the Republic of Liberia is entitled to receive, free of charge, an equity interest on Bea's operations equal to 10% of its authorized and outstanding share capital without dilution (i.e. a 10% "carried interest"). African Aura through its subsidiary was required to pay the Republic of Liberia US\$0.08 per acre per year as a rental fee for the exploration licence. Due to the civil unrest in the country, the Ministry of Lands, Mines, and Energy suspended the exploration period as from July 2002 until 4 January 2005.

During the initial term of the Bea-MDA, Bea was required to make minimum exploration expenditures of US\$1.40 per acre per year. Excess expenditures in a given year can be credited against succeeding years work requirements. The Bea-MDA provides Bea the right to free access to public land and will assist Bea in cases where access to private lands is necessary. Prior to the commencement of exploitation and production Bea is required to provide an Environmental Impact Statement to the Minister, detailing any adverse effects operations may have on the environment and review plans to mitigate such effects. From time to time Bea is required to submit detailed plans "for the protection, correction and restoration of the water, land and the atmosphere".

Bea was granted the Licence on 29 July 2009. Annual licence fees for the Licence, based on the production area of 457 km² (the Production Area), amounts to US\$0.80 per acre, which equates to US\$90,146 per annum (1 km² = 247.1 acres). The Licence for the Production Area shall remain valid and effective for the unexpired portion of the Bea-MDA and any extensions thereof. The Licence allows Bea to commercially exploit minerals found in the Production Area and all other activities incidental thereto, including the design, construction, installation, fabrication, operation, maintenance and repair of infrastructure, facilities and equipment and the mining, excavation, extraction, recovery, handling, beneficiation, processing, milling, stockpiling, transportation, export and sale of minerals.

4.5 Environmental


To the extent known, the area has had only limited surface artisanal workings, small-scale historical mining at Weaju, and has no historical environmental issues.

5 Accessibility, climate, local resources, infrastructure and physiography

5.1 Accessibility

The Ndablama and Weaju projects are accessible from Monrovia by vehicle, with approximately 90 km of paved road to the town of Tubmanburg. A further 40 km of laterite road, which forks after Lofa Bridge provides access to the Projects, with a north-east section heading to Ndablama and a north-west section to Weaju. Secondary roads on the Licence, built by Aureus, provide access across the property (Figure 5.1). The sandy nature of the roads allows all year round access, including during the height of the rainy season.

Figure 5.1 Road access to the project



Source: Aureus, 2014

5.2 Physiography

Within the Bea-MDA property are both primary and secondary forest, as well as some grassland and farmland. The topography ranges from around 50 m AMSL to a maximum of 600 m AMSL, with the majority of the licence area being composed of gently undulating plains at less than 200 m AMSL, with two prominent east-west ridges of resistant rock units (the Bea Mountain and Tokani ranges). Vegetation consists of tropical trees attaining heights of 30 m to 40 m above the forest floor, with thick undergrowth common (primary rain forest is mainly in the mountainous areas).

The Ndablama and surrounding area is dominated by low ridges. Elevations range between 150 m to 350 m AMSL. The project itself is located between these ridges over-looking lower terrain to the east. Vegetation is primary forest, with a thick canopy and little undergrowth. Rock outcrops are found mostly in the valleys which run down the ridges caused by water channels, with boulders on some of the valleys and hillsides.

Weaju is located on a hillside at the edge of the east–west trending Bea-mountain range. The Weaju project itself is positioned on the concave northern slope. A small creek follows the topography running down the slope. The highest point is 300 m AMSL in the south, sloping down to 250 m AMSL in the north. The project is mostly covered by secondary forest. In common with the majority of Liberia, deep lateritic soils limit rock outcrop to streams and the more rugged hill areas.

5.3 Climate

The equatorial climate is hot year-round, with heavy rainfall from May to October and a short interlude in mid-July to August. During the winter months of November to March, dry dust-laden Harmattan winds blow inland. Average annual rainfall along the coastal belt is over 4,000 mm and declines to 1,300 mm at the forest-savannah boundary in the north (Bongers, F et al, 1999). Temperatures range from the low 20°C during the rainy season to warm (low 30°C) during the dry season. Exploration has generally been able to continue throughout the rainy season.

5.4 Infrastructure

The 1989–2003 civil wars in the Republic of Liberia had a devastating effect on the country's economy, with neglect and damage resulting in much of Liberia's physical infrastructure being destroyed. Reconstruction began during 2003 and there has since been a recovery in critical infrastructure sectors such as power, water and transport.

The Liberian Electricity Corporation currently supplies 10 MW in Monrovia, with private generators meeting the remaining requirement. The Freeport of Monrovia, which is privately run under a concession from the government, is one of four main ports in Liberia and is currently the only port with cargo and oil handling facilities. It can accommodate third-generation container ships.

Liberia has approximately 10,600 km of road networks throughout the country, of which 650 km are paved highway. Some of the dirt roads in the interior of the country were constructed in the 1990s, chiefly by Asian timber companies. These roads were well built and maintained at the time. Access to the Projects is addressed in Section 5.1.

The 490 km of rail line in Liberia was primarily constructed to haul iron-ore from interior mining areas to ports. Much of the Bong Mine rail is still usable, while an iron ore mining company, ArcelorMittal SA, has renovated the Nimba railway to the port of Buchanan. Buchanan lies 260 km well to the east of the project and consequently this rail line has no impact thereon.

Internet service is available in Monrovia and in some smaller urban centres. The Aureus camps at Ndablama and Weaju use 512-512 Vsat VOIP facilities. Cellular phone coverage in the Republic of Liberia is good within the major urban areas and is widespread throughout much of the country, with signal available at all of Aureus' main exploration sites.

The former Mano River railway, which was used for transporting iron ore, crosses the licence area between Weaju and Lofa Bridge however it is no longer in use and the rails have been removed.

The increase in mining operations in the Republic Liberia is expanding the supply of mining personnel and mining services, such as drilling contractors, equipment rental and services, engineering services and a trained labour force. In addition, there is a mobile West African work force in the mining industry.

5.5 Local resources

In the area around the Bea-MDA property, covering Grand Cape Mount County between the localities of Gbah and Gbesse, large tracts of land are devoted to rubber farms; however these are located mainly outside the licence area. Closer to the Sierra Leone border the major farming activity is oil palm cultivation.

Within the Bea-MDA property, there are several local, small-scale alluvial diamond and gold operations on the Mabong, the Lofa and the Yambesei rivers. The Gondoja North, Weaju and Ndablama projects all have small-scale artisanal mining communities.

6 History


Gold mineralization in the area now covered by the Bea-MDA, including Ndablama, Gondoja and Weaju has been known about since 1949 (Thayer et al 1974), however no systematic work is known to have been undertaken. The main work carried out within the Bea-MDA property has concentrated on the New Liberty deposit. Golden Limbo (pre-November 1996) completed desktop studies, reviewed satellite imagery, carried out target selection and acquired a portfolio of licences. In 1997, Mano River collected preliminary channel samples across the artisanal workings, where primary rock was exposed. During reconnaissance work numerous targets for gold mineralization were identified through geological mapping, supported by soil and stream geochemical sampling.

It is reported (A.C.A. Howe International Ltd. 2000) that, in the late 1980s, a company, Atlantic Mining, installed a small wash plant, mine buildings and accommodation, and commenced mining for gold from adits and hand-excavated shafts around Weaju. A large area of alluvial ground and swamp close to the mine site was cleared to provide alternative ground for artisanal miners. Larger scale production was due to commence in 1990, but the outbreak of civil hostilities led to the abandonment of the operations and the excavations were filled in. No results are available from referenced drilling.

7 Geological setting and mineralization

Liberia is situated within the West African Craton, which has remained stable since about 1.7 Ga. The craton consists of two major basement domains; the Reguibat shield (in the north around Mauritania) and the Man Shield (3.0 to 2.5 Ga). The two shields are separated by the Taoudeni basin of Proterozoic to Paleozoic age. The Man Shield lies to the west of the Proterozoic Birimian Belts. Liberia is located within the Man Shield (Figure 7.1).

Figure 7.1 Regional geological setting




Modified from: Milési et al. 1992

To the east of Liberia is a Birimian-age (2.1 Ga) proto-continent that accreted onto Africa during the Eburnean Orogeny (Milési, J-P, et al 1992). Along the southern edge of the country, Pan African units represent the formation of Gondwana (500 Ma). The west of Liberia is comprised of Archean granites and granitic gneiss, as well as greenstone belts (metamorphosed mafic and ultramafic rocks, bounded by granite and gneisses suites which represent remains of volcanic belts), summarized in Figure 7.2. The Archean rocks have been subjected to deformation and shearing, with the major regional structures acting as conduits for mineralizing fluids.

An Archean mobile belt along the border between north-west Liberia and Sierra Leone represents a collision orogeny, with a north-east trend and a north-westerly directed closure. Oceanic crust, overlain by sediments, is preserved as tectonic inliers and forms the Bea Mountains, Kpo Range and associated greenstone belts. Later Eburnean (2.15 Ga) deformation is also found to the south-east. A major, crustal scale, north-westerly-trending shear zone in the south-western part of the country cuts across the regional trend of the Archean

mountain belt. The interference of these two tectonic elements produced complex structures with a strong rotational component of deformation and formed large and long-lived traps for mineralization.

Figure 7.2 Age province map of Liberia




Source: Hurley et al. 1971

7.1 Geology of the Bea-MDA property

The Bea-MDA property contains a sequence of highly-deformed discrete lenses of ultramafics and amphibolites, which represent relict Archean greenstone belts, surrounded by granites and granodiorites. These rocks have been subjected to lower amphibolite grade metamorphism resulting in gneissose or schistose textures, depending on the rock competency.

The greenstone belts are elongated parallel to the regional strike, which is east-trending in the south, swinging to the north-east across a major shear in the north. Two sub-parallel arms of this greenstone unit have been mapped across the entire property; the northern arm represented by the Bea Mountain range and the southern arm the Silver Hills (Figure 7.3). Airborne geophysics has identified other, less clearly defined, east-west trending units in the south and north-west of the Bea-MDA property.

Figure 7.3 General geology of the Bea-MDA property



Source: Aureus, 2013

The Bea-MDA property contains several known areas of gold mineralization; these are located in major imbricate shear zones and possibly associated rotational fold hinges close to greenstone belt contacts, forming coevally with calc-alkaline granitoid intrusions. The shears and associated splays acted as structural channels for hydrothermal solutions, which deposited gold in suitable structures or chemical traps, typical of Upper Archean to Lower Proterozoic styles of metallogeny within greenstone belts

The east of the Bea-MDA Northern Block is characterized by the presence of a granite batholith, bordered on both the north-western and south-eastern sides by two prominent shear zones, respectively the Yambesei and the Lofa. The area immediately west of the batholith represents a pressure shadow zone, along which Ndablama is located. The Ndablama pressure shadow zone and the Yambesei corridor consist of sequences of deformed mafic to ultramafic units which typically host gold mineralization. This is highlighted by a continuous 13 km long zone of soil anomalies extending from the Leopard Rock project (situated south of Ndablama within the adjacent and contiguous Archean Gold Exploration Licence) north to the Gondoja and Welinkua projects.

Weaju is located at the eastern edge of the Bea Mountain range which is known to host itabirite. The site corresponds to a fold closure of sheared mafic and ultramafic rocks.

7.2 Geology of Ndablama

7.2.1 Stratigraphy


The Ndablama gold target is underlain by Archean greenstone comprised of amphibolite gneisses and ultramafic rocks.

Geologically, Ndablama is subdivided into three entities, designated the Northern, Central and South Eastern zones. The general geology of Ndablama consists of mafics and ultramafic rocks. The mafic package consists of amphibolite schists and gneisses which envelope a series of ultramafic schists. The ultramafic rocks have been subdivided into magnetite-rich and magnetite-poor zones. The ultramafic and mafic rocks are located close to the contact with a large granitic batholith to the east. The metavolcanic sequence has been intruded by granitic sills.

A simplified lithological sequence of Ndablama comprises three distinct packages:

- The hangingwall is comprised of a package of amphibolite gneisses sparsely intercalated with granitic gneiss and deformed granitic intrusions. Towards the base of the package bands of magnetite rich ultramafics can also found (Figure 7.4).
- The middle package of amphibolite and ultramafic schists. The ultramafics are comprised of tremolite-chlorite with either magnetite or phlogopite, and biotite. Occasionally, this package is intruded by granitic breccias and quartz rich veins usually along the contact zone between the mafic and ultramafic rocks. This package is host to the mineralized zone (Figure 7.5).
- A lower package (the footwall) which is made up of more amphibolite and granitic gneiss units which are intruded by microcline rich granite and has a weak hematite alteration. (Figure 7.6).

Figure 7.4 Amphibolite gneisses (upper package)



Drillhole NDD016 (13.14–18.50 m)

Figure 7.5 Sheared ultramafic schists (mineralized zone)



Drillhole NDD047 (79–82 m)

Figure 7.6 Granite gneiss with microcline granite injection (lower package)




Drillhole NDD016 (133.48–139.22 m)

7.2.2 Structure

Ndablama lies on the western edge of a shallow westerly-dipping shear which lies within in a pressure shadow area of the Ndablama batholith to the east. The shear, which strikes in general north–south is gently folded around the edge of the batholith forming an open anticline plunging towards the west and hosts the gold mineralization, extends over 5 km from Leopard Rock South to Ndablama Far North (Figure 7.7). The resulting shear structure developed mostly in the middle package of amphibolites and ultramafics. The shear dips shallowly westwards varying between 30° and 10°.

Figure 7.7 Geological map of Ndablama pressure shadow zone



Source: Aureus, 2014

7.2.3 Alteration

Gold mineralization is associated with hydrothermal alteration and disseminated sulphides, and is related to shear deformation which follows the granite-metavolcanic contact zone.


Alteration is consistently defined by silicification, magnetite destruction, phlogopite and chlorite, with phlogopite dominating the relationship. Magnetite destruction within the ultramafics has been identified as having a direct relationship with gold mineralization.

The mineralization is located within a sheared package of ultramafic and mafic rocks intercalated within a gneiss sequence overlying a granite batholith. The mineralization consists of two bodies; the Central zone 750 m long and has been drilled to a vertical depth of 240 m and the South Eastern zone which has a 300 m strike length and has been tested to 120 m. Both have a shallow westerly dip reaching on average 25° and striking north (Figure 7.8 and Figure 7.9), the dip of the orebody decreases with depth. Mineralization continues to the north but at lower grade.

Sheared rocks, namely amphibolite schists with biotite/phlogopite and ultramafic tremolite chlorite schists, host the gold mineralization at Ndablama, with occasional magnetite-poor ultramafics and intrusive granites also contributing to the gold mineralization. Petrography carried out during 2014 shows that associated with the gold is disseminated pyrite and pyrrhotite and trace chalcopyrite (Thatcher 2014).


Part of the gold mineralization occurs as coarse fraction. Visible gold is consistently observed in most of the drillholes (Figure 7.10). It occurs mainly within the intensely silicified parts, but can also occur within less altered amphibolites or ultramafics.

Figure 7.8 Geology of Ndablama




Source: Aureus, 2014


Figure 7.9 Ndablama example cross-sections



Source: Aureus, 2014



Source: Aureus, 2014



Source: Aureus, 2014

Gold often occurs at sheared contact zones between ultramafic and mafic rocks that have been intruded by granitic dykes and breccias. The breccia often marks the end of the gold mineralization. Spatially, gold mineralization remains open in all directions.

Figure 7.10 Visible gold in drill core




Drillhole NDD045 (89.9 m)

Drillhole NDD047 (71.3-72 m)

The mineralized system shows a high-grade plunge (Figure 7.11). This plunge is controlled by the intersection of the axial planar cleavage with the regional foliation. This intersection has been modelled plunging at 13° towards 289°.

Figure 7.11 Longitudinal plan of gold grade



Source: Aureus, 2014

7.3 Geology of Weaju

7.3.1 Stratigraphy

The lithological sequence at Weaju is similar to that of Ndablama however the sequence starts in the ultramafic rocks which contain thin beds of more amphibolitic material, intercalated with ultramafics (Figure 7.12). The footwall unit (Figure 7.13) is dominated by amphibolite gneissose units, as well as a microcline granite-rich unit. Intruding into the system are brecciated granites and pegmatites. The brecciated granites have a weak fabric which is concordant with the main lithology, suggesting they intruded during the main shearing event. Later pegmatite material cross-cuts the dominant foliations, implying that it post-dates the mineralization.

Figure 7.12 Hangingwall ultramafics




Drillhole WJD0105 (59.46–64.5 m)

Figure 7.13 Footwall amphibolite gneisses



Drillhole WJD0105 (254.4–258.81 m)

Figure 7.14 Sheared ultramafics (mineralized zone)




Drillhole WJD0105 (205.95–211.26 m)

7.3.2 Structure

Weaju is hosted in a synformal fold, which plunges shallowly to the south-west at around 15°. Gold mineralization is found on both limbs of the fold, namely the North and Main zones, and at the surface expression of the fold axis, which is the Ridge and Creek zone (Figure 7.15, Figure 7.16, and Figure 7.17).

The gold mineralizing phase occurred before the last folding event but during a shearing event. Localized folds, formed during the main shearing event, act as focus for gold mineralization. These were later re-folded, forming high-grade plunging shoots of mineralization.

Figure 7.15 Geological map of Weaju



Source: Aureus, 2014

7.3.3 Alteration

Mineralization-related alteration includes silicification and a phlogopite-tourmaline-magnetite-carbonate assemblage.


Gold mineralization at Weaju is found in tremolite-talc-chlorite-schists. A magnetite halo around the edges of the system probably represents a regional metamorphic event, possibly related to serpentinization. Within

7.3.4 Mineralization

The gold mineralization at Weaju is hosted in the sheared ultramafic (Figure 7.14), and occasionally within the sheared contacts with amphibolite. The mineralization has a strong westerly plunge.


Mineralization is associated with sulphides in the rocks. It is dominated by pyrrhotite, with lesser arsenopyrite, pentlandite and, rarely, chalcopyrite. Thin sections carried out on one sample from Weaju (Robb 2001, Thatcher 2014) showed that the sulphide occurs as finely dispersed grains and also as occasional coarser bodies lying within the fabric of the rock. Free gold has also been observed within core samples.

Figure 7.16 Weaju North Zone cross-section



Source: Aureus, 2013

Figure 7.17 Weaju Central Zone cross-section



Source: Aureus, 2013

7.4 Geology of other main targets

7.4.1 Ndablama North and Far North

Ndablama North and Ndablama Far North targets are located within the 5 km long pressure shadow zone of the Ndablama batholith. They are part of the continuation of the north–south shear of the pressure shadow zone that hosts Ndablama (Figure 7.7).

The Ndablama North target is immediately north of Ndablama it strikes towards the north and has been trenched and drilled for over 500 metres. The Ndablama Far North target is the northernmost target within the pressure shadow zone.

The geology at both targets is similar to Ndablama and comprises of amphibolite and ultramafic rocks intruded by granites, with mineralization occurring mostly at the contacts of altered amphibolite schists and ultramafics.

7.4.2 Ndablama South


Ndablama South lies within the same pressure shadow zone as Ndablama, connecting the Ndablama target with Leopard Rock (a target in the Archaen Gold licence owned by Aureus to the south, (section 15.1). It represents the southern continuation of the Ndablama mineralized system.

Gold mineralization occurs at the contact between the mafic and ultramafic rocks, within altered ultramafics and in association with brecciated granitic intrusives.

7.4.3 Gondoja, Koinja, Gbalidee, and Welinkua

The Yambesei shear zone runs for 8 km along the northern edge of the Ndablama batholith. Work to date has highlighted four projects: Koinja, Gbalidee, Gondoja, and Welinkua (Figure 7.18) for which steeply dipping, shear-hosted mineralization has been intersected with trenches and in drillholes for Gondoja.

Figure 7.18 Yambesei shear zone corridor targets




Source: Aureus, 2014

Gondoja (Figure 7.19 and Figure 7.20), Koinja, Gbalidee, and Welinkua represent separate en-echelon shear dilatational structures trending from north-east to south-west within the Yambesei shear. Welinkua and Gondoja are located in the north-east, Gbalidee in the middle and Koinja furthest to the south-west.


The area is geologically underlain by an approximately 200 m wide mafic body consisting of a central zone of ultramafic rock bounded by amphibolite. A series of quartz carbonate veins intrude mainly into the ultramafic unit, although one prominent quartz vein cuts through amphibolite close to the northern margin of the body. Quartz veins are closely related to granitized schists. The geological setting of gold mineralization appears to be very similar to that at the New Liberty and at Weaju Projects. The association between the mineral assemblage and granitoid intrusion is comparable to intrusion-related gold mineralization systems.

Figure 7.19 Gondoja geology with trench and drillhole locations



Source: Aureus, 2013

Figure 7.20 Gondoja section



Source: Aureus, 2013

7.5 Metallogeny and paragenesis

Gold at Ndablama and Weaju is linked with an assemblage of sulphide and oxides in ultramafics and amphibolites. Opaque minerals include mainly pyrrhotite and magnetite. There are minor traces of pyrite, chalcopyrite, pentlandite, sphalerite, ilmenite and rutile. Sulphide growth may be in the form of vein fills, massive aggregates, clusters, blebs, stringers and fine or coarse disseminations in ultramafics or granite veins. There appears to be a progression from syntectonic to late-tectonic growth, with at least two phases of sulphide and oxide growth. The non-opaque minerals are amphibole, chlorite, mica, serpentine, talc and quartz. Pyrrhotite, coarse-grained pyrite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite and minor pentlandite are the principal sulphides; the chief observation being (but not always) an increase in grain size and abundance, both absolute and relative, in host rocks near granite veins.

In Figure 7.21, pyrrhotite and pyrite are shown in cut and uncut ultramafic core, with the bulk of the sulphides aligned to the dominant cleavage.

Figure 7.21 Mineralization in cores (Ndablama and Weaju)



8 Deposit types


The property hosts a typical Upper Archean to Lower Proterozoic style of metallogeny, characteristic of greenstone-hosted lode gold mineralization, where deposits are often referred to as orogenic, and characterized by the presence of gold-quartz veins and disseminated mineralization. This model is consistent with Archean orogenic gold deposits described by Hagemann and Cassidy (2000), Richards and Tosdal (2001) Goldfarb, Groves and Gardoll (2001), Roberts et al (1989).

Archean orogenic deposits are typically hosted in greenstone belts comprised of meta-volcano sedimentary supracrustal assemblages, together with coeval calc-alkaline granitoid intrusions. Gold mineralization is hosted in moderate to steeply dipping quartz-dominated shear zones with associated extensional vein systems. Gold mineralization is coeval with the syntectonic stages of the orogeny and is related to periods of crustal shortening at 8 km -15 km depth. Structures are typically formed at, or close to, contacts between rock types of contrasting competencies, and mineralization is often localized at bends or splay intersections in the shear system.

Mineralization in Archean orogenic deposits are associated with characteristic alteration styles (quartz-carbonate-sericite-biotite-sulphides) and often enriched in 'lodes' that plunge steeply. Gold deposits may occur in a variety of host rocks, which include granite, meta-volcanic rock (greenstones) and include mafic and ultramafic rock units and associated volcanoclastic, banded iron-formations and siliciclastic sediments, as observed within the Bea-MDA licence area. The schematic diagram (Figure 8.1) depicts a typical orogenic lode system with analogous geological settings for the deposit styles found on the Property.

The primary targets of Aureus' mineral exploration programme in Liberia is, shear zone-hosted gold systems, whether associated with quartz, granite veins, breccia zones, or granitic bodies. A structural control to mineralization is eminent with areas of multiple structures intersecting. Gold mineralization in these deposits is thought to have been emplaced by Au-bearing fluids flowing into dilatational zones formed by faults or fold hinges in high strain zones.

Figure 8.1 Schematic of orogenic gold systems



Modified from: Hagemann and Cassidy, 2001

Gold within the system was introduced as gold sulphide complexes in hydrothermal solutions, which may in part have been sourced from underlying granitic plutons. The solutions reacted when they came into contact with the magnetite within the ultramafic rocks, causing the deposition of native gold and sulphide minerals. Prominent examples of such deposits, some of which rank as world class, are: Golden Mile at Kalgoorlie, Australia, Kerr-Addison Mine in Ontario, Canada and Homestake Mine in the United States Groves et al. (2003), Robb (2005).

9 Exploration

9.1 Introduction

Exploration at the Bea-MDA property follows a systematic process of reconnaissance work, including grab-sampling, followed by soil geochemistry, mapping, trench sampling, and eventually drilling. Airborne and ground geophysics have also been conducted, where appropriate.

Exploration at Ndablama started in 2007-2008 with channel sampling. Results for the channel samples are not available even though they have been mentioned in some reports. African Aura carried out a soil sampling programme in 2010, followed by two trenching campaigns between 2010 and 2011, excavating 47 and 16 trenches respectively. Geological mapping and extension of the soil sampling were carried out alongside trenching by Aureus between 2011 and 2013.

Exploration at Weaju started in 1999 with mapping, local soil programmes, trenching and, initial drilling.

9.2 Methodologies

9.2.1 Coordinates, datum, grid control and topographic surveys

In the last quarter of 2012, six control points were set up at locations over the Ndablama, Leopard Rock and Gondoja projects areas with a Leica DGPS, by consultants from Ghana in collaboration with Aureus surveyors. These points served as the references for all spatial data collected within the projects areas.

A light detection and ranging (LIDAR) survey was flown during March 2013 over the northern block of the Licence. The survey, by CK Aerial Surveys Inc. of South Africa, produced topographic DTMs and contours, and aerial photographs. A total of 32 km² was covered during the survey, including Ndablama and Weaju and Leopard Rock in the Archean Gold exploration licence area.

Historically at Weaju drillhole coordinates were based on a local grid, which was subsequently converted to UTM29N projected coordinate system, referencing the WGS84 datum. The elevation (RL) was then corrected to the new topographic elevation obtained from the LIDAR survey. Subsequent drillhole and trench collars have been picked up using a SOKIA DGPS using WGS84 datum.

At Ndablama, since 2012, survey pickups for both drillholes and topography have been obtained using a Garmin handheld GPS. Later, all drillhole collars were re-surveyed using a Total Station, with reference to the control points. The LIDAR survey has improved the quality of survey work on the target. All drillhole collars and trenches surveyed with total station matched well with the LIDAR DTM.

9.2.2 Geological mapping

Company geologists map lithology, alteration, mineralization and structures using outcrop mapping. Outcrop is limited mostly to stream beds, road cuts, artisanal pits and trenches; therefore maps are progressively updated as more data from trenches and, drilling becomes available.

9.2.3 Regional stream and outcrop sampling


In the period 2005 and 2006, Mano acquired multi-element, stream sediment geochemical data from Western Mining Corporation (WMC) and undertook extensive regional outcrop and heavy mineral sampling programmes in Gola Konneh, Tewo and other districts.

Reconnaissance sediment surveys of small streams for gold and heavy mineral, in and around the Bea Mountain and Silver Hills ridges, have indicated the presence of several previously unknown gold occurrences, in water courses flowing off the Bea Mountain ridge, which require future investigation. In 2014 a Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) programme covered the adjacent Yambesei licence which is also owned by Aureus.

9.2.4 Soil geochemistry

Soil sampling is undertaken on a set grid, with line spacing determined by the objectives of each individual programme. Samples are positioned using handheld GPS, with 1 kg of soil taken from a depth of approximately 0.5 m, depending on the soil profile.

Figure 9.1 13 km soil anomaly



Source: Aureus, 2014

Ndablama

Exploration work at Ndablama was initially started from reconnaissance work at artisanal mining sites. Between 2009 and 2010, a soil sampling programme was carried out on a 50 m by 100 m grid spacing. A total of 1,331 soil samples were collected and analysed for gold. This programme, covering an area of approximately 6 km² between Ndablama Far North and Leopard Rock, detected a 1.2 km long, north-south trending zone of gold enrichment, up to 100 m wide, over the Ndablama prospect. This anomaly is part of a continuous anomaly covering the whole 5 km of the pressure shadow area (Figure 9.1).

In 2011, the soil grid was extended, with 1,256 samples (Table 9.1) taken west of Ndablama over an area called the Parallel Zone, to cover an additional 6.05 km². The soil results provided additional targets further west of the main Pressure Shadow Zone anomalies.

Table 9.1 Soil sampling at Ndablama and Weaju

Project	Year	Company	Number of soil samples
Ndablama	2010	African Aura	1,331
	Q3 2011	Aureus Mining Inc.	1,256
	2014	Aureus Mining	147
Weaju	1999-2005	Mano River	66
	1999-2005	Mano River	37
	1999-2005	Mano River	9
	2012-2013	Aureus	1,759
	2014	Aureus	245

In 2014 small soil programmes were carried out to fill gap between Ndablama and Leopard Rock prospects, and confirm anomalous zone in Ndablama north. A total of 98 and 49 samples have been collected respectively in Ndablama North and at Ndablama South. These activities confirmed anomalous trend and enabled to set trench and channel programmes.

Weaju

At Weaju, during 2012-2013 a total of 1,759 samples (Table 9.1) have been collected covering an area of 25 km². The main fold-like structure has been delineated by soils, showing the anomalous zones on each limb, and also extending the southern limb in the south-west up to 800 m.

In 2014 a soil programme was undertaken to the east of Weaju with 245 samples collected on north–south lines on a spacing of 400×100 m.

9.2.5 Trenching

Trenches are staked out by geologists at an alignment that intersects the strikes of structures, and are then excavated to a depth of 1 m–4 m, depending on bedrock intersection depth. The trenches are surveyed and logged, followed by continuous channel sampling along each metre of the trench.

Ndablama

At Ndablama, a follow-up trenching programme along a 400 m north–south soil anomaly was undertaken with 31 trenches completed in 2010 for a length of 2,521 m. This was then followed up with detailed mapping and a subsequent round of trenching in 2011, with 32 trenches excavated for 2,845 m.

A total of 63 trenches were completed at Ndablama for 5,366 m. Significant intercepts (Table 9.3) were found in the majority of the trenches, and results confirm the soil anomalies. The trench results paved the way for the first campaign of drilling in 2011, followed by Campaigns 2 and 3 drilling during 2012 and 2013 respectively.

Significant intercepts (Table 9.3) were found in the majority of the trenches, and results confirm the soil anomalies. The trench results paved the way for the first campaign of drilling in 2011, followed by Campaigns 2 and 3 in 2012 and 2013 respectively.

During 2012- 2013 an additional ten trenches were excavated, five at Ndablama North and five at Ndablama – Leopard Rock gap area. Trench lengths excavated were 666 m and 1,043 m respectively. Gold intercepts for the ten trenches are also shown in Table 9.3.

Table 9.2 Trench sampling at Ndablama and Weaju

Project	Year	Company	Number of trenches
Ndablama	2010	African Aura	NT001 – NT047
	Q1 2011	African Aura	NT047 – NT 063
	2012	Aureus Mining	NNT01 – NNT05
	2013	Aureus Mining	LNT01 – LNT05
	2014	Aureus Mining	NNT06 –NNT11 LNT6-LNT7
Weaju	1999	Mano River	T1-2
	2000	Mano River	T3-T12
	2013	Aureus	WJT1-8

Table 9.3 Ndablama trenches significant intercepts

Trench Values are reported from north to south				
Trench	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Mean Au g/t
NT23	3 6	4 6	10	0.5
NT30	1 5	1 7	2	9.4
NT29	115	1 48	33	0.8
and	122	134	12	1.3
NT62	30	39	9	2.1
including	34	38	4	3.9
and	42	46	4	0.8
NT42	0	3	3	0.7
and	22	37	15	0.6
NT17	20	42	22	0.6
including	25	34	9	0.8
and	68	150	82	2.1
including	74	80	6	3.5
including	101	105	4	5.3
including	132	147	15	4.8
NT43	76	87	11	3.8
and	90	100	10	0.7
and	116	124	8	1.3
and	148	154	6	0.6
NT21	12	20	8	1
and	38	45	7	1.1
NT16	60	67	7	1
and	20	42	22	0.6
NT13	0	12	12	2.3
and	34	89	55	2.2
and	54	59	5	1.7
and	88	90	2	4.6
and	113	121	8	1.2
NT8	35	40	5	1.7
NT7	0	24	24	1.8
including	14	22	8	3
NT3	0	44	44	1
including	36	43	7	1.8
NT1	0	70	70	1.4
including	4	12	8	5.2
NT2	16	86	70	1.1
including	77	86	10	1.6
NT48	38	45	7	2.3
NT9	56	88	32	1.1
NT11	40	42	2	4.8
NT34	2	6	4	0.5
NT36	0	3	3	1.5
and	60	70	10	2
and	84	101	17	0.6
NT32	25	30	5	0.7
and	113	120	7	0.6
and	137	147	10	7.8
NT18	6	10	4	1.3
and	15	18	3	3.2
NT10	36	55	19	1.5

Trench Values are reported from north to south				
Trench	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Mean Au g/t
NNT01	82	84	2	0.12
NNT02	23	87	64	0.54
and	76	87	11	1.17
NNT03	45	48	3	0.28
and	54	82	28	0.31
and	89	96	7	0.11
LNT03	18	32	14	1.52
NNT07	22	29	7	5.7
NNT08	4	19	15	0.7
and	101	109	8	1.4
NNT09	72	80	8	0.85
and	86	95	9	0.33
NNT10	75	82	7	0.93
and	90	97	7	0.39

Assay grade data is uncut.


The following trenches fell within the three zones but yielded NSV:

Trenches: NT031, NT033, NT035, NT037-041, NT044, NT051 and NT053-NT055, NT057-NT061, NT063, NNT04, NNT05, LNT01, LNT02, LNT04, LNT05 NNT06, NNT11 are not mineralized.

Weaju

At Weaju, historically, twelve trenches were completed on the site, although the exact location and gold grades of these are unknown due to loss of historical data and damage by artisanal miners. Between 2012 and 2013, Aureus completed a further eight trenches on the site, in both the main mineralized areas and along strike (Table 9.2, Table 9.4 and Figure 9.2).

Figure 9.2 Weaju soil and trench locations



Source: Aureus, 2012

Table 9.4 Weaju trenches significant intercepts

Trench ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au Grade (g/t)	Zone
WJT002	14	24	10	2.3	Main
Including	17	20	3	3.4	Main
WJT003	4	31	27	0.6	Main
WJT004	7	14	7	0.7	North
and	19	25	6	0.6	North
WJD001	16	24	8	0.7	Ridge
and	32	46	14	1.4	Ridge
Including	37	41	4	3.3	Ridge
and	58	61	3	0.3	Ridge
WJT006	16	31	15	1.2	Ridge
WJT007	7	9	2	0.4	Main extension
WJT008	6	9	3	0.4	Main extension
and	24	27	3	0.5	
and	34	39	5	0.4	
NSV – WJT005					


9.2.6 Geophysics

In May 2006, a high resolution helicopter-borne, combined magnetic gradient and gamma-ray spectrometer survey was conducted over the south-west and north-east sections of the licence area by New Resolution Geophysics (NRG). During 2012 a further survey, carried out by Geotech Airborne Limited, covering the remainder of the Bea-MDA property, and the adjacent Archean licence, which is also owned by Aureus. Sufficient overlap between the old and new surveys and matching line spacing enabled the surveys to be merged together. The survey parameters of both are summarized in Table 9.5. The datasets were merged by Geotech Airborne analysts and data quality control was undertaken by an independent consultant geophysicist. The magnetics show both structure and magnetic bodies, (Figure 9.3). The radiometric spectrometry enables the demarcation of different lithology types such as the granite batholith in the east of the pressure shadow zone at Ndablama (Figure 9.4).

Table 9.5 Comparisons of 2006 and 2012 airborne geophysical surveys


Company	Year	Survey Method	Data Acquired	Flight Elevation	Line Spacing	Positioning System	Line Flown (km)
New Resolution Geophysics	2006	Helicopter	Magnetics, spectrometry DTM	30 m	100 m with 1000 m tie lines	DGPS and radar altimeter	2,200
Geotech Airborne Limited	2012	Fixed wing	Magnetics, spectrometry DTM	100 m	100 m with 1000 m tie lines	GPS with WASS enabled and radar altimeter	9,631

Figure 9.3 Bea Mountain geophysics interpretation



Source: Aureus, 2014


Figure 9.4 Ndablama radiometrics interpretation



Source: Aureus, 2014

An induced polarization ground geophysical survey (Figure 9.5) was completed in this area in 2012 by Fugro to define the mineralization from Ndablama, through Ndablama South to Leopard Rock. The survey covers an area of 1.8 km². The work shows a 500 m NW–SE trending sulphide mineralization zone which appears to form a continuation of the main mineralized Leopard Rock to Ndablama shear zone.

Figure 9.5 Leopard Rock – Ndablama gap ground IP survey



Source: Aureus, 2014

9.3 Exploration of other main targets

At Ndablama Far North, five trenches were excavated earlier in 2013. They show wide gold intercepts that have north–south strike continuity for over 1 km. Geology is similar to Ndablama and comprises mainly sheared amphibolite and ultramafic rocks located within packages of granitic gneisses.

In 2014, six additional trenches were excavated in North Ndablama for a total of 708 metres. These showed the continuation of mineralization within the pressure shadow to the north of Ndablama.

Five Trenches were excavated in 2012 between Ndablama and Leopard Rock. One out of the five trenches returned significant gold mineralization. An additional two trenches were completed in 2014 which along with the soils in the area showing the continuation of the mineralized system between Ndablama and Leopard rock.

Each of the Gondoja, Gbalidee, Koinja, and Welinkua targets are represented by soil anomalies and have associated artisanal workings. Trenching and pitting has identified encouraging gold mineralization in the area. In 2014, pitting in Gondoja (7 pits) has been carried out to define other mineralization styles rather than quartz veining. Pitting showed alteration in amphibolite-ultramafic package and quartz veining potential.

Recent field studies suggested more exploration activities be carried out over these three targets showing soil anomalies straddling hills, swamps and artisanal workings.

10 Drilling

10.1 Drilling procedures

At Ndablama all phases until Phase 4 were diamond core drilling when both diamond and reverse circulation (RC) drilling were undertaken. All drilling was performed by external contractors. Diamond drilling was undertaken using industry standard methods with geologists or technicians at the rig supervising the drilling activities. All drilling campaigns at Weaju were conducted using diamond core drilling methods. Drillholes that were abandoned due to location errors or technical issues were redrilled as closely as possible to the original drillhole collar location.

Reverse circulation drilling was supervised by an Aureus geologist. Each metre of drilling was collected in separate sample bags which had been pre-labelled with the drillhole ID and interval metre. These bags were then weighed to check the recovery.

Zones for sampling were selected by the geologist based on the geological logging with help from the drawn geological sections. Each sample was then put through a 3 tiered rifle splitter after which the sample was weighed. After every sample the splitter was cleaned. Samples of the mineralized zone were then brought back to the core yard to have QA/QC inserted and be packed into batches and dispatched to the lab. The bulk sample was brought back to site later and stored for future use. For the first six holes the hangingwall and footwall were composited into two metre samples whilst for the remainder of holes only the mineralized zones and the immediate wall rocks were sampled and sent to the lab.

Whilst on the rig, a small portion of each metre from the bulk rejects was sieved and washed to be logged by the geologists and stored in a chip tray.

Collar coordinates

Ndablama collar coordinates were originally surveyed with Garmin hand held GPS, and subsequently picked up by a Sokia Total Station. The points were verified with the contour and DTM data obtained from the LIDAR survey carried out in early in 2013. To date all drillholes and collars have been properly surveyed and are well positioned on the LIDAR DTM.

At Weaju, in 2013 a DGPS was used to survey drill collars (as described in Section 9). All collars were found to correspond well with the LIDAR topographical surface.

Downhole surveys

Downhole surveying procedures were similar throughout all phases of the drilling. The equipment used was Reflex Gyro downhole survey tool and core orientation was done with the Gyro ACT II orientation tool. The equipment was supplied by the drilling companies and operated by the Aureus geology field crew.

At Ndablama, deviations in azimuth generally average $\pm 4^\circ$ for both diamond holes and reverse circulation (RC) holes. Downhole survey intervals were 10 m in most cases and 5 m where applicable. Actual azimuth and inclination deviations were very minimal, except for the NDD066 which returned 29° deviation over 272.1 m.

For Weaju, average recorded dip deviation over the full length of each hole is around 1° , but some deeper holes (more than 400 m) deviate more than 15° . Average azimuth deviation is around 1° . Up to hole WJD0048 only end-of-hole (typically 100 m) readings were taken. Thereafter, surveys were taken at 10 m intervals, or less in some cases.

Core recovery

Core recovery data for the Ndablama project had significant errors that were corrected by Aureus by re-examining core and rechecking logging data for intervals of poor recovery and unusually high recovery. The average core recovery for the Main zone was 97% and 97% for the South-west zone. Although the average core recoveries were relatively high, significant intervals with low recoveries from 70% to 10% some contiguous over 30 m are a concern. While poor recovery can be expected in the weathered portion of the deposit, significant core loss occurred in the unweathered portion of the mineralized units.

Drill core recovery during the first four Weaju campaigns averaged out at 84%, with the majority occurring in oxide zone. During Campaign 5 (2012 to 2013) an average recovery of 90% was achieved, with 73% recovery in the oxide and 97% in fresh rock.

10.2 Ndablama drilling programme

Ndablama exploration work was conducted between 2010 and 2014. This consisted of drilling carried out in four phases. The first phase was conducted by African Aura in 2010, for a total of 15 diamond holes. Aureus Mining then conducted two drilling campaigns with 21 and 18 diamond holes in 2012, and 2013 respectively. A fourth campaign comprising of 62 diamond and 39 RC holes was drilled in 2013-2014. In all, a total of 154 drillhole have been completed at Ndablama.

Between 2011 and 2014 a total of 115 diamond drillholes and 39 RC drillholes, totalling 27,160 m were completed at Ndablama, predominantly oriented to the east, and typically inclined at 55° to 60°. Most of the holes were planned on 90° azimuth and inclined at -45°, -50° and -60° degree.

Most of the holes were drilled along the 1,050 m strike length of the Central and South East Zones. The current Ndablama resource has been defined based on the holes drilled within these 2 zones. Ndablama North has been drilled tested along 450 m of its strike length.

Details of drilling at the Ndablama target are shown in Table 10.1 and Figure 10.1. Significant drill intersections are summarized in Table 10.2.


Drilling was carried out by two different contractors. Campaigns 1 and 2 were completed by Geosearch Drilling Services of South Africa. Campaign 3 and 4 was conducted by Boart Long Year whilst the RC drilling was carried out by Oresearch Drilling services during Campaign 4.

The core sizes drilled varied over time as well as within holes, HQ followed by NQ core sizes were drilled during Campaigns 1 and 2. In Campaign 3 and 4 holes were drilled with PQ3 in the oxide zone to reduce core losses, followed by HQ up to saprock and NQ for the remainder of the hole. 7 of the diamond holes drilled in the previous campaigns were twinned by RC.

Table 10.1 Ndablama drilling campaign details

Ndablama Drilling Campaigns							
Project	Campaign	Period	Number of Holes Drilled	Total Metres Drilled	Total Samples Taken	Total Samples Sent to Laboratory	Results Received
Ndablama	1	2010	15	2,665	2,390	2,390	2,390
Ndablama	2	Q4 2011 to Q2 2012	21	3,317	3,646	3,646	3,646
Ndablama	3	Q1 2013	18	2331	2,579	2,579	2,579
Ndablama	4 (DD)	Q4 2013 to Q2 2014	61	13,020	9,574	9,574	8,131
	4 (RC)	Q2 2014	39	5,827	3,917	3,917	3,577
TOTALS			154	27,160	22,106	22,106	20,323

Figure 10.1 Ndablama drilling, Phases 1–4



Source: Aureus, 2014

Significant gold mineralization drillhole intercepts for all the zones from Ndablama N to SE are indicated in Table 10.2. These intercepts are as for drilling results published by Aureus, but are not necessarily consistent with those used for resource estimation (Section 14).

Table 10.2 Ndablama drilling intersections

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
NDD01	2	9	7	1.4
and	49	53	4	1.4
and	56	60	4	2.8
NDD016	39	42	3	0.5
and	49.5	60.5	11	7.1
NDD017	39	41	2	0.9
and	53	65.8	12.8	1.2
and	67.6	72	4.4	2.3
and	76	83.8	7.8	0.6
NDD018	35	38.7	3.7	2
and	44	46.5	2.5	1.4
NDD019	51	61	9	0.8
and	66	71	5	0.8
NDD02	14	30	16	2.4
and	38	54	16	1.2
and	64	67	3	8.7
NDD020	49	62	13	1.4
including	57	60	3	2.9
and	82.2	87.2	5	2.1
and	92.2	99.2	7	0.7
NDD021	0.8	3	2.2	0.6
NDD023	0	2	2	0.7
NDD024	9	14	5	0.6
NDD025	16	35	19	1.1
including	18	22	4	3.5
and	39	45	6	1.8
and	48	53	5	0.7
and	60	69	9	0.7
NDD026	26	31	5	0.5
and	36	38.7	2.7	0.7
NDD027	17	25	8	0.9
and	32	47	15	1.6
and	50	59	9	0.9
and	64	67	3	1.4
NDD028	27	29	2	1.4
NDD029	10	12	2	1.1
and	23	28	5	1.2
and	42	45	3	4.3
and	47	51	4	1.9
NDD03	20	28	8	6
and	45	49	4	1.9
NDD030	23.7	27	3.3	4.8

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
and	43.7	47	3.2	0.6
and	58	61	3	0.5
NDD031	12.6	14	1.4	4.7
and	25.5	27	1.5	0.7
and	31	37	6	0.6
NDD032	12	17	5	0.8
and	23	25	2	0.7
NDD033	13.3	15	1.7	2.1
and	28	32	4	3.2
and	38	43	5	0.6
NDD034	28.4	37	8.6	0.6
NDD035	42	47	5	2
NDD036	30.8	33	2.2	7.6
and	41	43	2	1.5
NDD037	13	16	3	3.1
and	61	68	7	1.2
NDD038	57	60	3	1.8
and	72	79	7	0.9
NDD039	57	59	2	1.1
including	67	76	9	3.4
and	67	80	13	2.4
and	89	107	18	2.6
including	95	100	5	4.8
NDD04	26	28	2	1.7
and	42	54	12	0.6
NDD040	71	84	13	0.6
and	99	101	2	1.9
NDD041	35	40	5	1
and	44	49	5	0.9
NDD042	68	85	17	2.4
including	70	77	7	4.5
and	92	97	5	1
and	108	119	11	16.7
and	142	146	4	4.4
NDD043	12	15	3	0.7
and	28	32	4	0.5
NDD044	62	75	13	1
and	83	98	15	2.3
including	86	93	7	4.3
and	116	120	4	2.1
NDD045	78	92	14	9.7
including	84	92	8	16.5
NDD046	63	74	11	0.5

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
and	119	120	1	1.3
NDD047	41	46	5	0.7
and	51	63	12	5.5
including	70	97	27	4.3
NDD048	65	78	13	2
and	104	109	5	5.4
NDD049	83	88	5	0.6
NDD050	77	81	4	3.2
and	94	103	9	1.6
including	99	103	4	3.4
NDD051	85	88	3	2
NDD052	66	76	10	2.2
and	93	95	2	1.3
NDD053	59	61	2	0.6
and	94	100	6	3.4
including	52	56	4	8.5
NDD054	52	70	18	2.5
including	78	90	12	9
and	78	121	43	4.1
including	99	101	2	4.8
NDD055	99	132	33	1.21
including	118	123	5	3
and	143	147	4	2.3
NDD056	89	96	7	1.11
and	107	131	24	1.25
including	108	113	5	2
including	123	125	2	6.5
NDD057	109	142	33	2.98
including	118	127	9	5.1
including	131	142	11	4.49
NDD058	90	96	6	0.72
and	105	116	11	1.01
and	124	127	3	0.75
and	133	138	5	1.22
NDD059	66	73	7	1.7
and	79	127	48	1.8
including	96	114	18	3.48
including	121	124	3	3.58
NDD060	28	54	26	0.5
and	64	69	5	2.6
and	90	99	9	1.6
including	122	129	7	2.85
NDD060	122	144	22	1.22

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
NDD061	123	146	23	0.55
including	134	146	12	0.74
NDD062	136	141	5	1.31
and	168	170	2	1.43
NDD063	117	136	19	1.08
and	145	165	20	1.19
including	156	164	8	2.19
NDD064	87	94	7	1.5
including	104	108	4	1.28
and	104	136	32	0.66
including	120	124	4	1.65
NDD065	150	164	14	1.4
NDD066	251	266	15	1.4
including	253	262	9	2.2
NDD067	218	227	9	1.1
and	251	259	8	2.8
NDD068	193	196	3	13.5
including	214	222	8	2.1
and	214	232	18	1.2
NDD069	80	87	7	0.9
and	106	108	2	1
NDD07	16	22	6	1.8
and	26	44	18	1.3
NDD070	105	143	38	1.1
including	108	119	11	3.3
NDD071	124	138	14	1
and	158	171	13	1
including	186	199	13	2.7
NDD072	186	214	28	1.5
NDD073	100	112	12	0.3
NDD074	127	135	8	0.5
including	133	135	2	1.3
NDD075	211	231	20	2.7
including	216	226	10	5.1
NDD076	149	154	5	1
and	165	172	7	1.1
NDD077	98	102	4	2
and	191	199	8	1.2
including	205	217	12	2.4
NDD079	205	230	25	2
NDD08	0	3	3	1.1
NDD080	229	232	3	1.1
and	238	245	7	1.1

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
NDD081	275	282	7	3.2
and	305	324	19	1.7
and	338	361	23	2.2
NDD082	290	318	28	1.6
including	304	318	14	2.8
NDD083	238	266	28	2.4
including	249	256	7	7.5
NDD084	211	241	30	2.4
including	218	229	11	6.4
including	77	80	3	1.1
NDD085	77	85	8	0.9
NDD086	76	86	10	0.5
NDD087	99	111	12	0.4
NDD088	61	77	16	1.1
NDD089	74	86	12	0.8
including	76	82	6	1.2
NDD09	15	23	8	0.7
and	31	41	10	2.3
NDD090	80	85	5	1.4
and	102	105	3	1
NDD091	80	91	11	0.7
NDD092	126	130	4	1
NDD093	178	184	6	0.6
NDD094	35	43	8	0.7
and	52	54	2	1.1
NDD095A	140	143	3	0.8
and	154	158	4	1.1
NDD096	94	111	17	1.2
incl	97	107	10	1.9
NDD097	59	70	11	1
incl	114	118	4	1.2
NDD098	114	125	11	0.8
and	132	134	2	1.5
NDD099	94	101	7	1
and	110	115	5	1.9
NDD10	26	43	17	1.2
and	65	73	8	1.3
NDD100	138	142	4	1.1
and	186	191	5	1
NDD101	130	143	13	1
and	151	153	2	2.4
NDD102	54	120	66	1.2
incl	56	60	4	2

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
incl	95	119	24	2.3
NDD103	98	141	43	1.7
incl	123	141	18	3.3
NDD105	121	126	5	0.8
and	136	139	3	1
and	154	158	4	1.1
and	166	168	2	1.2
NDD106	78	113	35	1.2
incl	80	86	6	2.4
incl	105	113	8	2
and	154	155	1	4.9
NDD107	31	34	3	1.2
and	64	77	13	1
and	93	100	7	2.6
incl	79	81	2	8.8
NDD108	79	102	23	1
incl	100	102	2	2.4
incl	88	112	24	2.8
NDD109	88	134	46	1.7
NDD11	17	20	3	4.2
and	29	32	3	5.4
and	40	50	10	2.8
NDD110	98	120	22	3
NDD111	53	74	21	0.5
incl	56	58	2	1
incl	66	69	3	1
NDD112	39.3	46.8	7.5	0.7
and	57	61	4	0.7
NDD113	94	97	3	1.4
and	121	124	3	1.1
NDD114	139	167	28	3
NDD115	113	116	3	0.7
and	143	148	5	0.8
NDD12	24	38	14	2.9
NDD14	4	12	8	1.1
NDRC001	58	62	4	1.4
and	79	84	5	0.6
NDRC002	68	75	7	1.3
and	89	126	37	2.1
including	100	109	9	2.8
NDRC003	107	117	10	2.1
and	142	149	7	0.7
NDRC004	58	108	50	1.2

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
including	97	108	11	2.2
NDRC005	29	45	16	0.8
and	94	103	9	4.6
NDRC006	70	84	14	0.9
including	77	84	7	1.5
and	90	100	10	0.5
NDRC007	133	153	20	1.6
NDRC008	94	125	31	1
including	116	120	4	5.7
NDRC010	95	131	36	1.6
including	116	129	13	2.1
NDRC011	72	77	5	0.4
NDRC012	91	124	33	2.1
including	111	119	8	6.4
NDRC013	70	76	6	0.7
NDRC014	168	194	26	2.7
including	183	194	11	5.2
NDRC015	170	202	32	1
incl	185	196	11	2.3
NDRC016	170	198	28	1.1
incl	183	192	9	2.9
NDRC017	163	176	13	1
and	192	198	6	2.5
NDRC018	135	138	3	1.5
and	166	169	3	1.3
NDRC019	114	123	9	0.6
and	148	155	7	0.6
NDRC020	93	140	47	0.7
incl	98	105	7	1.2
incl	110	115	5	1
incl	126	133	7	1.6
NDRC021	85	98	13	0.9
NDRC022	88	110	22	0.8
incl	100	109	9	1
NDRC023	52	126	74	1.3
incl	71	93	22	2.4
incl	98	106	8	2.1
incl	119	122	3	2.8
NDRC024	32	93	61	1.3
incl	38	59	21	2.5
incl	73	80	7	2.2
NDRC025	81	93	12	0.6
and	102	119	17	1.2

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (g/t)
and	138	143	5	1.6
NDRC026	87	119	32	1.3
incl	103	119	16	2.2
incl	0	8	8	7.3
NDRC029	0	23	23	2.9
incl	5	9	4	1.3
NDRC030	5	17	12	0.8
incl	13	16	3	1
incl	45	50	5	2.5
NDRC032	45	65	20	1.1
and	94	101	7	1.1
NDRC033	85	130	45	6.2
incl	111	127	16	15.5
incl	5	11	6	2
NDRC034	5	22	17	1.5
incl	17	22	5	2.4
NDRC035	5	9	4	1.7
NDRC036	8	13	5	0.9
NDRC037	65	67	2	1.1
and	70	72	2	1.5
and	75	81	6	1.2
NDRC038	55	71	16	1.3
and	86	100	14	0.5
NDRC039	100	107	7	1.2

10.3 Weaju drilling programme

Six drilling campaigns have been undertaken at Weaju. The first two drilling campaigns took place campaigns in 2000, for a total of 12 drillholes. Drilling resumed in 2005 with 34 holes drilled. A hiatus in drilling occurred until 2012 when Aureus drilled an additional 81 holes. A further six holes were drilled in 2014. The assay results for the latter have not been received at the time of writing. In summary, as shown in Table 10.3, drilling at Weaju has been completed in six campaigns, totalling 135 holes and 14,652 m.

Table 10.3 Weaju drilling campaign details


Campaign	Year	Company	Number of Drillholes	Metres Drilled	Drilling Company
1	1999	Mano River	1-2	103	Drill Sure
2	2000	Mano River	3-8	228	Drill Sure
3	2000	Mano River	9-14	291	Drill Sure
4	2005	Mano River	15-48	3253	Envirodrill
5	2012-2013	Aureus	49-129	9635	Boart Longyear
6	2014	Aureus	130-135	1,142	Ore Search
Total			135	14'652	

Drilling has been undertaken on both limbs and at the surface expression of the fold axis. Drilling of the southern limb was oriented on an azimuth of 155° and a dip of -50° and in the north at 007° with a dip of -55°.

Drilling was conducted with the aim of intersecting mineralization on each limb of the fold structure. Initially the southern limb was tested (Main Zone) and later the northern limb (Northern Zone) and the fold axis (Ridge and Creek) (Figure 10.2).

Drilling of the southern limb was oriented on an azimuth of 156° and a dip of -50° and in the north at 007° dipping -55°. The drillholes for Campaigns 1, 2 and 3 (holes 1–14) have not been used for resource estimation, as the drilled material was damaged during the hiatus between 2000 and 2005.

Figure 10.2 Weaju drilling



Source: Aureus, 2014

Drilling has been carried out by various contractors. Campaigns 1 to 4 used UK-based firm Drillsure (later Envirodrill); while Campaign 5 was completed by Boart Longyear Liberia Corporation (Boart Longyear) and 6 by Ore Search Drilling Liberia Ltd.

Campaigns 1 to 4 were drilled using a small man-portable rig with BQ size core. Campaign 5 was completed by Boart Longyear, using PQ triple tube in the oxide, reducing to HQ then to NQ. Campaign 6 also used PQ triple tube in the oxide, HQ then NQ.

Significant intersections of gold mineralization within all zones at Weaju are presented in Table 10.4. These intercepts are as for drilling results published by Aureus, but are not necessarily consistent with those used for resource estimation (Section 14).

Table 10.4 Significant intersections at Weaju

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au (g/t)	Zone	Core Loss
WJD0049	16	19	3	5.8	Main	
and	24	43	19	2.5		
WJD0050	3	4	1	3.7	Main	
and	33	35	2	1		
and	64	65	1	2		
WJD0051	33	35	2	0.5	Main	
WJD0057	1.4	10	8.6	1.3	Main	48%
and	111.5	112.5	1	6.5		
WJD0058	4.5	10.3	5.8	1.3	Main	45%
and	93	99	6	1.6		
including	93	94	1	4.6		
WJD0059	12.9	19.5	6.6	5.5	Main	28%
WJD0060	52	54	2	0.5	Main	
WJD0065	107	113	6	1.4	Main	
WJD0081	0.2	7.7	7.5	10	Main	49%
and	33.6	37.6	4	12.7		
and	43.6	52.2	8.6	3.5		
WJD0082	84.6	87.6	3	0.9	Main	
and	122.6	125.6	3	0.5		
WJD0083	87.7	89.7	2	2.3	Main	
and	106.7	109.7	3	0.4		
and	116.7	117.7	1	9.1		
WJD0084	114.4	123.4	9	6.6	Main	
WJD0085	41.5	42.5	1	11	Main	
and	61.5	63.5	2	1.4		
and	73.5	75.5	2	1.7		
and	77.5	79.5	2	2.1		
and	85.5	90.5	5	0.4		
and	94.5	99.5	5	0.5		
and	102.5	104.5	2	0.9		
and	133.5	134.5	1	4.8		
WJD0107	40.7	48.7	8	4.4	Main	
Including	46.7	48.7	2	7.5		
and	59.7	65.7	6	2.5		
WJD0109	3.8	5.8	2	1.3	Main	
WJD0053	36	47	11	3.7	North	9%
including	41	46	5	8		20%
WJD0054	23.2	27.8	4.6	2.5	North	33%
WJD0055	78	87	9	3.8	North	3%
WJD0056	97	105	8	1	North	
and	108	111	3	1.8		
WJD0062	155	157	2	2		

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au (g/t)	Zone	Core Loss
and	169	174	5	1		
and	180	189	9	3.2		
WJD0068	63	68	5	5.7	North	
WJD0069	6	10	4	5	North	40%
and	15	17.5	2.5	1.2		20%
WJD0070	78	82	4	1	North	
WJD0072	13.9	25.2	11.3	4.6	North	38%
WJD0073	0.9	8.3	7.4	1.9	North	78%
and	18.2	23	4.8	0.5		
WJD0074	4.6	12.4	7.8	0.9	North	50%
including	9.4	12.4	3	1.2		33%
and	42	48	6	1.1		
and	50	58	8	1.5		
WJD0075	44.2	47.2	3	2.3	North	
and	80.6	91.6	11	0.5		
WJD0078	28.9	33.1	4.2	1.6	North	23%
and	63.1	64.1	1	2.6		
WJD0079	15.3	32.8	17.5	1.3	North	30%
and	42.8	47.8	5	2.4		28%
WJD0086	28.9	32.8	3.9	0.9	North	23%
and	63.8	64.8	1	2.8		
and	79.8	84.8	5	4.4		
and	86.8	87.8	1	2.3		
and	90.8	94.8	4	1.3		
and	106.8	107.8	1	5.2		
WJD0087	86.9	87.9	1	5.9	North	
and	95.9	97.9	2	0.9		
and	103.9	105.9	2	1.2		
WJD0088	51.5	52.5	1	1.6	North	
and	64.7	66.7	2	1.1		
and	82.7	83.7	1	2.8		
WJD0089	126.2	127.2	1	3.2	North	
and	140.2	143.2	3	0.9		
and	152.2	157.2	5	2.3		4%
WJD0090	9.4	10.7	1.3	1.4	North	
and	19.9	21.1	1.2	4.5		
and	24.1	26.1	2	0.5		
WJD0092	15.9	19.6	3.7	0.6	North	25%
WJD0093	25.8	30.9	5.1	0.5	North	
	51.6	52.6	1	1.2		
WJD0096	121.8	129.8	8	1	North	
WJD0098	0.3	3	2.7	1	North	
and	88.2	90.8	2	0.5		

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au (g/t)	Zone	Core Loss
WJD0099	96.4	98.2	2	7.8	North	
and	101.4	112.4	11	0.9		
Including	110.4	112.4	2	3.5		
WJD0105	9.3	12.4	3.1	0.6	North	29%
and	188.4	190.4	2	0.7		
and	205.4	223.4	18	1.1		
and	237.4	238.4	1	11.5		
WJD0110	162.7	164.7	2	0.4	North	
WJD0061	28	29	1	1.6	Ridge	
and	40	41	1	4.5		
WJD0063	0	3	3	1.2	Ridge	
and	6.5	9.5	3	1.3		
WJD0064	7.2	11.6	4.4	5.1	Ridge	43%
and	41	56	15	1.3		
including	41	46	5	3.2		
WJD0066	9	16	7	3	Ridge	
including	12	16	4	4.8		
WJD0067	95.4	96.4	1	1.6	Ridge	
WJD0077	6	8	2	0.4	Ridge	
WJD0095	0	5.9	5.9	4.7	Ridge	
and	17.9	20.9	3	0.5		7%
and	43.7	46.7	3	4.4		
and	51.7	53.7	2	1.3		
WJD0097	27.3	34.3	7	0.6	Ridge	
WJD0103	39	53	14	5.6	Ridge	
Including	42	46	4	8.4		
WJD0104	72	77	5	0.9	Ridge	
WJD0106	29.85	35.7	5.9	1.1	Ridge	12%
WJD0108	1.3	7.4	6.1	2.6	Ridge	31%
and	43.7	49.7	6	9.1		
Including	43.7	47.7	4	13.3		
WJD0080	0	7.1	7.1	0.8	Creek	39%
WJD0094	0	8.7	8.7	6.9	Creek	55%
and	28.4	30.4	2	0.5		
and	52.4	57.4	5	0.5		
WJD0100	46.8	48.8	2	1.1	Creek	
and	66.8	75.8	9	1.8		
WJD0101	24.6	26.6	2	1.1	Creek	
and	49.6	52.6	3	2		
and	82.6	91.6	9	2.3		
Including	86.6	88.6	2	8.9		
and	102.6	104.6	2	0.7		
WJD0102	53.6	55.6	2	0.4	Creek	

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au (g/t)	Zone	Core Loss
and	123.6	125.6	2	1.8		

Assay grade data is uncut

NSV – WJD0052, WJD0071, WJD0091, WJD0076

10.4 Drilling at other targets

To date, drilling outside Ndablama and Weaju has been undertaken at four targets: Ndablama North, Gbalidee, Gondoja, and Leopard Rock (the last being located in the Archean Gold Licence).

As with Ndablama the drilling confirmed that the soil anomalies are associated with bedrock mineralization and that the geology and style of mineralization is very similar to that observed at Ndablama. To date less than 15% of the gold corridor has been drill tested.

10.4.1 Gondoja and Gbalidee

The Gondoja gold target is located 7 km NE of Ndablama. The area was previously explored by Mano River in 1999 to 2000 and results from seven trenches and four drillholes were reported in 2000. The trench results showed gold grades of between 1 g/t and 2 g/t, over widths of 20 m to 64 m. Five diamond drillholes were drilled at various orientations, with a best intercept of 30 m grading 3.9 g/t from hole GD4. Core from the five drillholes previously drilled at the prospect were lost during the period of civil unrest.

During 2012, a 13 hole, 2,699 m, diamond drilling programme was completed at Gondoja. Gold mineralization at Gondoja locates at the sheared contacts between ultramafic and metabasalt rocks and is associated with disseminated arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite and silica-sericite-carbonate alteration. The results from the drilling are detailed in Table 10.5.

The reconnaissance drill results highlight multiple intercepts over an ENE-trending structural zone with a 500 m strike length. The mineralized system is still open to the WSW and at depth.

At this stage the mineralization is characterized by very variable widths and grades, and further surface work and drilling will be required in order to develop a mineralization model.

Gold-in-soil anomalies have been defined for over 4 km in a NE–SW direction in this area. At the Gbalidee target, located 2 km SW of Gondoja, four trenches for 235 m and five diamond drillholes have been completed. The geology of Gbalidee is very similar to Gondoja.

Table 10.5 Gondoja significant intersections

Borehole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au Grade (g/t)
GD-4	74	110	30	3.9
GDD005	37.3	39	1.7	1.3
GDD010	72.8	75.8	3	14.8
GDD003	58.7	63	4.3	3.6
and	87	90	3	2.9
GDD004	36	37.85	1.9	0.9
and	40.9	48.8	7.9	0.6
GD-2	12	14	2	1.1
GDD001	62	65	3	2.2
and	73	76	3	0.8
and	90	96	6	11.7
and	104	106.6	2.6	0.7
and	114.8	116.3	1.5	1.6
GDD002	0	2.5	2.5	1.4
and	3.2	5.76	2.6	0.6
and	15.2	27	11.8	1.3
and	70.9	72.8	1.9	0.8
GD-1	38	42	4	3
GDD012B	25.8	27.8	2	1.2
and	30.8	33.5	2.7	0.9
and	73	78.8	5.8	0.7
and	79.1	81	1.9	0.7
GD-5	38	42	4	2
GD-5	58	64	6	1.2

Notes: Assay grade data is uncut.

No significant values were detected in drillholes: GD-3, GDD006, GDD007, GDD008, GDD009, GDD011 and GDD013, and GDD012 was abandoned.


10.4.2 Leopard Rock

The Leopard Rock gold target is located 800 m south-east of Ndablama within the Archean Gold exploration licence. A first-phase exploration programme was completed at this target and includes soil geochemistry, geological mapping, 27 trenches covering 2,965 m and 27 drillholes totalling 4,293 m. The drilling programme demonstrated shallow westerly-dipping gold mineralization which is very similar to Ndablama and can be followed for a further 800 m to the SE (Figure 10.3).

Results from the drilling and trenching have outlined multiple gold zones which are hosted within a NW to SE trending shear zone over a strike length of 1.1 km. The diamond drilling cores demonstrate that the gold mineralization is associated with disseminated pyrite, and pyrrhotite located within sheared and altered ultramafic rocks at the contact with metabasalt rocks. The ultramafic and metabasalt rocks have been intruded by granitic dykes. Gold zones vary from thin (1–4 metres) higher grade (+5 g/t) bodies to wider intercepts (8–20 metres) grading 0.5 to 2.0 g/t. All of the gold zones dip at a shallow angle of 45° to the SW. The results for the 27-hole programme are given in Table 10.6 below.

The Leopard Rock gold target is still open to the NW and SE. Gold-in-soil anomalies extend for a further 1 km NW to the Ndablama target. Further drilling programmes at Leopard Rock will focus on the NW and SE extensions as well as infill drilling into the current gold zones, as outlined by the previous drilling programme.

Figure 10.3 Leopard Rock geology and drilling location



Source: Aureus, 2013

Table 10.6 Significant Leopard Rock drill results

Borehole Values are Reported from North to South				
Borehole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Intersection Length (m)	Au g/t
LDD001	2	22	20	1.9
and	52	61	9	1.9
including	56	59	3	5.0
LDD004	79	83	4	5.5
and	87	91	4	17.6
LDD005	2	10	8	1.0
and	42	44	2	10.4
LDD006	24	35	11	1.7
and	39	43	4	1.1
and	56	59	3	9.5
LDD007	26.6	33	6.4	1.0
LDD009	107	113	6	9.4
including	107	111	4	13.9
LDD011	116.5	126	9.5	1.3
LDD014	15	25.3	10.3	1.0
and	35	39	4	4.8
LDD016	93.2	95.2	2	4.4
LDD019	40.8	50	9.2	1.4
LDD020	57	64	7	1.0
LDD024	0	11.5	11.5	1.0
LDD026	67	77	10	2.8

Assay grade data is uncut.

11 Sample preparation, analyses and security

11.1 Introduction

QA protocols have passed through several cycles, with various consultants contributing to the present status. QA/QC protocols were not very rigorous in the Weaju drilling for Campaigns 1 through 3 (1999–2000), and simply involved the sparing use of core duplicates. Certified reference materials (CRMs) were first utilized in the Weaju drilling for Campaigns 4 and onward (2005). Improved QA/QC procedures were implemented in Weaju Campaign 6 (2012–2014) and Ndablama drilling Campaigns 1 through 4 (2010–2014). An outline of procedures is provided in flow sheet form in Figure 11.3.

Prior to dispatch to the sample preparation laboratory field samples collected from various projects are stored in a secure facility at the field base camp. Pulp and coarse rejects duplicates and other unassayed material are stored at this facility.

Sample preparation, analytical techniques and QA/QC procedures for the Ndablama Campaign 1 were not available for AMC to review. Discussions in this section apply to drilling programme completed by Aureus covering Campaign 3 to 5, 2013–2014.

Similarly, sample preparation, analytical techniques and QA/QC procedures for the Weaju project for drilling Campaigns 1 through 4 (1999 to 2005) are not available for review by AMC. Discussions in this section apply to drilling programme completed by Aureus, covering drillholes WJD0049 to WJD0129 (Campaign 5, 2012–2013).

11.2 Soils and trenches

Soil samples were collected from 0.5 m below surface, in areas away from drainage channels, then coned and quartered to 1.5 kg–2.5 kg weights, and bagged for analysis.

For trenches, 1 m-long samples were systematically collected in saprolite material from 10 cm high channels cut into cleaned trench walls near the floor of trenches and across the strike of mapped structures. For consistency the channels start at the southern ends of trenches. Some trenches (and channels) were excavated in separate segments to traverse around large boulders, trees and unstable artisanal workings, whilst maintaining continuity across the zone.

All work has been carried out by project field crews and supervised by Aureus geologists.

11.3 Diamond drillhole samples

Core runs and core blocks were placed in boxes by the drillers and verified by the Aureus geologists at the drill rig. As a general practice, core orientations were measured at the drill site by the drillers and checked by the geologists, who then drew orientation lines on the core. Upon receipt in the site core shed, core was cleaned or washed (if required) and core blocks were re-checked by Aureus staff. Orientation lines were also cross-checked at the core yard by the logging crew.

The core was photographed, wet and dry, using a camera mount in a framed structure to ensure a constant angle to and distance from the camera. Magnetic susceptibility readings were taken every metre. For unconsolidated core this is measured in situ and results recorded, in SI units in the assay log sheet.

Geotechnical logging records the casing size, bit size, depths, intervals, core recovery, weathering index, RQD, fracture index, jointing and joint wall alteration, and a simple geological description. All core was oriented, with alpha and beta angles of fabrics recorded at point depths (Figure 11.1).

Sample intervals are measured-off by the project geologists and a line is drawn 90° clockwise from the orientation line along the length of the core to indicate where the core must be cut. This is to ensure that each half of the core will be a mirror image of the other. Where there is no orientation, a line is chosen to be at 90° to the predominant structure so that each cut half of the core will be a mirror image.

Core cutting by diamond saw is conducted in a dedicated core saw shed, while unconsolidated material is split using spoons or trowels. Core is cut in half, or in the case of unconsolidated material, half is removed

from the core box for assay. Each sample interval is placed in a plastic bag with a sample ticket. The bag is labelled with the hole and sample numbers, using a marker pen.

Figure 11.1 **Structural core measurements**



Early exploration samples at Weaju were 2 m in length. One metre samples were introduced for target intersections, retaining 2 m intervals over suspected weakly mineralized material. During the 2012–2014 campaign drillholes were uniformly sampled at 1 m intervals for the entire length of the hole, with some areas of core loss being assigned specific intervals, as determined by geologists.

At Ndablama, all samples were cut at 1 m lengths, except for a limited number of holes in Campaign 2 drilling, where samples were cut according to specific lithologies to determine which lithologies were hosts to mineralization. In such cases average core lengths for samples fell to about 0.7 m. During 4 the ore zone and nearby wall rock was cut in 1 m samples with the material either side on 2 m lengths or not sampled depending on the area and lithology.

11.3.1 Bulk density measurements

Bulk density readings are taken at 2 m intervals within the same lithology and at every lithological break, based on weights from 10 cm to 20 cm lengths of core (Figure 11.2). Measurements are carried out by weighing samples in air and water with a balance, with porous samples wrapped in plastic. For some drillholes in Ndablama (Campaign 2), measurements were carried out on half core, i.e. post-sampling, but currently whole core is used.

Figure 11.2 Measurement of bulk densities



11.3.2 Preparation and analysis

The primary laboratory for the projects is the SGS Monrovia laboratory, located in Monrovia, Liberia. The laboratory performs sample preparation and gold assaying of drill core and trench samples. While the laboratory is not certified for ISO/IEC 17025:2005 for gold assaying, the laboratory is working towards this certification. The laboratory participates in SGS internal audits aimed at ensuring that all SGS laboratories operate to the same standard.

The Umpire laboratories used by Aureus for quality control samples are the ALS laboratory located in Loughhrea, Ireland, and SGS Tarkwa laboratory, located in Ghana. The ALS laboratory is ISO/IEC 17025:2005 certified for gold fire assays, but SGS Tarkwa does not have the ISO/IEC 17025:2005 certification.

In March 2012, Aureus started sending samples to the SGS laboratory in Monrovia. The SGS laboratory promised a shorter turnaround time for assays and had an improved layout of facilities. Core samples were cut with a diamond saw at Aureus's core yard on site and 1 m samples were dispatched to SGS for fire assay. Samples were transported in plastic bags, labelled with sample numbers, and inserted with tickets bearing the same numbers.

For QA/QC purposes, Aureus inserts certified reference material from commercial laboratories into the sample stream at a rate of one in ten, at the 19th and 20th samples positions in the stream.

Preparation was undertaken at the SGS Monrovia laboratory, located in Monrovia, Liberia. The laboratory performs sample preparation and gold assaying of the drill samples. All holes were analysed at SGS Monrovia except for NDRC017, NDRC018, NDRC028, NDRC029, NDRC039, NDRC031, NDRC035, NDRC036 and NDRC037 which were analysed at SGS Tarkwa, Ghana.

At the SGS Monrovia sample preparation facility, samples were checked against a sample submission sheet to ensure sample identities and numbers are correct, and the samples were received by the laboratory attendants. Average weight of sample accepted by the laboratory was 5 kg. In the laboratory, samples were selected in batches of 44 and each sample assigned a laboratory working code, prior to being logged into the laboratory database, together with the Aureus sample numbers.

Each sample was then dried in an oven at a controlled temperature of usually 105°C for 8 hours, jaw crushed, homogenized to prevent segregation with 200 g of each sample scooped into separate pans for analysis. The remainder of the sample was saved as coarse reject to be returned to Aureus camp sites.

The 200 g portion was milled into pulps. Samples were analysed in lots of 50 which include 44 original samples with four duplicates, one CRM and one blank prepared by the laboratory itself. After milling, samples were weighed and 50 g of each sample scooped for analysis, with the remainder of the samples then analysed using sample with a litharge based flux, cupel, dissolved prill in aqua regia and then analysed for gold content by flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS). The mineralized portion of the first six RC holes were also analysed using the Leachwell cyanide assay method in addition to fire assay.

11.4 Assay QA/QC

Aureus systematically submits blank and standard quality control samples at a nominal frequency of 1 in 10 for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects. For the Ndablama project the frequency of QC samples is reduced outside of the mineralized shears. Field duplicates and pulp duplicates are not submitted for Ndablama or Weaju projects.

Umpire QC samples have been submitted by Aureus periodically for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects. No umpire samples were submitted for reverse circulation drilling completed on the Ndablama project.

Aureus QA/QC protocols require monthly review of blank and standard quality control data using the Century Systems drillhole database management software. The failure of one standard to assay outside of $\pm 10\%$ of the certified value is considered a quality control failure and requires the re-assay of 10 samples prior and 10 samples after the failed quality control sample.

Aureus has also performed limited analysis comparing standard fire assays with screened fire assays with atomic absorption and gravimetric finish.

11.4.1 Blanks

For both Ndablama and Weaju projects sand or bricks used locally as building material were used as blank material for quality control samples. For the Ndablama project low-grade certified reference material was also used as blank, GLG912-2. For the Weaju project, when material was not available coarse rejects that assayed below detection limit were used as replacement blank material.

Aureus submitted a total of 274 blanks for the Ndablama project and 146 blanks for the Weaju project.

Blank sample data is in generally well within the acceptable limit of 0.01 g/t Au for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects, with one assay above the limit in each project. Aureus reports that each incident was the result of mislabelled samples or contamination. Results are presented in Figure 11.4 and Figure 11.5 respectively.

11.4.2 Standards

Aureus has used 25 CRM samples for each of the Ndablama and 12 for the Weaju projects. All CRMs have been sourced from Geostats Pty Ltd. and Rocklabs Ltd. and CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd. A list of CRMs used for each project is provided in Table 11.1.

Aureus reports that results from these quality control samples are generally within ± 10 of the certified values for the standards. The mean of assay values is typically below this value but well within the accepted error range of 10%. Results analysed by AMC for Ndablama and Weaju projects are presented in Figure 11.4 and Figure 11.5 respectively. Aureus reports that all samples assaying above the 10% limit resulted from mislabelled samples or contamination. All instances required re-assaying of the 10 preceding and 10 following samples.

Table 11.1 **Certified reference material (CRM) for Ndablama and Weaju projects**

Ndablama CRM	Certified Value	Weaju CRM	Certified Value
CDN-GS-12A	12.31	G910-4	16.92
CDN-GS-14A	14.9	G910-1	1.43
CDN-GS-1K	0.867	G900-5	3.21
CDN-GS-2M	2.21	G310-6	0.65
CDN-GS-2P	1.99	G306-3	8.66
CDN-GS-40	39.95	G303-8	0.26
CDN-GS-5K	3.84	G311-4	1.43
CDN-GS-5P	4.78	G995-4	8.67
CDN-GS-5Q	5.59	SP59	18.12
CDN-GS-7F	6.9	SN60	8.595
CDN-GS-9A	9.31	G996-7	5.98
G303-8	0.26	SG56	1.027
G306-3	8.66		
G310-6	0.65		
G311-4	1.43		
G900-5	3.21		
G910-1	1.43		
G910-4	16.92		
G995-1	2.75		
G995-4	8.67		
G996-7	5.98		
SE58	0.607		
SG56	1.027		
SN60	8.595		
SP59	18.12		

Figure 11.3 Sampling, sample preparation, and assay flowchart





Figure 11.4 Summary of standards and blank quality control samples for Ndablama project



Figure 11.5 Summary of standards and blank quality control samples for Weaju project



11.4.3 Umpire samples


For the Ndablama project Aureus has submitted 105 coarse reject duplicates to the SGS laboratory in Loughrea, Ireland. The submission consists of coarse reject material from the start of the programme to the current end of drilling. Aureus found 4 sample submissions that were mislabelled and removed them from analysis. Summary statistics and plots for this data set are presented in Figure 11.6.

For the Weaju project 384 coarse reject samples were submitted to external laboratories. The umpire samples were submitted in two sets from Campaign 5 comprising:

- Assays from nine drillholes (WJD0067, WJD0058, WJD0061, WJD0066, WJD0078, WJD0079, WJD0084, WJD0081 and WJD0095) assayed by SGS Monrovia with umpire samples sent to ALS Ireland, total 290 samples;
- Assays from four drillholes (WJD0049, WJD0053, WJD0056, and WJD0074) assayed by SGS Monrovia with umpire samples sent to SGS Tarkwa total 40 samples.

Aureus reports that total umpire samples submitted by Aureus represent 3% of assays and 13% of drillholes for the project. The correlation coefficient of assays with umpire assays ranges from 0.45 for assays from the previous operator, to 0.72 to 0.94 for Aureus drilling.

Figure 11.6 Summary of coarse reject duplicates Ndablama project, diamond drillholes only



11.4.4 Other samples

Aureus submitted duplicate coarse reject samples for analysis by fire assay with AAS finish, to examine the reliability of this technique with respect to high-grade assays using gravimetric finish and screened fire assay analysis.

For Ndablama, this analysis was completed on 98 sample pairs using screened fire assays and seven samples for gravimetric finish. Over the course of drilling campaigns for the Weaju project various runs comparing screened and gravimetric analysis have been completed. Results for both projects generally indicate a variable correlation with fire assays with atomic absorption finish. However, because of limited data sets used in this analysis, conclusions on analytical methods can be considered preliminary only. More comprehensive and systematic sampling is suggested.

11.4.5 Comments

Sampling and sample preparation and analytical procedures used by Aureus for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects follow generally accepted practices for the mining industry and are acceptable for resource estimation. AMC analysed the total assay QA/QC data from the start of the project up to and including data used for updating resources for the Ndablama deposit

The assay QA/QC protocols for the two projects require further refinement to fully meet industry standards. Checks for the accuracy of primary laboratory assay procedures comprise standard and blank quality control samples. The results for standard and blank quality control are good. The range of standard certified reference material is appropriate for testing the range of assays for both projects, from low-grades to higher grades. AMC analysis of QC samples show that results are generally within ± 2 standard deviations of the certified value of each standard, with the exception of cases reported by Aureus to be sample number errors or contamination. The policy of requiring re-assays of the 10 previous and 10 following samples is a good check to ensure that incidences of contamination are appropriately handled. However, there is a minor concern that the actual frequency of submission of blanks is significantly below the Aureus target of 10%. The actual frequencies for blanks is 2.7% for Ndablama, and 1.6% for Weaju. The frequencies of quality control samples is 9% for Ndablama, and 12.2% for Weaju. These frequencies have not been confirmed in detail by AMC.

Umpire quality control samples are designed to check the accuracy of the main assay laboratory in comparison to external laboratories. The results of Ndablama coarse reject umpire samples analysis by AMC are reasonable, returning a correlation coefficient of 0.97. However, bias plots and half relative deviation plots show significant scatter of values around 1 g/t and 10 g/t gold. Similarly, ranked relative paired difference (RPD) plots and quantile-quantile plots indicate significant variability of the samples analysed. Because of the limited number of samples sent for analysis it is difficult to draw general conclusions about umpire sample results for the Ndablama project. It is likely that some of the data reflects inherent variability or nugget effect from coarse gold particles. In addition to coarse reject samples, pulp duplicate samples should be submitted in addition for umpire QC samples.

Umpire coarse reject samples submitted for the Weaju project were submitted at frequency of 4.2%. However, the three sets of umpire samples submitted for this project represent a limited set of drillholes and overall very limited data sets. AMC considers this data too restricted and therefore potentially biased to draw conclusions about the accuracy of assays.

Control samples submitted by Aureus for the Ndablama and Weaju projects comprise checks on assay accuracy only.

It is essential that QA/QC programmes are designed to check the reproducibility of assays. The regular submission of duplicate coarse rejects and/or pulp samples are accepted samples to monitor this. Aureus has not submitted these types of control samples.

AMC recommends that QA/QC protocols for both Ndablama and Weaju projects includes the following:

- Submission of pulp and coarse rejects samples to the main assay laboratory at regular frequency of 10% to 20% in the assay sample stream.
- Continued submission of certified reference material at a regular frequency of 10% to 20% maintaining the current range of gold values.

- QA/QC failures require the re-assay of the entire assay batch to ensure that any possible systematic laboratory errors are removed.

All quality control samples are monitored monthly by Aureus using CRM plots and scattergrams. AMC suggests that analyses such as ranked half absolute relative difference (HARD) or ranked relative paired difference (RPD) plots and quantile-quantile plots should also be considered as additional parts of the monitoring process.

12 Data verification

12.1 Assay database verification

AMC validated the assay databases for the Ndablama and Weaju projects by comparing randomly selected assay values with laboratory assay certificates in PDF format.

For the Ndablama project approximately 10% of new assays were selected, and were compared to assay certificates provided by Aureus. A total of 351 assays were checked. No errors were found.

For the Weaju project 16% of assays within the mineralized wireframes were randomly chosen and compared to assay certificates provided by Aureus. A total of 217 assays were checked. No errors were found.

12.2 Assay laboratory audit

AMC visited the SGS sample preparation and analytical laboratory located in Monrovia, Liberia, on 20 September 2013. AMC reviewed laboratory management, sample preparation, fire assay procedures, cupellation and digestion procedures, gravimetric determination of gold, and AAS determination of gold procedures.

The Laboratory Manager and his team provided AMC with details of the laboratory operations. Neither sample preparation nor analysis was being undertaken during the time of the visit; however, the laboratory staff demonstrated sound knowledge of the processes. The laboratory is not ISO 17025 certified, however management advised that the laboratory was in the process of applying for ISO 17025 certification. The laboratory participates in a series of round-robin tests with Geostat systems. The laboratory also participates in an SGS internal audit aimed at ensuring that all SGS laboratories operate to the same standards. The laboratory was clean and well organized.

Ventilation ducting is installed at sample preparation stations to remove dust from the atmosphere in the laboratory. The equipment appeared to be in good condition. Because of building design constraints the sample preparation and assaying areas of the laboratory are not organized in physical lines, so that samples are sequentially moved from one station to another. AMC considers this a minor issue and, if managed appropriately, is unlikely to have a significant effect in laboratory operations.

12.3 Conclusions

Based on the above observations of data collection and preparation activities in the field, reviews of QA/QC protocols and various validations, reviews and audits undertaken, AMC considers the drillhole database for the Ndablama and Weaju projects to be sufficiently reliable for the purpose of Mineral Resource estimation at the current level of study.

13 Mineral processing and metallurgical testing

13.1 Introduction

To the extent known, no previous metallurgical testwork has been undertaken at either the Ndablama or Weaju projects.

Aureus requested that DRA Mineral Projects (DRA) scopes and manages scouting testwork to investigate the amenability of material from Ndablama to cyanidation, and to assess the metallurgical response of material from the Weaju orebody.

13.2 Ndablama

13.2.1 Ndablama testwork results

The metallurgical testwork programme was performed on composite samples. Results for the screen fire assays for both the sulphide and oxide composite are shown in Table 13.1.

Table 13.1 Screen fire assay results: Ndablama

Composite ID	+75 µm		-75 µm			Calc'd Head Au (g/t)
	Weight (g)	Au (g/t)	Weight (g)	Au1 (g/t)	Au2 (g/t)	
OXIDE COMP	28.24	2.84	941.48	0.57	0.64	0.67
FRESH COMP	20.29	97.1	952.02	1.36	1.38	3.37

The following comments apply to the head assays:

- A nugget effect can be seen, especially with the sulphide composite which shows a large spread of results over the assays performed.
- The screen fire assays showed upgrading on the 75 micron screen fraction, especially for the sulphide composite, confirming the nugget effect. This may prove to provide some challenges to both the metal accounting in the testwork as well as potential resource estimation. From a processing point of view, the nuggetty nature of the ore should result in effective gravity concentration ahead of the leach.


13.2.1.1 Composite samples

In November 2013, 55 kg of drill core samples were supplied for oxide and sulphide material and delivered to ALS Laboratories in Perth, where the testwork was conducted.

The sample material was composited to produce a 17 kg oxide master composite and a 20 kg sulphide master composite. These master composites were comprised of core samples from central and southern zone portions of the deposit at various depths. The oxide master composite had an average assayed gold grade of 0.91 g/t, while the sulphide composite had an assayed grade of 2.24 g/t (Table 13.1).

The distribution of the metallurgical composite test sample drillholes are presented in Figure 13.1.

Figure 13.1 Composite test sample drillholes: Ndablama



Source: Aureus, 2013

13.2.1.2 Gravity/cyanidation testwork

Table 13.2 shows a summary of all the gravity/cyanidation work that was conducted on the Ndablama orebody.

Table 13.2 Gravity/cyanidation summary: Ndablama

Composite ID	Test No.	% Au extraction @ hours					Au Grade (g/t)		Consumption (kg/t)	
		Gravity	2	8	16	24	Calc'd Head	Residue	NaCN	Lime
Oxide	JR791	33.70	88.49	88.49	88.49	91.89	1.03	0.08	1.28	4.86
	JR792	34.55	91.33	91.33	91.33	92.82	1.00	0.07	1.39	4.87
Sulphide	JR593	70.77	94.02	94.02	95.17	94.90	2.42	0.12	1.17	0.48
	JR594	69.60	92.78	92.78	92.78	94.03	2.46	0.15	1.06	0.48

Oxide gravity recoveries

Gravity testwork was performed on the oxide composite utilizing a laboratory scale Knelson apparatus. A total of 2 separate tests were performed giving recoveries of 33.70% and 34.55%, returning an average of 34.13%. These results are detailed in Table 13.2.

Sulphide gravity recoveries

Gravity testwork was performed on the sulphide composite utilizing a laboratory scale Knelson apparatus. A total of 2 separate tests were performed with recoveries ranging from 70.77% to 69.60% returning an average of 70.19%. These results are detailed in Table 13.2.

Whereas these tests provide an indication of the expected gravity recovery of the oxide and sulphide ore, it is recommended that detailed gravity testwork, including mathematical modelling for a predicted plant recovery, is conducted as part of a more detailed test programme.

Oxide leach recoveries

Table 13.2 shows an average tail grade of 0.08 g/t for the oxide material. From a composite head assay grade of 0.91 g/t, this gave an average recovery of 92% for the two tests conducted.

Cyanide consumption averaged at 1.34 kg/t while lime consumption averaged at 4.87 kg/t for the two tests conducted.

Additional testwork should assess the effect of viscosity on the oxide material.

Sulphide leach recoveries

Table 13.2 shows an average tail grade of 0.14 g/t for the sulphide material. From a composite head assay grade of 2.24 g/t, this gave an average recovery of 94% for the two tests conducted.

Cyanide consumption averaged at 1.12 kg/t while lime consumption averaged at 0.48 kg/t for the two tests conducted.

13.2.2 Ndablama further testwork

Further testwork is currently being conducted by ALS, under the supervision of DRA on additional representative samples of the Ndablama orebody. Tests include comminution testwork, gravity testwork and cyanide optimization testwork, and at the time of filing this report results remain outstanding.

13.3 Weaju

13.3.1 Weaju testwork procedures

Aureus supplied representative samples of both oxide and sulphide material to ALS laboratories in Perth, where the testwork was conducted under the management of DRA. This assessment provides the background to the testwork scoping and a summary of the results.

As the testwork scoped by DRA was requested by Aureus to be preliminary only, key metallurgical aspects were identified for both the oxides and the sulphide:

For the gravity testwork, sample was ground to p80 75 microns and passed through a laboratory sized Knelson concentrator with amalgamation of the gravity concentrate. The amalgam tail was combined with the Knelson tail to provide the feed to the leach tests.

The leach tests were designed to evaluate the following:

- Effect of preg-robbing
- Effect of grind
- Effect of shear pre-oxygenation and acidic pre-conditioning.

In addition, preliminary rheology tests were conducted.

13.3.2 Weaju testwork results

The metallurgical optimization testwork programme was performed on composite samples only. Results for the Screen Fire Assays for both the sulphide and oxide composite are shown in Table 13.3.

Table 13.3 Screen fire assay results: Weaju

Composite ID	+75 microns		-75 microns			Calc'd Head Au (g/t)
	Weight (g)	Au (g/t)	Weight (g)	Au1 (g/t)	Au2 (g/t)	
Sulphide Comp	19.37	130	972.34	3.12	3.01	5.54
Sulphide Comp rpt	26.94	89.8	968.19	3.81	3.74	6.10
Oxide Comp	19.41	51.9	943.10	2.63	2.01	3.32

Comments on the head assays are as follows:

- A nugget effect can be seen, especially with the sulphide composite which shows a spread of results over the assays performed.
- The screen fire assays showed upgrading on the 75 micron screen fraction, especially for the sulphide composite confirming that both the sulphide and oxide ore display a nugget effect.
- The screen fire assays show Au Head Grades for the sulphide and oxide composites of Weaju of 5.82 g/t and 3.32 g/t respectively.

13.3.2.1 Composite samples


In July 2013, approximately 200 kg of drill core samples were supplied for oxide and sulphide material and delivered to ALS Laboratories in Perth, where the testwork was conducted.

The sulphide sample material was composited to produce a 70 kg bulk master composite sample – 40 kg was used for comminution work and a 30 kg was used for leach testing. This bulk sample was comprised of core samples from the Main Zone, North Zone and some Ridge Zone portions of the deposit at various depths. The sulphide master composite had an assayed gold grade of 5.54 g/t as determined by screened fire assay (see Table 13.3 above).

The oxide sample material was composited to produce a 30 kg bulk master composite sample which was used for leach testing. This bulk sample was comprised of core samples from the main zone, north zone and some ridge zone portions of the deposit at various depths. The oxide master composite had an assayed gold grade of 3.32 g/t as determined by screened fire assay (see Table 13.3 above).

The distribution of the optimization phase metallurgical composite test sample drillholes are presented in Figure 13.2 (highlighted in blue).

Figure 13.2 Composite test sample drillholes: Weaju



Source: Aureus, 2013

13.3.2.2 Sulphide comminution testwork

Comminution testwork was conducted on the sulphide composite only with the test results summarized in Table 13.4.

Table 13.4 Sulphide comminution results: Weaju

Composite ID	SMC Dwi (kWh/m ³)	Bond Ai	Bond Rwi (kWh/t)	Bond Bwi (kWh/t)*
Weaju sulphide	6.46	0.1891	12.9	16.7

*Closing screen 106 microns

13.3.2.3 Gravity separation/cyanidation testwork

A summary of all of the gravity/cyanidation work conducted in this programme is shown in Table 13.5.

These tests are discussed in detail in the receding sections of this report.

Table 13.5 Gravity/cyanidation summary: Weaju

Composite ID	Test No.	% Au Extraction @ hours					Au Grade (g/t)		Consumption (kg/t)	
		Gravity	2	8	16	24	Calc'd Head	Residue	NaCN	Lime
Oxide	JR593	48.35	85.60	96.47	96.47	93.69	2.51	0.16	0.76	8.27
	JR594	38.69	76.78	84.05	83.93	83.41	3.14	0.52	0.82	7.93
	JR595	45.16	68.45	80.45	85.92	92.06	2.69	0.21	0.85	7.42
	JR599	44.40	90.96	97.52	97.52	95.46	2.73	0.12	0.86	7.87
	JR601	38.87	93.90	93.36	96.21	95.78	3.12	0.13	0.86	6.83
	JR603	45.05	95.71	98.05	98.20	98.20	2.69	0.05	0.60	5.38
Sulphide	JR596	39.63	90.93	92.45	94.12	90.75	5.32	0.49	0.82	0.80
	JR597	41.25	77.02	89.60	90.92	89.27	5.11	0.55	0.70	0.59
	JR598	41.79	80.25	87.87	89.14	90.78	5.04	0.47	0.44	0.48
	JR600	43.45	81.05	89.33	94.97	88.82	4.85	0.54	0.85	0.74
	JR602	40.88	87.23	95.38	97.21	91.50	5.15	0.44	0.85	0.54
	JR604	48.18	92.61	94.79	90.68	90.68	4.37	0.39	0.68	0.68
	JR605	33.58	90.15	92.75	90.51	90.51	3.25	0.31	0.77	4.84

Gravity recovery

Both the oxide and sulphide composites were subjected to gravity separation testwork utilizing a laboratory scale Knelson apparatus.

Oxide composite gravity recoveries

A total of 6 separate tests were performed on the oxide composite with recoveries ranging from 39% to 48% returning an average of 43%. These results are detailed in Table 13.5.

Sulphide composite gravity recoveries

A total of 7 separate tests were performed on the sulphide composite with recoveries ranging from 40% to 48% returning an average of 43%. These results are detailed in Table 13.5.

Whereas these tests provide a good indication of the expected gravity recovery of the oxide and sulphide ore, it is recommended that detailed gravity testwork, including mathematical modelling for a predicted plant scale recovery, be conducted as part of a more detailed test programme.

Cyanidation testwork

Both oxide and sulphide composites were submitted for cyanidation testwork as part of the test programme to investigate gold extraction.

In order to assess and understand the leachability of the ore, the testwork was divided into 3 stages in the following sequence:

- Preg-robbing evaluation.
- Effect of grind size.
- Effect of pre-oxygenation with shear.

The Sulphide Composite was also tested to investigate the effect of shear pre- oxygenation in combination with acidic pre-conditioning also with shear.

13.3.2.4 Oxide composite leach tests

Oxide composite preg-robbber evaluation

As part of the preg-robbber evaluation procedure leach tests were conducted with and without carbon addition, as well as carbon addition after 4hrs of leaching. There was no pre-oxygenation on these tests.

A summary of the test results obtained is displayed in Table 13.6 below.

Table 13.6 Oxide preg-robbing evaluation: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Carbon add time (hrs)	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Oxide	JR593	75	0	0.16	93.69	0.76	8.27
	JR594	75	4	0.52	83.41	0.82	7.93
	JR595	75	N/A	0.21	92.06	0.85	7.42
Average						0.81	7.87

Comparing the residues of test JR593 where carbon was added at the start of the leach, to that of test JR595 where no carbon was added to the leach at all, it is evident that there is only a marginal increase in residue grade on the test with no carbon. The residue grade obtained on test JR594 where carbon was added after 4hrs of leaching is regarded as anomalous. It would be reasonable to conclude that any preg-robbing tendencies that the ore may display would be insignificant. This is also supported by the relatively low organic carbon assays of <0.03% obtained on the head samples.

Cyanide consumptions ranged from 0.76 kg/t to 0.85 kg/t averaging at 0.81 kg/t. Lime consumptions varied from 7.42 kg/t to 8.27 kg/t averaging at 7.87 kg/t.

Oxide composite effect of grind

The grind optimization leaches for the oxide composite was conducted at p80 75 microns and p80 45 microns and showed a negligible increase in gold extraction for a p80 45 micron grind. Table 13.7 summarizes the results.

Table 13.7 Oxide effect of grind: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Carbon add time (hrs)	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Oxide	JR599	75	0	0.12	95.46	0.86	7.87
	JR601	45	0	0.13	95.78	0.86	6.83
Average						0.86	7.35

Oxide composite effect of pre-oxygenation with shear

The results for the test on the Oxide Composite with pre-oxygenation and shear, test JR603, demonstrated a clear benefit from the addition of this step. When compared to test JR601 which did not have pre-oxygenation, gold extraction increased from 95.78% to 98.20%, cyanide consumption decreased by 30% from 0.86 kg/t to 0.60 kg/t and lime consumption decreased by 15% from 6.83 kg/t to 5.38 kg/t. The results are depicted in Table 13.8.

Table 13.8 Oxide shear pre-oxygenation: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Shear Pre-oxidation	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Oxide	JR601	45	No	0.13	95.78	0.86	6.83
	JR603	45	Yes	0.05	98.2	0.6	5.38

13.3.2.5 Sulphide composite leach tests

Sulphide composite preg-robbing evaluation

As part of the preg-robbing evaluation procedure leach tests were conducted with and without carbon addition as well as carbon addition after 4hrs of leaching. There was no pre-oxygenation on these tests.

A summary of the test results obtained is displayed in Table 13.9.

Table 13.9 Sulphide preg-robbing evaluation: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Carbon add time (hrs)	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Sulphide	JR596	75	0	0.49	90.75	0.82	0.8
	JR597	75	4	0.55	89.27	0.7	0.59
	JR598	75	N/A	0.47	90.78	0.44	0.48
Average						0.65	0.62

The recovery results obtained from the three tests conducted were comparable with one another. Any differences can be attributed to experimental error and not to any preg- robbing activity.

It would be reasonable to conclude that any preg-robbing tendencies that the ore may display would be insignificant. This is also supported by the relatively low organic carbon assays of <0.03% obtained on the head samples.

Cyanide consumption varied between 0.44 kg/t to 0.82 kg/t averaging at 0.65 kg/t for these tests.

Lime consumption varied from 0.48 kg/t to 0.80 kg/t averaging at 0.62 kg/t.

Sulphide composite effect of grind

The grind optimization leaches for the sulphide composite was conducted at p80 75 microns and p80 45 microns and showed an increase in gold extraction for a grind size of p80 45 microns. Extraction increased from 88.82% to 91.50% with a decrease in final leach residue grade from 0.54 g/t to 0.44 g/t. Table 13.10 summarizes the results.

Table 13.10 Sulphide effect of grind: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Carbon add time (hrs)	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Sulphide	JR600	75	0	0.54	88.82	0.85	0.74
	JR602	45	0	0.44	91.50	0.85	0.54
Average						0.85	0.64

These tests gave a cyanide consumption of 0.85 kg/t and lime consumption varying from 0.54 kg/t to 0.74 kg/t averaging at 0.64 kg/t.

A grind of p80 45 microns was used for further testwork.

Sulphide composite effect of pre-oxygenation with shear

The results for the test on the Sulphide composite with a lime pre-oxygenation and shear, test JR604, demonstrated a marginal benefit from the addition of this step. Compared to test JR602, the residue grade reduced from 0.44 g/t to 0.39 g/t. Recoveries are slightly lower owing to head grade differences. In Test JR605 the inclusion of an acidic pre-oxygenation step ahead of the lime pre-oxygenation further reduced the residue grade to 0.31 g/t. The results are depicted in Table 13.11 below.

Table 13.11 Sulphide shear pre-oxygenation: Weaju

Sample	Test ID	Grind p80 microns	Shear pre-oxidation	Leach Residue Grade g/t	Total Extraction %	NaCN Consumption kg/t	Lime Consumption kg/t
Sulphide	JR602	45	No	0.44	91.50	0.85	0.54
	JR604	45	Yes	0.39	91.01	0.68	0.68
	JR605	45	Yes	0.31	93.17	0.85	1.54

There was a 20% reduction in cyanide consumption with lime shear pre-oxygenation from 0.85 kg/t to 0.68 kg/t accompanied by a 20% increase in lime consumption from 0.54 kg/t to 0.68 kg/t.

Acidic pre-conditioning with shear gave the same cyanide consumption as the test without pre-oxygenation at 0.85 kg/t with an increase in lime consumption from 0.54 kg/t to 1.54 kg/t.

14 Mineral Resource estimates

14.1 Overview and approach

The mineral resource estimation work for the Ndablama and Weaju deposits has been based on interpretations from integrated geological and grade information recorded from diamond core and RC chip logging and assaying. Apart from the initial sample data preparation and intermediate spreadsheet processing, all of the mineral resource interpretation, modelling, and estimation work was conducted using the CAE Datamine Studio 3, Isatis, and GSLib software packages.

The Datamine 2D interactive and 3D visualization graphical environments were used to generate triangulated wireframe models, as well as for visual validation. Extensive use was made of the Datamine macro facilities for almost all of the data processing, as well as the analytical, cell modelling, estimation and reporting functions, and hence these macros constitute an internal audit trail for much of the work undertaken.

The deposits have been evaluated with reference to the UTM 29N coordinate system, and all directional references in the resource portions of this report are according to this coordinate system.

14.2 Ndablama deposit

14.2.1 Data storage and preparation

Aureus supplied AMC with a suite of trench and drilling data files in comma-separated values-format files, being exports from Aureus's Century Systems database, as well as a collection of data and analytical files relating to Aureus QA/QC protocol. The date of receipt of the final data for the Ndablama deposit is 26 September 2014.

A summary of drillholes by drilling type, and drilling database tables is provided in Table 14.1 and Table 14.2. Diamond drillhole and RC data was used for the estimation of grades.

Drillhole data is comprised of gold assays, lithological codes, alteration data, and structural measurements. Aureus also provided geotechnical data for the drillholes, including RQD and core recovery for each drilling run. The resource data provided by Aureus was validated by:

- Reviewing collar and downhole survey data.
- Checking the minimum and maximum values for each field in the drillhole database and confirming those values outside of expected values.
- Checking for gaps, overlaps, and out-of-sequence intervals.
- Generating drillholes in Datamine, and then reviewing drillholes on a section-by-section basis to ensure that assayed intervals and mineralization outlines are consistent with drilling.

For the Ndablama project, five drillholes were excluded from the project due to drillholes being abandoned as a result of technical problems and errors in collar locations or downhole surveys. The drillholes removed from the drillhole database are comprised of the following:

- NDD025
- NDD095
- NDD104
- NDRC009
- NDRC027

Diamond drilling intervals within the Ndablama database with poor core recovery (mainly drillholes from first phase of drilling) need to be evaluated for their impacts on resource estimation. Sampled intervals with poor core recovery may result in biased assay results, and may not be representative of the gold contained in the full sampled interval. AMC selected an upper threshold of 70% recovery as a basis for potential recovery-related bias. For the drillhole database used for resource estimation, AMC assigned trace gold values for dispersed low core recovery intervals, affecting a total of 46 intervals. Intervals with contiguous low core recovery in critical mineralization zones were removed from the database, allowing adjacent or nearby assays to be used for block grade estimate in the region of the removed assays. A total of 35 intervals were removed, summarized in Table 14.3. Low core recovery intervals were removed from the drillhole database.

Table 14.1 Ndablama exploration drilling used for resource estimation

Deposit	Drillhole type	Number of holes	Average length	Total metres	Number of assays
Ndablama	Diamond	116	184	21,331	16,602
Ndablama	RC	39	149	5,827	3,451

Table 14.2 Ndablama sample database data tables

Table	Records
Collar	155
Survey	2,720
Assay	20,054
Lithology	11,098
Alteration	8,097
Density	6,172

Table 14.3 Ndablama intervals removed from the diamond drillhole database

BHID	Intervals [m]
NDD001	8-11,
NDD002	14-15, 27-30, 51-54
NDD006	37-39, 52-54
NDD007	16-21
NDD009	15-18, 34-36
NDD010	19-33, 68-73
NDD011	2-3, 7-8, 19-20

14.2.2 Interpretation


14.2.2.1 Geology

Ndablama mineralization is shear controlled with multiple shears that locate either along ultramafic units or in close proximity to them. As a result, the focus of geological interpretation is structural rather than lithological.

14.2.2.2 Structure

Aureus provided AMC with a wireframe solid representing the interpreted shear zone which hosts the mineralization. The shear zone interpretation had been constructed by Aureus from a combination of correlated drill intersections and inferred shear zone locations derived from mapping and other geological indicators (Figure 14.1). AMC made adjustments to the shear zone interpretation as a basis for preparing mineralization zone wireframes which are consistent with the planned grade estimation methodology. The interpreted shear zone strikes approximately north–south and dips at 30° to the west.

Figure 14.1 Ndablama deposit, Main Zone (MS01) and South-East Zone (MS02)



Source: AMC, 2014

AMC interpreted the Ndablama mineralization as two zones, the Main Zone and the South-East zone. AMC used both diamond and reverse circulation holes, and suitable trenches for building the mineralization model. The interpretation is based on a nominal 0.1 g/t bottom threshold so that the lower part of the grade distribution would be included in the anticipated grade estimation procedure. Within these mineralized shells, elevated grade intersections of potentially economic interest are much more restricted in number and extent. Correlations between these higher grade intersections, typically above 0.5 g/t Au, reveal an orientation that is broadly aligned with the shear zone, although in some cases very high-grade intersections can occur in close association with low-grade intersections. The AMC mineralization wireframes used for the resource estimate were reviewed by Aureus.

The mineralization wireframes striking to the north have shallow westerly dips, on average 30°–35°. The main mineralization is of the order of 40 m in width, tapering to the south, extending over a strike length of 1,470 m, and to a maximum vertical depth of 250 m.

To facilitate both interpretation of the mineralization and subsequent resource modelling, a coding system, using the field name MINZONE, was implemented.

14.2.2.3 Oxidation

Aureus provided a wireframe which represents an interpretation of the base-of-weathering and top-of-fresh surface, based on geological logging from near-surface intersections in drilling. The logged weathering surface was derived from a suite of weathered rock lithologies. AMC accepted the Aureus top-of-fresh interpretations without modification.

14.2.3 Topography

Aureus provided AMC with high-resolution LIDAR topographic survey data for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects, in DXF wireframe format.

14.2.4 Cell model construction and coding

Panel and SMU cell models for each deposit were constructed from suites of submodels, referencing the coordinate limits shown in Table 14.4, along with the parent cell (block) configurations. The parent, or panel, cell dimensions were selected on the basis of the overall drill spacing in the more densely drilled portions of

the deposit (50 m x 50 m for Ndablama), and parent cells were permitted to split along bounding surfaces down to the 5 m x 5 m x 5 m cell dimensions of the SMU model, Table 14.5.

Table 14.4 Ndablama model dimensions

Direction	Origin [m]	Limit [m]	Range [m]
Easting	299,600	300,500	900
Northing	790,200	791,000	1,500
RL	-40	460	420

Table 14.5 Ndablama block model parameters


Direction	Panel cell [m]	No. cells	SMU cell [m]	No. cells
Easting	20	45	5	180
Northing	20	75	5	300
RL	10	42	5	84

For the mineralized zone submodel, each mineralized shell wireframe was filled with cells. A coding convention, using the attribute field MINZONE, as shown in Table 14.6, was applied to distinguish the different mineralized shells. Any material not within any of the shells was assigned a MINZONE code of BKGR and was excluded from the estimation of resources. An example of the mineralization submodel for the Ndablama deposit is shown in cross-section in Figure 14.2.

Table 14.6 Mineralized shell codes (MINZONE field)

Zone	MINZONE Field Code
Main Zone	MS01
South-East Zone	MS02

Figure 14.2 Key mineralization units for the Ndablama deposit, section 413027 north



Source: AMC, 2014

Submodels representing topography and each of the completely weathered and fresh volumes were created by building cells above the respective triangulated surfaces. The weathering submodel was coded using the WEAZONE field, set with codes as shown in Table 14.7. The various model code and attribute fields are listed in Table 14.8.

Table 14.7 Oxidation Zone codes (WEAZONE field)

Zone	WEAZONE Field Code
Completely oxidized	WEAT
Fresh	FRSH

Table 14.8 Coded model field descriptions

Coded	Field	Description
Pre-estimation	MINZONE	Mineralized zone
	WEAZONE	Weathering zone
Post-estimation	AU	Gold grade (g/t)
	DENSITY	Estimated/assigned bulk density

Cells were coded with the relevant domain codes. Model variables are summarized in Table 14.9.

Table 14.9 Coded model field descriptions

Coded	Field	Description
Pre-estimation	ESTZONE	Estimation domain
Post-estimation	AU	Estimated gold grade (g/t)
	DENSITY	Assigned bulk density (t/m ³)
	SV	Search ellipse pass (1, 2)
	NUMSAM	Number of samples used to estimate cell
	RESCAT	Resource classification codes

Coding of samples according to mineralization, mineralized unit, and weathering zones followed a similar sequence of steps to the construction of the cell model.


AMC reviewed seven holes twinned with diamond core and reverse circulation holes for the Ndablama project. The diamond and reverse circulation pairs are listed in Table 14.10. Gold assays grades between the drillholes vary significantly overall and do not correlate on an assay-to-assay basis in general. AMC also reviewed the twinned data using 5 m composites across the mineralized zones. Mineralized intervals down-the-hole consistently outline similar trends in both diamond and reverse circulation holes. A typical example of this trend is provided in Figure 14.3. AMC concludes that the high variability of downhole grades, even when composited at 5 m intervals, is an intrinsic characteristic of the deposit and does not reflect on the reliability of diamond core versus reverse circulation drilling. AMC considers both diamond and reverse circulation holes as not materially different with respect to assay results and therefore both drillhole sample types are appropriate for resource estimation.

To assign the MINZONE field codes the samples were captured within the wireframe solids of the mineralized shells, and the top-of-fresh weathering surface was applied to the samples to code those which locate within the weathered horizon. The resulting coding is consistent with the cell model codes.

Table 14.10 Twin hole pairs reviewed by AMC

Ndablama twinned pairs	
NDD006	NDRC005
NDD038	NDRC001
NDD039	NDRC004
NDD040	NDRC006
NDD041	NDRC011
NDD058	NDRC003
NDD059	NDRC002


Figure 14.3 Ndablama twinned drillholes, NDD038 and NDRC001



14.2.5 Bulk density evaluation

Of the suite of 1,607 bulk density measurements available for evaluation, 1,544 fall within the fresh mineralized zone and 63 within the weathered mineralized zone. A review of the spatial distribution of values showed a clear distinction between weathered zone and fresh sample densities, but exhibited no particular trends or associations within these. Summary statistics for the two mineralized zones are summarized in Figure 14.4.

Figure 14.4 Ndablama dry bulk density summary statistics



14.2.6 Sample compositing and statistics

14.2.6.1 Capping

Assays for the MS01 zone were capped as extremely high outlier values were present. Two assays with grades of 232 g/t and 95 g/t gold were capped to 90 g/t Au, before compositing, to reduce the influence of these high-value outliers in the compositing and estimation process. Capping of the MS02 Zone was not required.

14.2.6.2 Compositing

More than 99% of samples within interpreted mineralized zones have lengths less than or equal to 1 m for the MS01 Zone and 97% of the MS02 Zone. On this basis, and with consideration for the need to reflect the high-grade parts of the deposit, 1 m was selected as a composite length for mineralized zone statistical analysis, and for estimation. Composites for MS01 and MS02 were declustered using the declustering cell dimensions in Table 14.11.

Table 14.11 Declustering cell dimensions

MINZONE	Declustering Cell
MS01	60 m E x 60 M n x 25 m RL
MS02	80 m E x 80 M n x 30 m RL

Table 14.12 shows the gold grade univariate statistics for 1 m composites and population characteristics for each of the mineralized zones. The corresponding sample distributions are summarized in Figure 14.5 and plotted graphically as histograms and log probability charts in Figure 14.6.

Table 14.12 Summary statistics within Ndablama mineralized zones

MINZONE	Sample Type	Field	Number	Min.	Max.	Mean	Standard Deviation
MS01	Composites	Au	5631	0.0051	90	0.93	4.07
	Declustered Composites	Au	5631	0.005	90	0.86	3.52
MS02	Composites	Au	283	0.005	15.60	0.63	1.60
	Declustered Composites	Au	283	0.005	15.60	0.69	1.68

Consistent with observations from the mineralization interpretation work, the MS01 global mean is relatively high compared to MS02.

Higher grades intersected in each of the two domains represent a relatively small proportion of the complete domain grade distribution. These high-grades are spatially discontinuous at small-scale but at a large-scale form part of more consistent trends of elevated grades within the shear zone. Very high-grades in each domain are even more spatially discontinuous, even though they represent legitimate high-grades associated with occurrences of visible gold in the mineralization.

Figure 14.5 Summary statistics for Ndablama MS01 and MS02 Zones





Figure 14.6 Declustered histogram and log probability plots for Ndablama MS01 and MS02 Zones



Statistical observations, along with visualization of mineralization characteristics, were used to guide the selection of grade estimation technique. Estimation by ordinary kriging was considered, however, given the diffuse nature of the grade boundaries, the presence of legitimate extremely high-grade values within the mineralization domains, and the likely targeted mining selectivity, a non-linear grade estimation technique was considered likely to yield superior results, in this case MIK.

14.2.6.3 Indicator statistics

Conditional statistics were generated for each of the mineralized zones and used to determine appropriate indicator thresholds and intra-class mean grades to be used for post-processing of the model panel grade estimates.

Thirteen thresholds were selected for the MS01 domain and for nine of the MS02 domain, as these were considered sufficient to discretize both the sample and the metal values. The selected indicators and intra-class means are shown in Table 14.13 and Table 14.14.

Table 14.13 Summary indicator statistics MS01 domain*

Indicator No.	Indicator Threshold	Threshold Percentile	No. of Composites	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	St.Dev.	Median
0	0.005	-	2063	0.01	0.11	0.05	0.028	0.05
1	0.11	39	913	0.11	0.21	0.15	0.028	0.15
2	0.21	55	489	0.21	0.30	0.25	0.028	0.25
3	0.31	64	343	0.31	0.41	0.35	0.029	0.35
4	0.41	70	218	0.41	0.50	0.45	0.025	0.44
5	0.5	74	323	0.50	0.68	0.58	0.054	0.58
6	0.69	80	272	0.69	0.96	0.82	0.078	0.82
7	0.96	85	275	0.96	1.54	1.21	0.160	1.19
8	1.55	90	163	1.55	2.32	1.89	0.230	1.86
9	2.33	93	109	2.33	3.07	2.66	0.218	2.66
10	3.08	95	109	3.08	5.16	3.98	0.563	3.99
11	5.18	97	55	5.18	7.36	6.20	0.641	6.05
12	7.42	98	54	7.42	13.26	9.70	1.739	9.03
13	13.6	99	55	13.60	90.00	33.31	21.290	26.60

*Excluding weathered composites

Table 14.14 Summary indicator statistics MS02 domain*

Indicator No.	Indicator Threshold	Threshold Percentile	No. of Composites	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	St.Dev.	Median
0	0.005	-	102	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.026	0.05
1	0.1	39	41	0.10	0.17	0.13	0.025	0.12
2	0.19	55	31	0.19	0.29	0.23	0.030	0.22
3	0.3	66	11	0.30	0.33	0.31	0.011	0.32
4	0.34	70	11	0.34	0.41	0.38	0.022	0.38
5	0.415	74	16	0.42	0.65	0.50	0.077	0.47
6	0.665	80	13	0.68	0.93	0.80	0.079	0.82
7	0.97	85	12	0.97	1.35	1.19	0.128	1.23
8	1.36	90	9	1.36	2.12	1.65	0.269	1.57
9	2.13	93	19	2.13	15.60	5.23	3.608	3.79

*Excluding weathered composites

14.2.7 Variograms

A suite of experimental variograms were generated for the Ndablama deposit and modelled based on 1 m gold composites within the interpreted mineralization domains. Variograms were generated for both gold and a representative number of indicator thresholds. Traditional semi-variograms were used as the spatial model for this study, with variographic analysis completed using Isatis software. Indicator variograms are used to estimate gold grade distributions (histograms). Gold variograms are used to derive change of support correction factors.

14.2.7.1 Indicator variograms

Five indicator thresholds were selected for variogram modelling for the MS01 domain. The selected thresholds represent the entire grade range, and therefore spatial variability, of the mineralization. There were insufficient composites in the MS02 domain for use in calculating variograms; therefore the indicator variograms for MS01 were rescaled to MS02 indicators.

The variogram models for Ndablama are listed in Table 14.15. As an illustrative example, the experimental and models variograms for indicators are in Figure 14.7.

14.2.7.2 Gold variograms

For the experimental gold variograms, a nugget effect and two spherical structures were modelled. The corresponding variogram model parameters are listed in Table 14.15, with variogram models in Figure 14.8.


The MS01 domain variogram is characterized by a very high relative nugget of 74% and extended overall ranges of up to 80 m (major axis), although shorter scale variability is modelled with close-range structures of 30 m, 40 m, and 7 m respectively for the major, semi-major, and minor axes. The variograms for both MS01 and MS02 domains have been oriented approximately at a strike of 337° , dip of 35° and a west rake of 5.7° . The gold variogram model for MS02 is presented in Figure 14.8.

The relatively poorly-structured variograms, characterized by a high relative nugget effect indicates that a significant amount of smoothing is likely in grade estimation.

Table 14.15 Summary of Ndablama MS01 and MS02 gold and indicator variogram models


ZONE	VARIABLE	C ₀	CC	Structure Model	Range [m]			DATAMINE ROTATION		
					X	Y	Z	Z-axis	X-axis	Z-axis
MS01	Au	8.0700	5.4300	Exponential	22	20	4	-105	35	-10
			0.8400	Spherical	126	100	10			
MS02	Au	1.5600	0.726	Spherical	25	25	2	-105	35	-10
			0.463		50	50	7.5			
MS01	Au(0.11)	0.0890	0.1070	Exponential	15	10	4	-105	35	-10
			0.0370	Spherical	115	130	10			
MS01	Au(0.21)	0.1360	0.0810	Spherical	20	23	4	-105	35	-10
			0.0315	Spherical	125	160	12			
MS01	Au(0.31)	0.1380	0.0680	Spherical	20	20	3	-105	35	-10
			0.0270	Spherical	132	165	6			
MS01	Au(0.41)	0.1150	0.0360	Spherical	30	30	2	-105	35	-10
			0.0610	Spherical	82	135	9			
MS01	Au(0.50)	0.1210	0.0310	Exponential	20	20	4	-105	35	-10
			0.0420	Spherical	95	145	8			
MS01	Au(0.70)†	0.0992	0.0254	Exponential	20	20	4	-105	35	-10
			0.0344	Spherical	95	145	8			
MS01	Au(0.97)	0.0880	0.0390	Spherical	77	130	7	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(1.55)	0.0630	0.0250	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(2.34)†	0.0448	0.0178	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(3.11)†	0.0319	0.0127	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(5.29)†	0.0194	0.0077	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(7.42)†	0.0133	0.0053	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS01	Au(13.6)†	0.0064	0.0026	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS02	Au(0.1)†	0.0889	0.1069	Exponential	15	10	4	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	115	130	10			
MS02	Au(0.19)†	0.1365	0.0813	Spherical	20	23	4	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	125	160	12			
MS02	Au(0.30)†	0.1378	0.0679	Spherical	20	20	3	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	132	165	6			
MS02	Au(0.34)†	0.1169	0.0366	Spherical	30	30	2	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	82	135	9			
MS02	Au(0.415)†	0.1222	0.0313	Exponential	20	20	4	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	95	145	8			
MS02	Au(0.665)†	0.1029	0.0264	Exponential	20	20	4	-105	35	-10
				Spherical	95	145	8			
MS02	Au(0.97)†	0.0927	0.0411	Spherical	77	130	7	-105	35	-10
MS02	Au(1.36)†	0.0714	0.0283	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS02	Au(2.13)†	0.0521	0.0207	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10
MS02	Au(2.92)†	0.0427	0.0170	Spherical	55	72	4	-105	35	-10

Figure 14.7 MS01 gold variogram model



A: Gold variogram model. B: Gold indicator variogram for 0.31 threshold.

Figure 14.8 MS02 gold variogram model



14.2.8 Gold grade estimation

The Ndablama deposit resource estimates are based on drillholes that intersect the interpreted wireframed mineralized shells.

Gold grades were estimated using MIK. MIK was considered an appropriate estimation method, as significant short-scale variability is noted for the gold mineralization within the defined broad, low-grade mineralization shells which also includes zones of very high-grade mineralization. MIK is a robust estimation approach that allows the estimation of targeted SMUs within larger panels, and is suitable for estimation within relatively broadly-spaced data sets.

A change of support was completed using an indirect lognormal/affine approach. The process involves an initial indirect lognormal correction, followed by a smaller affine correction to adjust for any variance distortion. A series of iterations were completed with the change of support to ensure the targeted variance correction was reproduced. These results have been calibrated against global change of support corrections generated using the discrete Gaussian change of support.

The MIK estimate was further post-processed to produce single SMU cell grades based on a similar approach to that used by Marat Abzalov (2006), as described in the paper titled “*Localised uniform conditioning (LUC): A new approach for direct modelling of small blocks*”. This method uses the MIK SMU-corrected histogram and divides the estimated tonnage and metal evenly into SMU cells within the panel. In this manner, grades are estimated into each of the SMU-sized cells, thereby replicating the targeted mining selectivity. Ranking of the SMU-sized cells within a panel is based on SMU grades estimated by ordinary kriging.

The estimation of gold grades by MIK, within the mineralized shell domains, was completed using 1 m composite gold data for each domain, with kriging parameters determined from variography. Panels with dimensions of 20 m E × 20 m N × 10 m RL were used for the initial MIK estimate. In preparation of ranking of localized MIK estimates, gold grades were estimated by ordinary kriging into 5 m E × 5 m N × 5 m RL cells, which correspond to the designated SMU size.

Gold grades were estimated in two search ellipsoid passes for the MS01 and MS02 domains as set out in Table 14.16. The search ellipsoid orientations for all estimation runs are controlled by assigning a single dip and dip direction value into the input model for each estimation domain. Octant searching was not applied.

No distinction was made between weathered and fresh rock, because only a small proportion of mineralization is affected by weathering, and there were insufficient samples available for estimating the weathered zone separately.

Ndablama and Weaju Projects

Aureus Mining Inc.

414013

Table 14.16 Estimation parameters Ndablama

							Search Ellipse [m]	Datamine Rotations					
Zone	VARIABLE	Estimator	Estimation Run	Minimum	Maximum	Octant Search	X [m]	Y [m]	Z [m]	Z-AXIS	X-AXIS	Z-AXIS	Maximum Composites per Drillhole
MS01	Au	OK	1	4	80	NO	60	60	20	-105	35	-10	NA
			2	4	80	NO	120	120	20	-105	35	-10	NA
MS02	Au	OK	1	4	80	NO	60	60	20	-105	35	-10	NA
			2	4	80	NO	120	120	20	-105	35	-10	NA
MS01	Au	MIK	1	4	80	NO	60	60	20	-105	35	-10	NA
			2	4	80	NO	120	120	20	-105	35	-10	NA
MS02	Au	MIK	1	4	30	NO	60	60	20	-105	35	-10	NA
			2	4	30	NO	120	120	20	-105	35	-10	NA
All Zones	DBD	ID2	1	3	20	NO	50	50	10	-105	35	0	5
			2	1	20	NO	100	100	20	-105	35	0	5
			3	1	20	NO	900	900	270	-105	35	0	5

The panel estimates were subjected to a series of corrections to reflect the change of support:

- Log normal change of support
- Readjustment to retain permanence of distribution
- Affine correction to ensure variance target is met

A global change of support was generated using the discrete Gaussian change of support, and compared against the results generated in the MIK model. The final change of support coefficients (variance adjustment factor - f) applied to the domains is shown in Table 14.17.

Table 14.17 Change of support coefficients – Ndablama

MINZONE	Variance Adjustment Factor
MS01	0.14
MS02	0.25

The distribution of gold for each panel, as estimated by MIK, was mapped (localized) to the corresponding SMU cells within the panel according to a ranking based on the OK-estimated gold grades.

Dry density was estimated into 20 m × 20 m × 10 m parent cells using an inverse distance squared estimator with search orientations conforming to local planes of the stratigraphy. Hard boundaries were used for MS01 weathering domains and the MS02 fresh rock domain. Because of the paucity of measurements, the weathered domain for MS02 was assigned an average of density values less than 2.0. The assigned value for weathered subdomain of MS02 was 1.22.

14.2.9 Model validation

Detailed validations of both the panel MIK and the local MIK estimates were completed. This included exhaustive visual and statistical reviews of the models against the input composite data. The validation checks for the estimated gold grades are:

- A comparison of the mean grades of the declustered composites against the model SMU mean grades.
- Swath plots of declustered composites and SMU model grades.
- Grade and tonnage curves for declustered composites, with change of support targeted on the SMU variance (GCOS) versus the SMU cell model (LMIK).

At Ndablama, comparisons of cell model mean gold estimates with declustered composites mean gold grades indicate moderate differences, most markedly for the MS02 zone (Table 14.18).

Swath plots of gold grades for domain models and declustered composites, using an 100 m wide swath for easting and northing, and a 40 m wide swath for elevation, show a moderately good correlation of gold grades within areas representing the bulk of tonnage or highest numbers of composites for each domain. Example swath plot results are presented in Figure 14.9.

Grade plots of global change of support against the LMIK model show a reasonable correlation for the Main (MS01) and South East (MS02) Zones up to a 1 g/t Au cut-off. Tonnage and grade plots for the various mineralized domains are presented in Figure 14.10 and Figure 14.11 for MS01 and MS02 respectively. The MS01 grade plot for GCOS and LMIK model are reasonably well matched. The plots indicate that due to high variability of gold grades, the estimates may be overly selective for the higher grade ranges. Similarly, the MS02 grade plot for GCOS and the LMIK model are matched as best they can be, but due to the high variability of gold grades and a limited data set, should be considered a low level of confidence.

The gold grade block model for the Ndablama project is shown in Figure 14.12, and a typical cross-section in Figure 14.13.

Table 14.18 Mean gold values: model and declustered composites Ndablama

Deposit	MINZONE Domain	Model Mean (g/t Au)	Declustered Composites Mean (g/t Au)	Difference	Percent Difference
Ndablama	MS01	0.82	0.86	0.04	5
	MS02	0.49	0.69	-0.2	-29

Figure 14.9 Swath plots for central zone block model and declustered composites Ndablama deposit




Figure 14.10 Grade plot for Central Zone Ndablama LMIK estimate, MS01




Figure 14.11 Grade plot for South-East Zone Ndablama LMIK estimate, MS02






Figure 14.12 Oblique 3D view of Ndablama project gold grade block model



Source: AMC, 2014

Figure 14.13 Cross-section 790590 north for Ndablama project gold grade block model



Source: AMC, 2014

14.2.10 Resource classification

The Mineral Resources for the Ndablama project have been estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council. Procedures for classifying the reported Mineral Resources were undertaken within the context of the NI 43-101.

Resource classification procedures have been undertaken with consideration of the following criteria:

- Quality and reliability of raw data (sampling, assaying, surveying)
- Confidence in the geological interpretation
- Number, spacing, and orientation of intercepts through mineralized zones.
- Knowledge of grade continuities gained from observations and geostatistical analyses.
- The likelihood of material meeting economic mining constraints over a range of reasonable future scenarios, and expectations of relatively low selectivity of mining.


At Ndablama, the geometry of the interpreted 0.1 g/t Au mineralized shells is relatively simple, based on the down-dip and along-strike drill intersection spacings, which typically range between 30 m and 80 m. This simplicity masks the much reduced ability to correlate intersections as cut-off grades approach likely economically significant values

The MIK method of grade estimation has been applied to represent as closely as possible the character of the mineralization at the anticipated mining scale, AMC has classified mineralization as either indicated or inferred using a number of criteria including drillhole spacing, evidence of local grade continuities, and the effectiveness of the grade estimation process. The likelihood of the resource being potentially economic was determined by generating a conceptual optimized pit shell using the following assumptions:

- Metal prices of US\$1,700 per ounce gold
- Average pit slope of 45 degrees
- Average mining costs of US\$2.6 per tonne
- Average processing costs of US\$18.00, general and administration costs of US\$ 6.00 per tonne
- Gold cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t
- Royalty of 3% per ounces produced

Mineral resources are reported on the basis of all estimated blocks that are constrained within this pit shell. A 3D representation of the model and conceptual pit shell are provided in Figure 14.14.

Figure 14.14 Classified Ndablama gold grade block model and pit shell



Source: AMC, 2014

14.2.11 Tonnage and grade reporting

The Mineral Resource Statement for the Ndablama deposit consists of an Indicated Mineral Resources estimate of 7.589 million tonnes, at 1.58 g/t Au and Inferred Mineral Resources of 9.576 million tonnes at 1.7 g/t Au, using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade for the Ndablama deposit. The effective date of the Ndablama Mineral Resources is 1 December 2014. The Mineral Resource Statement for the deposit is presented in Table 14.19.

Table 14.19 Resource Statement for the Ndablama Gold Deposit, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014

Deposit	Classification	Quantity (Kt)	Au	
			(g/t)	(koz)
Ndablama	Indicated	7,589	1.58	386
	Inferred	9,576	1.7	515

- (1) Mineral Resources for the Ndablama deposit are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au
- (2) Resources are reported to a conceptual open-pit based on US\$1,700 per ounce gold.
- (3) The effective date of the Ndablama deposit mineral resource estimates is 1 December 2014.
- (4) Mineral Resources in this Resource Statement are not Mineral Reserves, and do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- (5) Totals and average grades are subject to rounding to the appropriate precision.

14.2.12 Sensitivity analysis

The mineral resources for the Ndablama deposits are sensitive to the selection of the reporting cut-off grade. To illustrate this sensitivity, the global quantities and grade estimates are presented at different cut-off grades in Table 14.20 and by weathering zone (Table 14.21). The numbers presented in these tables do not represent a Mineral Resource Statement, and are provided only to show the sensitivity of the block model estimates to a selection of cut-off grades. Figure 14.15 presents this sensitivity as a grade and tonnage plot.

Table 14.20 Ndablama deposit tonnage-grade estimates at a range of cut-off grades

Cut-off	Indicated			Inferred		
	Tonnes	Au		Tonnes	Au	
	g/t	Kt	Koz	Kt	g/t	Koz
0.4	8,856	1.42	404	11,053	1.5	536
0.5	7,589	1.58	386	9,576	1.7	515
0.6	6,527	1.75	367	8,173	1.9	490
0.7	5,645	1.92	349	6,945	2.1	464
0.8	4,898	2.10	331	6,027	2.3	442
0.9	4,279	2.28	314	5,277	2.5	422

Note:

- (1) Mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au.
- (2) Not tabulated to significant figures.
- (3) Table is not a Resource Statement.

Figure 14.15 Tonnage and grade curve for Ndablama Inferred Resources




Table 14.21 Tonnage and grade for Ndablama zones by weathering zone

	Indicated Central Zone (MS01)			Inferred Central Zone (MS01)			Inferred South East Zone (MS02)		
	Quantity [t]	Gold Grade [g/t]	Contained Gold [oz]	Quantity [t]	Gold Grade [g/t]	Contained Gold [oz]	Quantity [t]	Gold Grade [g/t]	Contained Gold [oz]
Weathered	196,000	1.08	7,000	106,000	1.1	4,000	62,000	1.4	3,000
Fresh	7,393,000	1.60	380,000	9,205,000	1.7	497,000	203,000	1.6	10,000

Note:

- (1) Reported at cut-off grade 0.5 g/t gold.
- (2) Indicated and Inferred Resources.
- (3) Table is not a Resource Statement.

14.3 Weaju

14.3.1 Introduction

Resources for the Weaju project were not re-estimated in 2014 as there was no material change to the deposit since the initial resource estimates published in 2013.

14.3.2 Data storage and preparation

Aureus supplied AMC with a suite of trench and drilling data files in comma-separated values-format files, being exports from Aureus's Century Systems database, as well as a collection of data and analytical files relating to Aureus QA/QC protocol. The date of receipt of the final data for the Weaju deposit was 18 October 2013.

Table 14.22 summarizes the breakdown of drillholes by drilling type, and drilling database tables (Table 14.23). The diamond drillhole data only was used for the estimation of gold grades.

Table 14.22 Exploration drilling used for Weaju resource estimation

Deposit	Drillhole Type	Number of Holes	Average Length	Total Metres	Number of Assays
Weaju	Diamond	115	112	12,888	1,244

Table 14.23 Weaju sample database data tables

Table	Records
	Weaju
Collar	115
Survey	1,072
Assay	12,440
Lithology	4,477
Alteration	n/a
Density	4,743

Drillhole data is comprised of gold assays, lithological codes, alteration data, and structural measurements. Aureus also provided geotechnical data for the drillholes, including RQD and core recovery for each drilling run. The resource data provided by Aureus was validated by:

- Reviewing collar and downhole survey data.
- Checking the minimum and maximum values for each field in the drillhole database and confirming those values outside of expected values.
- Checking for gaps, overlaps, and out-of-sequence intervals.
- Generating drillhole in Datamine, and then reviewing drillhole on a section-by-section basis to ensure that assayed intervals and mineralization outlines are consistent with drilling.

For the Weaju project, Aureus excluded 14 drillholes completed by the previous operator of the project, because the drillhole information in these holes is considered unreliable. The excluded holes comprised drillholes WJD0001 to WJD0014.

Core recovery for the Weaju mineralization is relatively high. However, a review of Weaju core recovery data within mineralized zones indicated significant inconsistencies in core recovery data, including instances of:

- Core recovery values above 100%.
- Core recovery data which is inconsistent with intervals not sampled because of missing core.
- Consecutive sequences of drill runs that were significantly above 100% core recovery.

Problems with core recovery data occurred predominately in intervals within saprolite rocks, but also occurred in unweathered or fresh rock. Based on core re-logging during the site visit and setting all recovery values above 100% to 100%, the overall core recovery within the mineralized intervals is expected to average 85%. AMC considers recovery data for the Weaju deposit problematic but not sufficient to invalidate the data for the purpose of completing a resource estimate.

The database tables were subjected to standard validation procedures. In the case of gold grades, only primary assay field was extracted for use in the resource estimate. Soil geochemistry and trenching data obtained during the exploration activities were not used in the estimation of mineral resources.


14.3.3 Interpretation

14.3.3.1 Geology and mineralization

Mineralization at Weaju is associated with a shear zone that forms a synformal structure, with an axial trace trending south-west at a shallow dip. Mineralization is not confined to particular ultramafic or gneiss rock units and therefore is most appropriately modelled using gold grade shells.

Aureus has developed ten mineralization zones for the Weaju project, based on structural interpretations of the deposit area and gold grade shell interpretations developed using the LeapFrog software package. Aureus used a nominal 0.3 g/t gold threshold for constructing wireframed zones that follow trends outlined by LeapFrog grade shells and the structural interpretation. Most of the wireframe boundaries are snapped to drillhole intervals. Four mineralization wireframes have been developed for the northern limb of the synformal, comprising the North Zone (N101, N102, N103 and N104). Two zones were interpreted in the nose of the synformal structure, comprising the Ridge Zone (R301 and R302), while four mineralization zones were developed for the south-eastern limb, comprising the Main Zone (M201, M202, M203, and M204). A 3D view of these zones is provided in Figure 14.16.

Figure 14.16 Weaju wireframe zones and drillholes



Source: AMC, 2013

14.3.3.2 Oxidation

The ten mineralization zones have been further subdivided on the basis of a weathering profile over the deposit area. Aureus has developed a wireframe surface based on logging of saprolite and unweathered rock to subdivide the mineralization outlines into saprolite mineralization and unweathered or fresh rock. The final mineralization wireframes developed for the deposit are comprised of ten unweathered domains and eight saprolite domains, Domain codes used by Aureus and slightly modified by AMC are outlined in Table 14.24.

Table 14.24 Mineralization domain codes used for Weaju project

Zone	Domain	
	Fresh	Weathered
North	N101	N121
	N102	N122
	N103	N123
	N104	N124
Main	M201	M221
	M202	
	M203	
	M204	M224
Ridge	R301	R321
	R302	R322

14.3.4 Topography

Aureus provided AMC with high-resolution LIDAR topographic survey data for the Weaju project, in DXF wireframe format.

14.3.5 Cell model construction and coding

A block model of the Weaju project was constructed from a suite of submodels using a base configuration of 10 m (Easting) × 10 m (Northing) × 10 m (elevation) parent cells, as shown in Table 14.25. While some drill spacing in the Weaju deposit is locally at 20 m, the overall drill spacing varies widely. The cell geometry was selected on the basis of providing a parent block size that suitably fills zones orientated at multiple trend directions.

Table 14.25 Weaju block model parameters

Coordinates	Origin (m)	Block Size	No. of Blocks	Minimum Subcell (m)
X:	287,000	10	150	1.25
Y:	790,700	10	80	1.25
Z:	-100	10	50	0.2

For each mineralized domain, the wireframe was filled with cells such that parent cells were permitted to split along bounding surfaces down to the minimum dimensions shown in Table 14.25. Cells were coded with the relevant domain codes. Model variables are summarized in Table 14.26.

Table 14.26 Weaju codes

Coded	Field	Description
Pre-estimation	ESTZONE	Mineralized Domain
Post-estimation	AU	Estimated Gold grade (g/t)
	DENSITY	Assigned bulk density (t/m ³)
	SV	Search ellipse pass (1, 2)
	NUMSAM	Number of samples used to estimate cell
	RESCAT	Resource classification codes

14.3.6 Sample coding


Coding of samples at Weaju according to mineralization domain and weathering zones followed a similar sequence of steps to the construction of the cell model. Drillhole intervals that were located inside the domain wireframes were coded with the same domain codes as the block model.

The Aureus drillhole assay database identifies approximately 8% of intervals within the mineralized domains that were not sampled due to poor or no core recovery. This data is independent of core recovery data problems discussed in Section 14.3.2 and is considered to be more reliable. AMC reviewed 11 twinned drillholes pairs (listed in Table 14.27) to examine the most appropriate method to treat the intervals with no core recovery. Unassayed intervals did not preferentially represent low-grade intersections, although grade continuity between twinned holes was weak to moderate. AMC concluded that unassayed intervals should not be assigned trace values, but rather should be excluded from the database that will be used for gold grade estimation, as low recovery intervals represent a significant proportion of the data in each of the zones. All other zero or blank assay intervals were assigned a trace value of 0.005 g/t gold, as these intervals are assumed to be low-grade intersections that were not sampled. Summary statistics of gold assays, illustrated with box and whisker plots, are presented in Figure 14.17.

Table 14.27 Twin hole pairs reviewed by AMC

Weaju Twinned Pairs	
WJD0111	WJD0078
WJD0112	WJD0053
WJD0113	WJD0054
WJD0114	WJD0079
WJD0115	WJD0072
WJD0116	WJD0074
WJD0118	WJD0069
WJD0121	WJD0081
WJD0122	WJD0059
WJD0124	WJD0080
WJD0126	WJD0073

Figure 14.17 Summary statistics for Weaju gold domains*



*Sample length weighted

14.3.7 Bulk density evaluation

The dry bulk density database for the Weaju project consisted of 518 measurements within 17 of the mineralized domains (no density data for R321). Statistics by domains are summarized in Figure 14.18.


Average density values for each domain were assigned to all model blocks for that domain. Density measurements less than 1.0 were excluded in the computation of average density values. Average density values assigned to each domain are summarized in Table 14.28.

The density value for zone N124 saprolite is high relative to other saprolite zones. Only three density values are contained within this zone with one higher value of 2.66 that is identified as gneissic rock. However, AMC considers that there are insufficient reasons to exclude this value in determining an average value for the N124 domain.

Table 14.28 Average density values assigned to Weaju block model domains

Zone	Domain	Average Density (t/m ³)	Zone	Domain	Average Density (t/m ³)	Zone	Domain	Average Density (t/m ³)
North	N101	2.91	Main	M201	2.97	Ridge	R301	3.00
	N121	1.24		M221	1.43		R321	1.45
	N102	2.80		M202	2.94		R302	2.99
	N122	1.18		M203	3.05		R322	*1.30
	N103	2.97		M204	2.92			
	N123	1.43		M224	1.06			
	N104	3.07						
	N124	1.93						

Figure 14.18 Summary statistics for Weaju density data by domain*



*Sample length weighted

14.3.8 Sample compositing and statistics

14.3.8.1 Composites

At Weaju, drillhole intervals for each of the 18 domains were composited to 2 m to provide common support for statistical analysis and estimation of gold. Approximately 99.5% of sampled intervals in the drillhole database had been sampled at 2 m or less. Compositing remainders of less than 0.5 m represent approximately 1% of the total composite data and therefore have been retained in the composite database. These intervals have a minimal effect on the entire composite database and maintain full intersection grades for each domain. Summary statistics for composites are shown in Figure 14.19.

14.3.8.2 Grade capping strategy

A statistical analysis of saprolite and corresponding unweathered composites for each of the 10 zones was made to evaluate whether the two assay data sets should be combined for estimation or separated. Based on this analysis, AMC concluded that saprolite gold composites were sufficiently statistically different from corresponding unweathered domain composites and therefore, the sets were not combined for variography or estimation of gold.

Log probability plots, histograms and the spatial distribution of high-grade gold composite outliers were reviewed for each domain. Analysis indicates that the capping of some high-grade gold composites is

appropriate during grade estimation so that the influence of high-grade outliers is reduced. Capped values by domain are provided in Table 14.29 and summary statistics in Figure 14.20.

Figure 14.19 Summary statistics for Weaju composite domains





Table 14.29 Capping values for Weaju

Domain	Cap Value (g/t Au)	Number Capped
N101	10	2
N121	10	3
N122	10	1
N103	10	1
N221	10	1
R301	20	9

Figure 14.20 Summary statistics for capped composite domains



14.3.9 Variograms


Three variograms models were generated for the Weaju project. Due to limited numbers of composites in each of the domains, composites for unweathered N101 and N102, saprolite N101 and N102, and unweathered R301 and R302 were combined for variogram analysis. Gold composite values were transformed to Gaussian variables for traditional variograms. Variogram models could not be generated for the semi-major axis of N101-N102 saprolite and R301-302 unweathered composites. In these cases, the major variogram axis ranges were assumed for the semi-major axis. Variogram models for the project are summarized in Table 14.30. The N101-N102 variogram model is provided in Figure 14.21.

Table 14.30 Summary of Weaju variogram models

VARIABLE	ZONE	C ₀	C _n	Structure Model	Rx [m]	Ry [m]	Rz [m]	Z AXIS*	X-AXIS*	Z-AXIS*
Au	N101-N102	0.116	0.402	Spherical	50	15	7	0	-70	0
			0.482	Spherical	110	25	12			
Au	N121-N122	0.058	0.942	Spherical	20	20	20	0	-70	0
Au	R301-R302	0.123	0.877	Spherical	29	29	15.5	80	-20	90

*Datamine convention

Figure 14.21 Gold variogram for combined Weaju domains N101 and N102



Gold grade estimation

Gold grades were estimated using composites (capped where appropriate) and ordinary kriging (OK) or inverse distance squared (ID2) estimators. OK was used for domains for which variograms models were developed, including saprolite zones R301 and R302 (variography for unweathered zones was assumed for saprolite zones). Two estimation runs were used, with progressively expanded ellipsoid search ranges.

Each of the 18 domains was estimated separately using only composites from that specific domain. Variograms developed from combined data sets were used for individual domains. A summary of estimation parameters used for estimating gold grades into the block model is summarized in Table 14.31. Block estimates were made for parent blocks only, all sub-blocks being assigned parent block values.

Average density values for each domain were assigned to all model blocks for that domain.

Table 14.31 Weaju summary of estimation parameters

Estimator	Zone	Estimation Run	Minimum	Maximum	Octant Search	Ranges [m]			Search Ellipse Rotation*		
						SVx [m]	SVy [m]	SVz [m]	Z AXIS	X-AXIS	Y-AXIS
OK	N101, N102	1	2	25	NO	100	50	30	0	-70	0
		2	2	20	NO	150	75	45			
OK	N121, N122	1	2	25	NO	50	50	25	0	-70	0
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			
ID2	N103, N104	1	2	25	NO	100	50	30	222	70	0
		2	2	20	NO	150	75	45			
1D2	N123, N124	1	2	25	NO	50	50	25	222	70	0
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			
OK	R301	1	2	10	NO	50	50	25	80	-20	90
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			
	R302	1	2	25	NO	50	50	25	80	-20	90
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			
OK	R321, R322	1	2	25	NO	50	50	25	80	-20	90
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			
ID2	M201, M204	1	2	25	NO	100	50	30	180	-75	-20
		2	2	20	NO	150	75	45			
ID2	M202, M203	1	2	25	NO	100	50	30	133	90	-20
		2	2	20	NO	150	75	45			
ID2	M221, M224	1	2	25	NO	50	50	25	180	-75	-20
		2	2	20	NO	100	100	50			

14.3.10 Model validation


Validation checks were completed for the Weaju gold estimate including:

- Comparison of drillhole sections and plans to estimated block grades.
- As a test case, comparisons of declustered composites, nearest neighbour, and global kriging estimates to OK estimates for the unweathered N101 domain.

Validation checks confirm the block model estimates for the Weaju zone are appropriate and reasonably reflect the underlying sampling data.


The gold grade block model for the project is shown in Figure 14.22 through to Figure 14.24.

Figure 14.22 3D view of Weaju gold grade block model




Source: AMC, 2013

Figure 14.23 Cross-section 287595 east for Weaju project block model, North Zone



Source: AMC, 2013

Figure 14.24 Oblique cross-section of block model Weaju project, Ridge Zone



Source: AMC, 2013

14.3.11 Resource classification

Mineral resources for the Weaju project are unchanged from the previous resource estimate in 2014. The effective date of resources for the Weaju deposit is 20 November 2013.

The Mineral Resources for the Weaju gold project have been estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council, and procedures for classifying the reported Mineral Resources were undertaken within the context of the NI 43-101.

Resource classification procedures have been undertaken with consideration of the following criteria:

- Quality and reliability of raw data (sampling, assaying, surveying)
- Confidence in the geological interpretation
- Number, spacing, and orientation of intercepts through mineralized zones
- Knowledge of grade continuities gained from observations and geostatistical analyses
- The likelihood of material meeting economic mining constraints over a range of reasonable future scenarios, and expectations of relatively low selectivity of mining.

The intervals between drill intersections at Weaju are highly variable. In general, the drill spacing is more closely spaced near surface, reducing in density with depth as the drilling tracks each mineralized zone down dip. On drill spacing alone, therefore, the level of confidence in the resource typically declines with depth.

In addition to the overall difficulty associated with interpreting the Weaju mineralization, the contribution of the set of drillhole intersections to the general level of confidence in the Weaju tonnes and grades estimates is limited by:

- The necessity to exclude 14 early drillholes from the database
- The need to partition accepted drillhole intersections between ten different mineralized zones
- The need to partition samples for grade and density estimation between weathered and unweathered material.

Furthermore, statistical and geostatistical analyses of the gold sample data indicates that the mineralization is not yet confidently represented by the available data. Consequently, the Weaju tonnes and grades estimates have been assigned a classification level of inferred. Furthermore, some extrapolated parts of the modelled interpretation have been excluded from the classified resource.

14.3.12 Tonnage and grade reporting

The Weaju resource estimates were prepared by AMC in accordance with the requirements of the NI-43-101. The mineral resource for the Weaju deposit consists of Inferred Resources of 2.680 million tonnes, at 2.1 g/t Au, using a cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au. The effective date of this estimate is 20 November 2013. The Mineral Resource Statement for this deposit is tabulated in Table 14.32.

Table 14.32 Resource Statement for the Weaju Gold Deposit, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 20 November 2013.

Deposit	Cut-off grade (g/t Au)	Classification	Quantity	Au	
			(Kt)	(g/t)	(Koz)
Weaju	1.0	Inferred	2,680	2.1	178

- (1) Mineral Resources for the Weaju deposit at 1.0 g/t Au.
- (2) The effective date of the Weaju gold deposit mineral resource estimates is 11 November 2013.
- (3) Mineral resources, which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- (4) The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as indicated and measured mineral resources.
- (5) Totals and average grades are subject to rounding to the appropriate precision.

14.3.13 Sensitivity analysis

The mineral resources for the Weaju project are sensitive to the selection of the reporting cut-off grade. To illustrate this sensitivity, the global quantities and grade estimates are presented at different cut-off grades in Table 14.33 for the Weaju deposit, and for each zone in Table 14.34. The numbers presented in these tables do not represent a Mineral Resource Statement, and are provided only to show the sensitivity of the block model estimates to a selection of cut-off grades. Figure 14.25 present this sensitivity as a grade and tonnage plot.

Table 14.33 Weaju deposit tonnage-grade estimates at a range of cut-off grade

Cut-off [Au g/t]	Au [g/t]	Quantity [Kt]	Metal [oz x1000]
Total Inferred Resources			
0.6	1.7	3,780	206
0.8	1.9	3,250	194
1.0	2.1	2,680	178
1.2	2.3	2,210	161

Figure 14.25 Tonnage and grade curve for Weaju inferred resources




Table 14.34 Tonnage and grade for Weaju domains

Domain	Au [g/t]	Quantity [t]	Gold [oz]
N101	1.83	1,055,000	62,100
N102	1.94	94,000	5,900
N103	1.44	165,000	7,600
N104	1.03	22,000	700
N121	1.49	70,000	3,400
N122	1.67	16,000	850
N123	1.09	2,000	100
N124	1.34	11,000	500
M201	1.98	321,000	20,400
M202	1.31	38,000	1,600
M203	1.94	223,000	13,902
M204	2.81	49,000	4,500
M221	1.20	25,000	1,000
M224	5.90	3,000	500
R301	3.24	450,000	46,900
R302	1.74	113,000	6,300
R321	1.40	24,000	1,100
R322	2.23	4,000	300

Note:

- [1] Resources reported at cut-off grade 1.0 g/t gold
- [2] Inferred Resources only
- [3] Not tabulated to significant figures.
- [4] Table is not a Resource Statement.

14.4 Combined Mineral Resources Bea Mountain Licence

The combined Ndablama and Weaju resource estimates were prepared by AMC in accordance with the requirements of the NI-43-101. The mineral resource for the Weaju deposit has an effective date of 20 November 2013. The Ndablama resources have an effective date of 1 December 2014. Ndablama resources are reported at a gold cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t and Weaju resources are reported at a gold cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t

Combined Mineral Resources for the Bea Mountain Licence are Inferred Resources of 12.256 million tonnes at a gold grade of 2.1 g/t gold and Indicated Mineral Resources of 7.589 million tonnes and a gold grade 1.8 g/t. The combined Mineral Resource Statement is tabulated in Table 14.35.

Table 14.35 Combined Resource Statement for the Bea Mountain Licence, Liberia. AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, 1 December 2014

Deposit	Classification	Quantity [Kt]	Au [g/t]	Au [koz]
Ndablama†	Inferred	9,576	1.7	515
Weaju*	Inferred	2,680	2.1	178
	Total Inferred	12,256	1.8	693
Ndablama†	Indicated	7,589	1.58	386

†Effective date 1 December 2014

*Effective date 20 November 2013


- [1] Mineral Resources for the Ndablama deposit are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au and for the Weaju deposit at 1.0 g/t Au.
- [2] Mineral resources, which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- [3] The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as indicated and measured mineral resources.
- [4] Totals and average grades are subject to rounding to the appropriate precision.

15 Adjacent properties

15.1 Overview

The most recent Mineral Land Holding map update was published April 2011 by the Ministry of Lands Mines and Energy. Since the publication of this government data, Aureus has acquired an exploration licence known as Archaen Gold (89 km²) from Archaen Gold Ltd, as announced on 21 September 2011. Additionally, as reported on 19 November 2013, Aureus has been granted four new exploration licences, contiguous to the Bea Mountain Mining licence by the Ministry of Lands, Mines and Energy. The four new exploration licences are referred to as Yambesei (759 km²), Archaen West (112.6 km²), Mabong (36.6 km²) and Mafa West (15.6 km²). In all cases, the company has 100% ownership, and these acquisitions bring the company's contiguous land holdings to an area of 1,470 km², this and various exploration / mining company websites are compiled in Figure 15.1.

Figure 15.1 Properties adjacent to the Bea-MDA Mountain mining licence




Source: Aureus, 2013

For the purposes of this report, the Bea Mountain Mining licence (457 km²) has been split along a ridge running east to west through the Silver Hills project area. This ridge separates the northern and southern portions of the Bea Mountain Mining Area. The Ndablama and Weaju Projects are located in the northern portion of the licence and are described in this Technical Report. Information relating to the New Liberty Gold Project, situated in the southern portion of the licence area is available within the technical report dated 3 July 2013, entitled "New Liberty Gold Project, Liberia, West Africa, Updated Technical Report", and available on SEDAR.

Aureus' licence portfolio hosts multiple greenstone belts and associated shear structures which to date have been the principal hosts to the gold mineralization systems discovered in Liberia. At the time of this report, and with the exception of the Archaen Gold licence, a desktop review of existing data and regional exploration activities has shown in excess of 50 gold occurrences and gold geochemical anomalies have been outlined on the Company's ground holdings. This is detailed in Figure 15.2. Gold mineralization is associated with the primary shear systems or in subordinate structures related to these major breaks.

A regional BLEG campaign has been carried out to delineate prospective zones with 277 samples collected to date. A soil sampling programme (800 m by 100 m) was also undertaken along the Yambesei structural corridor to check possible extension of the Gondoja gold corridor to the east with 615 soil samples collected.

Figure 15.2 Geological interpretation of Aureus mining licence package



Source: Aureus, 2013

16 Other relevant data and information

To the extent known, there is no other relevant data or information relating to the Bea Mountain Mining licence, Northern Block.

17 Interpretation and conclusions

The Ndablama and Weaju orogenic lode gold deposits have been defined as a result of a systematic sequence of exploration activities undertaken over a period from 2007 to 2014 for Ndablama and from 1999 to 2014 for Weaju. Activities started from regional stream sampling, soil geochemistry surveys, geological mapping, trench sampling and airborne magnetic gradient and gamma-ray spectrometer geophysical surveys, and structural interpretation and diamond core drilling. Exploration work for the project has been professionally managed using procedures that meet generally-accepted industry best practice. Preliminary metallurgical testwork has been completed on both deposits. Based on this work, AMC has estimated Indicated and Inferred mineral resources for the Ndablama gold deposit, and Inferred mineral resources for the Weaju gold deposit.

Mineral resources for the Ndablama deposit are derived from gold mineralization related to a shear zone, developed in the pressure shadow of the Ndablama batholith. The shear trends approximately north–south with a moderate dip 30° to 35° to the west. Mineralization occurs in amphibolites and ultramafic rocks, with some intercalated gneisses and granitic intrusives within the shear zone. A set of wireframe envelopes of mineralization were developed for the deposit using a nominal 0.1 g/t gold threshold, and are the basis for estimating mineral resources. The mineralized envelope was further subdivided into weathered and unweathered rock domains. Mineralization within this shear zone contains discontinuous higher grade gold trends and in the opinion of AMC is best estimated using a multiple indicator approach to grade estimation. AMC used localized multiple indicator kriging to estimate gold resources for the Ndablama deposit.

Mineral resource defined for the Weaju deposit are based on gold mineralization related to a synformal fold structure, with an axial trace trending shallowly to the south-west. A wireframe envelope for mineralization was based on structural geological interpretations and a nominal 0.3 g/t gold threshold. Three major zones for the deposit have been identified as the North Zone comprising the northern limb of the fold, the Ridge Zone comprising the nose of the fold, and the Main Zone comprising the southern limb. The three zones are divided in to 18 domains including subdivision into weathered and unweathered rock domains.

Resources are reported on the basis a 0.5 g/t gold cut-off grade and a conceptual pit shell for the Ndablama deposit and 1.0 g/t gold for the Weaju deposit.

Based on a review of exploration data, AMC concludes that mineral resources for the Ndablama project have potential to be extended down dip of the shear zone to the west, and both to the north and south along the strike trend.

Weaju resources are open at depth at the limbs of the synformal (North and Main Zones). Additional mineralization may also be found south of the North Zone and south-west of the Main Zone.

Scouting metallurgical testwork on the Ndablama and Weaju material was scoped and managed by DRA in 2013, with the objective of assessing the metallurgical responses, of both oxide and sulphide material, to a gravity/leach treatment route.

For Ndablama, both the oxide and sulphide composites showed good amenability to cyanidation, returning gold leach residue grades of 0.08 g/t and 0.14 g/t respectively at a p80 75 micron grind. From head grades of 0.91 g/t and 2.24 g/t, this translates into gold recoveries of 92% and 94% for the oxide and sulphide material respectively. It is likely that gold recovery will vary according to head grade.

The sulphide composite showed a nugget effect which can be exploited to positive effect in the process plant design, while gravity recoveries of 34% and 70% were obtained for the Ndablama oxide and sulphide composites respectively.

Following on from the previous Technical Report (AMC, 2013), further testwork is currently being conducted by ALS, under the supervision of DRA on additional representative samples of the Ndablama orebody. Tests include comminution testwork, gravity testwork and cyanide optimization testwork, and at the time of filing this report, results remain outstanding.

For Weaju, both the oxide and sulphide composites showed a nugget effect. Screen fire assays for the sulphide and oxide composites returned values of 5.54 g/t and 3.32 g/t respectively. The sulphide composite

returned a Bond BWi value of 16.7 kwh/t, and no problems are foreseen with achieving grinds of p80 75 microns and p80 45 microns.

Gravity recoveries of 43% were obtained for both the sulphide and oxide composites, while both the oxide and sulphide composites, as supplied and tested, are deemed to be amenable to cyanidation. For the sulphide composite, residue grades ranging between 0.55 g/t to 0.31 g/t, giving recoveries between 89% and 93%, depending on the head grade, were obtained across all gravity/cyanidation tests conducted.

An acidic pre-oxygenation stage, in addition to a lime pre-oxygenation stage, was required to obtain a 0.31 g/t residue on the sulphide composite to obtain a recovery of 93%

For the Weaju oxide composite, residue grades ranging between 0.05 g/t to 0.21 g/t giving recoveries between 92% and 98%, depending on the head grade, were obtained across all gravity/cyanidation tests conducted. There is some potential for OpEx savings by employing a primary grind only, of around p80 75 microns, on the oxide material, if this material is to be treated separately.

18 Recommendations

The exploration procedures and protocols used by Aureus are appropriate to the current level of study for the project. Mineral resources for both projects can be potentially increased with drilling campaigns to explore extensions of identified mineralization and infill drilling of defined mineral resources.

Core recovery within mineralized zones is good overall for the Ndablama and Weaju projects. However for the Weaju project, core recovery recorded in drillhole logs are inconsistent. Some core recovery data contains successive intervals recorded as significantly above 100%. Also, intervals not sampled (because of missing core) correlate weakly with intervals with low recovery core data. AMC recommends that protocols for recording core recovery should be reviewed. Particular items to focus the review on are:

- Improving core recovery logging procedures to ensure that recovery is not above 100%, through measuring detailed core recovery for sampled intervals and ensuring that run markers are correctly placed and do not include drilled core from the previous run.
- Reviewing the core recovery database for errors and inconsistent values after drillhole data is entered into database, and ensuring consistency with core samples.

QC samples currently used for both the Ndablama and Weaju projects provide a good basis for determining the accuracy of SGS Monrovia laboratory. However, quality control samples to monitor the reproducibility of laboratory assays are not submitted on a sufficiently regular basis into the project sample stream. AMC recommends that:

- Submission of quality control samples should target a frequency of 10% or more for each type of control sample submitted;
- Pulp duplicates need to be submitted regularly in the sample stream to the SGS Monrovia laboratory, coarse rejects duplicates should also be considered;
- Pulp duplicates need to be regularly submitted to an external laboratory, in addition to coarse reject duplicates;
- Monthly results from quality control samples include quantile-quantile plots and ranked HARD or ranked RPD.
- Failure of control samples should require the re-assay of the entire assay batch.

Opportunities exist at both Ndablama and Weaju deposits to systematically extend known areas of mineralization and potentially increase mineral resources. In addition, the economic evaluation of the Ndablama deposit may be enhanced by increasing the levels of confidence in key areas through infill drilling. At Ndablama, the area immediately north of the current indicated resources is recommended for infill drilling, and a programme of shallow drilling infill drilling is also expected to improve confidence in some of the near-surface areas. Resource extension opportunities in the immediate vicinity of the current resources exist down-dip to both the north-west and south-west directions.

The Weaju step-out drilling will target primarily the west and south-west portions of the Weaju synformal limbs (North and Main Zones).

The total cost of this exploration programme is estimated at 1.9 million US dollars. A summary of recommended programmes is provided in Table 18.1. These programmes do not account for the exploration potential for additional gold occurrences on the property, in particular within the identified pressure shadow targets.

Table 18.1 Recommended infill and extension drilling for Ndablama and Weaju

Deposit	Drilling objective	Metres (m)	Estimated Cost (US\$)
Ndablama	North end infill	4,000	800,000
	Extension	3,300	660,000
Weaju	Extension	2,000	400,000
		9,300	1,860,000

DRA recommends that further gravity/leach testwork is undertaken to comprehensively confirm metallurgical response across the Weaju orebody for plant design and evaluation of recovery and reagent consumption. Additional work covering modelling of the gravity circuit, settling tests as well as cyanide destruction and arsenic precipitation tests, together with kinetic column leaching, is also recommended, amongst others.

It should be noted that recoveries quoted are under laboratory conditions and have not been discounted for plant inefficiencies associated with the carbon-in-pulp process.

19 References

A.C.A. Howe International Ltd. 2000. Gold Resources and Exploration Potential of the Bea Mountains Licence, Northwest Liberia, including an appendix on Diamond Exploration. Internal Report to Mano River Resources.

AMC Consultants (UK) Limited 2013. Ndablama and Weaju Gold Projects Bea Mountain Mining Licence, Northern Block Technical Report on Mineral Resources, Liberia, West Africa, AMC 413027 for Aureus Mining Inc. November 2013

AMC Consultants (UK) Limited 2013. New Liberty Gold Project, Liberia, West Africa Updated Technical Report, AMC 413003 for Aureus Mining Inc. July 2013.

Bongers F, Poorter L, Van Rompaey RSAR, Parren MPE, 1999, Distribution of twelve moist forest canopy tree species in Liberia and Cote d'Ivoire: response curves to a climatic gradient. *J Veg Sci* 10: 371 – 382

Goldfarb, R. J., Groves, D.I., and Gardoll, S. 2001, 'Orogenic gold and geologic time: a global synthesis', *Ore Geology Reviews*, 18, 1-75.

Groves, D.I., Goldfarb, R.J., Robert, F., and Hart, C.J.R, 2003, 'Gold Deposits in Metamorphic belts: Overview of Current understanding, Outstanding problems, future research, and exploration significance', *Economic Geology*, 98, 1-29.

Hagemann, S.G. and Cassidy, K.F. 2000, 'Archean Orogenic Lode Gold Deposits', *Reviews in Economic Geology*, 13, 9-68.

Hurley, P. M., Leo, G. W., White, R. W. and Fairbairn, H. W. 1971. Liberian age province (about 2700 Ma) and adjacent provinces in Liberia and Sierra Leone. *Geological Society America Bulletin*, 62. 3483-3490.

Milesi, J. P., Feybesse, J. L., Ledru, P., Dommange, A, and Marcoux, E., 1992: Early Proterozoic ore deposits and tectonics of the Birimian orogenic belt, West Africa, *Precambrian Research*, vol. 58, p. 305-344.

Richards, J. P. and Tosdal, R. M. 2001, 'Structural Controls on ore genesis', *Reviews in Economic Geology*, 14, 25-50.

Roberts, R.G. and Sheahan, P.A. 1989 'Archean Lode Deposits' in Roberts, R.G. Sheahan, P.A, 1989 *Ore Deposit Models* (eds), 'Ore deposit models (Geoscience Canada Reprint Series 3), 1989, Geological Association of Canada, Newfoundland Canada, 1-18.

Robb, L. 2005, *Introduction to ore forming processes* in Robb, L. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.

Robb, V.M. 2001, *Petrographic Examination of Two Diamond Drill Core Samples From the Bea Mountain License Area, Western Liberia*, consultant report. Thatcher T., (2014) *PETROGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION OF POLISHED THIN SECTION UNDER REFLECTED LIGHT*, Microsearch CC.

Thatcher T., 2014 *PETROGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION OF POLISHED THIN SECTION UNDER REFLECTED LIGHT*, Microsearch CC.

Thayer T.P., Lill G.G, Conrad, W.L., 1974 *Mineral exploration in Liberia, 1949 – 1950*, Department of Information and Cultural Affairs, Monrovia.

AMC Consultants (UK) Limited

Registered in England and Wales - Company No 3688365

Level 7, Nicholsons House
Nicholsons Walk, Maidenhead
Berkshire SL6 1LD
UNITED KINGDOM

T +44 1628 778 256

F +44 1628 638 956

E amcmaidenhead@amcconsultants.com



CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFIED PERSON

Christopher G Arnold
AMC Consultants (UK) Limited
Level 7 Nicholsons House
Nicholsons Walk
Maidenhead
Berkshire
SL6 1LD

Telephone: +44 (0) 1628 778 256

Fax: +44 (0) 1628 638 956

Email: carnold@amcconsultants.com

This certificate applies to the technical report titled "Ndablama and Weaju Gold Projects, Bea Mountain Mining Licence Northern Block, Liberia, West Africa" (the "Technical Report") for Aureus Mining Inc. with the effective date 1 December 2014.

I, Christopher G Arnold, do hereby certify that:

1. I am a Principal Geologist for AMC Consultants (UK) Limited, Level 7 Nicholsons House, Nicholsons Walk, Maidenhead, Berkshire, SL6 1LD, United Kingdom.
2. I graduated with BSc (Hons) in Geology from Natal University, South Africa in 1979, and an MSc in Natural Resource Management from the University of Western Australia in 1986.
3. I am a Chartered Professional member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.
4. I have practiced my profession continuously since 1980, save for a two year interval of postgraduate study, and have been involved in mineral exploration, mine geology and mineral resource consulting for a total of 33 years.
5. I have read the definition of "Qualified Person" set out in National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfil the requirements to be a "Qualified Person" for the purposes of NI 43-101.
6. I am responsible for the preparation Sections 2-12, 14-16 and jointly Sections 1, 17 and 18 of the Technical Report.
7. I visited the property between 9 June 2014 and 13 June 2014.
8. I have not had any involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report prior to my engagement as a Principal Geologist for the preparation of the work which forms part of the Technical Report.
9. I am independent of the issuer as described in Section 1.5 of NI 43-101.

ADELAIDE
+61 8 8201 1800

BRISBANE
+61 7 3230 9000

MELBOURNE
+61 3 8601 3300

PERTH
+61 8 6330 1100

TORONTO
+1 416 640 1212

VANCOUVER
+1 604 669 0044


MAIDENHEAD
+44 1628 778 256

www.amcconsultants.com

Registered office: 11 Welbeck Street, London, W1G 9XZ United Kingdom

10. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1, and the sections of the Technical Report for which I have responsibility have been prepared in compliance with that instrument and form.
11. As of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my information, knowledge and belief, the sections of the Technical Report for which I have responsibility and its supporting documentation contain all the scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.

Signed on 13 January 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C G Arnold', written over a horizontal line.

Christopher G Arnold MAusIMM CP(Geo)
Principal Geologist

13 January 2015

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFIED PERSON

G Bezuidenhout
DRA Mineral Projects
3 Inyanga Close
Sunninghill 2157
Johannesburg
South Africa

Telephone: +27 11 202 8686
Email: glenn.bezuidenhout@DRAGlobal.com

This certificate applies to the technical report titled "Ndablama and Weaju Gold Projects, Bea Mountain Mining Licence Northern Block, Liberia, West Africa" (the "Technical Report") for Aureus Mining Inc. with the effective date 1 December 2014.

I, Glenn Bezuidenhout, do hereby certify that:

1. I am a Process Director for DRA Mineral Projects of 3 Inyanga Close, Sunninghill, Johannesburg, South Africa.
2. I graduated with a National Diploma in Extractive Metallurgy from the Witwatersrand Technicon South Africa in 1979.
3. I have been a Fellow of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy since 2012 (FSAIMM) (Membership Number 705704).
4. I have practiced continuously as a Process Engineer since 1992, and have been involved in mineral processing and mining projects for a period of 22 years.
5. I have read the definition of "Qualified Person" set out in National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") and certify that by reason of my education, affiliation with a professional association (as defined in NI 43-101) and past relevant work experience, I fulfil the requirements to be a "Qualified Person" for the purposes of NI 43-101.
6. I am responsible for the preparation of section 13, as well as parts of section 1, parts of section 17 and parts of section 18 of the Technical Report.

Registration Number: 2014/119088/07
DRA Minerals Park / 3 Inyanga Close / Sunninghill / 2157
PO Box 3567 / Rivonia / South Africa / 2128
Telephone: +27 (0)11 202 8600

ENGINEERING
30
EXCELLENCE

www.DRAGlobal.com

DRA PROJECTS (PTY) LTD

Directors: J.K. de Bruin Pr Eng (Managing) / G. Bezuidenhout / R.H. Drew Pr Eng / G.L. du Plessis Pr Tech Eng, Cert Eng / A.Z. Fynes-Clinton Pr Eng / N.J. Goddard Pr Eng / P.M.B. Howard PMP / E.P. Scholtz Pr Eng, PMP / G.F. Smith Pr Eng / P.S. Venter B Comm Hons

7. I visited the adjacent Bea Mountain Mining Licence Southern Block property on 29 November 2012.
8. I have not had any involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report prior to my engagement as a Process Director for the preparation of the work which forms part of the Technical Report.
9. I am independent of the issuer as described in section 1.5 of NI 43-101.
10. I have read NI 43-101 and Form 43-101F1 and section 13, as well as parts of section 1, parts of section 17 and parts of section 18 of the Technical Report have been prepared in compliance with that instrument and form.
11. As of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my information, knowledge and belief, section 13, as well as parts of section 1, parts of section 17 and parts of section 18 of the Technical Report and its supporting documentation contains all the scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.
12. I consent to the use of my name and to the public filing of the Technical Report by Aureus Mining Inc.

Dated the 13 January 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glenn Bezuidenhout', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Glenn Bezuidenhout
NDT Ex. Met, FSAIMM
Process Director
DRA Mineral Projects

Our Offices

Australia

Adelaide

Level 1, 4 Greenhill Road
Wayville SA 5034 Australia
T +61 8 8201 1800
F +61 8 8201 1899
E amcadelaide@amcconsultants.com

Melbourne

Level 19, 114 William Street
Melbourne Vic 3000 Australia
T +61 3 8601 3300
F +61 3 8601 3399
E amcmelbourne@amcconsultants.com

Brisbane

Level 21, 179 Turbot Street
Brisbane Qld 4000 Australia
T +61 7 3230 9000
F +61 7 3230 9090
E amcbrisbane@amcconsultants.com

Perth

9 Havelock Street
West Perth WA 6005 Australia
T +61 8 6330 1100
F +61 8 6330 1199
E amcperth@amcconsultants.com

Canada

Toronto

90 Adelaide Street West, Suite 300
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3V9 Canada
T +1 416 640 1212
F +1 416 640 1290
E amctoronto@amcconsultants.com

Vancouver

Suite 202, 200 Granville Street
Vancouver BC V6C 1S4 Canada
T +1 604 669 0044
F +1 604 669 1120
E amcvancouver@amcconsultants.com

United Kingdom

Maidenhead

Registered in England and Wales
Company No. 3688365
Level 7, Nicholsons House
Nicholsons Walk, Maidenhead
Berkshire SL6 1LD United Kingdom
T +44 1628 778 256
F +44 1628 638 956
E amcmaidenhead@amcconsultants.com
Registered Office: 11 Welbeck Street
London, W1G 9XZ United Kingdom

amcconsultants.com